

**U.S. Department of Agriculture
Food and Nutrition Service
Administrative Review Branch**

Woods Ribs & Fish Market Inc,

Appellant,

v.

Case Number: C0210482

Retailer Operations Division,

Respondent.

FINAL AGENCY DECISION

The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA), Food and Nutrition Service (FNS) finds that there is sufficient evidence to support the determination by the Retailer Operations Division to withdraw the authorization of Woods Ribs & Fish Market Inc. (Appellant or Woods Ribs & Fish Market) to participate as an authorized retailer in the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program.

ISSUE

The purpose of this review is to determine whether the Retailer Operations Division took appropriate action, consistent with Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Part 278, in its administration of the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) when it withdrew the authorization of Appellant to participate in SNAP.

AUTHORITY

7 USC § 2023 and the implementing regulations at 7 CFR § 279.1 provide that “A food retailer or wholesale food concern aggrieved by administrative action under § 278.1, § 278.6 or § 278.7 . . . may file a written request for review of the administrative action with FNS.”

CASE CHRONOLOGY

Appellant submitted an online reauthorization form FNS-252-R, entitled *Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program Reauthorization Application for Stores* on March 9, 2018. The FNS-252-R reported that 32 percent of the firm’s actual gross retail sales were in staple foods and that 68 percent were in hot and prepared food. On May 7, 2018, an on-site store visit was conducted by an FNS contractor in an effort to evaluate the firm’s conditions and inventory. After considering the available evidence, the Retailer Operation Division determined that the majority of Appellant’s sales were from hot and prepared food items and thus, the firm was primarily a restaurant.

In a letter dated June 18, 2018, the Retailer Operations Division informed Appellant that its SNAP authorization was being withdrawn because it did not meet the necessary criteria to be eligible for SNAP participation. Specifically, the letter stated that the Appellant firm was a restaurant because more than 50 percent of its total sales were in the sale of hot and/or cold prepared, foods not intended for home preparation and consumption.

In a letter postmarked June 23, 2018, ownership appealed the Retailer Operations Division's decision and requested an administrative review of this action. The appeal was granted and implementation of the withdrawal has been held in abeyance pending completion of this review.

STANDARD OF REVIEW

In appeals of adverse actions, the Appellant bears the burden of proving by a clear preponderance of the evidence, that the administrative actions should be reversed. That means the Appellant has the burden of providing relevant evidence which a reasonable mind, considering the record as a whole, would accept as sufficient to support a conclusion that the matter asserted is more likely to be true than not true.

CONTROLLING LAW

The controlling statute in this matter is contained in the Food and Nutrition Act of 2008, as amended, 7 USC § 2018 and § 278 of Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). In particular, 7 CFR § 278.1(l)(1) and § 278.1(k)(2) establish the authority upon which FNS shall withdraw the SNAP authorization of any firm which fails to meet established eligibility requirements.

7 CFR § 278.1(l)(1) reads, in part: FNS may withdraw the authorization of any firm authorized to participate in the program for any of the following reasons:

- (i) The firm's continued participation in the program will not further the purposes of the program;
- (ii) The firm fails to meet the specification of paragraph (b), (c), (d), (e), (f), (g), (h), or (i) of this section;
- (iii) The firm fails to meet the requirements for eligibility under Criterion A or B, as specified in paragraph (b)(1)(i) of this section...for the time period specified in paragraph (k)(2) of this section.

7 CFR § 278.1(k)(1) Denying authorization, references 7 CFR § 278.1(b)(1)(iv) ineligible firms, which reads, in part:

Firms that are considered to be restaurants, that is, firms that have more than 50 percent of their total gross retail sales in hot and/or cold prepared foods not intended for home preparation and consumption, shall not qualify for participation as retail food stores under Criterion A or B. This includes firms that primarily sell prepared foods that are consumed on the premises or sold for carryout.

7 CFR § 278.1(k)(2) reads, in relevant part: FNS shall deny the application of any firm if it determines that:

(2) The firm has failed to meet the eligibility requirements for authorization under Criterion A or Criterion B, as specified in paragraph (b)(1)(i) of this section.... Any firm that has been denied authorization on these bases shall not be eligible to submit a new application for authorization in the program for a minimum period of six months from the effective date of the denial.

7 CFR § 278.1(b)(1)(i) states, in part:

An establishment...shall...effectuate the purposes of the program if it sells food for home preparation and consumption and meets one of the following criteria: Offer for sale, on a continuous basis, a variety of qualifying foods in each of the four categories of staple foods...including perishable foods in at least two of the categories (Criterion A); or have more than 50 percent of the total gross retail sales of the establishment...in staple foods (Criterion B).

7 CFR § 271.2 states, in part:

Entities that have more than 50 percent of their total gross retail sales in: Food cooked or heated on-site by the retailer before or after purchase; and hot and/or cold prepared foods not intended for home preparation and consumption, including prepared foods that are consumed on the premises or sold for carry-out are not eligible for SNAP participation as retail food stores under § 278.1(b)(1) of this chapter.

7 CFR § 278.1(b)(1)(iv) states, in part:

. . . Ineligible firms under this paragraph include, but are not limited to, stores selling only accessory foods, including spices, candy, soft drinks, tea, or coffee; ice cream vendors selling solely ice cream; and specialty doughnut shops or bakeries not selling bread. **In addition, firms that are considered to be restaurants, that is, firms that have more than 50 percent of their total gross sales in foods cooked or heated on-site by the retailer before or after purchase; and hot and/or cold prepared foods not intended for home preparation and consumption, including prepared foods that are consumed on the premises or sold for carryout, shall not qualify for participation as retail food stores under Criterion A or B...** [Emphasis added.]

APPELLANT'S CONTENTIONS

Appellant made the following summarized contentions in its June 23, 2018, administrative review request, in relevant part:

- Appellant has been a part of this program for many years and always met the qualifications.

- Appellant is a restaurant but it sells raw items such as seafood, shrimp, cat fish, frog legs, whole fish white bass, whole fish blue gills, buffalo, ocean perch, smelts, orange roughy, red snapper, walleye, swai fillets, and cat fish steaks and tails.
- Appellant also carries raw spare ribs, coleslaw, potato salad, sweet potato pie, banana pudding, bread, hot sauce, corn muffins, bread, and bbq sauce.

The preceding may represent only a brief summary of the Appellant's contentions presented in this matter. However, in reaching a decision, full attention was given to all contentions presented, including any not specifically recapitulated or specifically referenced.

ANALYSIS AND FINDINGS

The purpose of this review is to validate or to invalidate the decision of the Retailer Operations Division. Thus, it is limited to consideration of the relevant facts at the time the Retailer Operations Division rendered its decision as to this one Appellant. The authorization of a store to participate in the SNAP must be in accord with the Act and the regulations, as amended; those requirements of law cannot be waived.

Appellant explained that the items are sold raw. It is important to note that the newly implemented regulations items sold that any foods cooked or heated on-site by the retailer before **or after** purchase must now be counted toward the 50 percent threshold. Effective October 16, 2017, SNAP regulations at 7 CFR § 278.1(b)(1)(iv) were amended to clarify the types of stores that are considered restaurants for purposes of determining eligibility. Prior to this regulatory change, FNS considered restaurants to be firms with more than 50 percent of their gross sales from hot and/or cold prepared foods not intended for home preparation and consumption, including food items sold for carryout. This earlier regulation considered foods that were heated by the retailer **after** purchase to be staple foods for purposes of SNAP eligibility and thus did not count toward the 50 percent threshold. The new rule changed the wording of the regulation to state that any foods cooked or heated on-site by the retailer before **or after** purchase must be counted toward the 50 percent threshold.

The application information provided by the owner indicates that the sale of hot and prepared food is 66% of total gross retail sales at the business. The evidence supports that the firm has more than 50 percent of its total gross sales in foods cooked or heated on-site before or after purchase; and hot and/or cold prepared foods not intended for home preparation or consumption, including prepared foods that are consumed on the premises or sold for carryout. The evidence supports by a preponderance that Appellant is more likely a restaurant than a fish market.

CONCLUSION

The initial decision by the Retailer Operations Division to withdraw the SNAP retailer authorization of Woods Ribs & Fish Market is sustained. In accordance with the Food and Nutrition Act of 2008, as amended, and its associated regulations, this withdrawal action shall become effective 30 days after delivery of this decision.

RIGHTS AND REMEDIES

Applicable rights to a judicial review of this decision are set forth in 7 USC § 2023 and 7 CFR § 279.7. If a judicial review is desired, the Complaint, naming the United States as the defendant, must be filed in the U.S. District Court for the district in which the Appellant's owner resides or is engaged in business, or in any court of record of the State having competent jurisdiction. If any Complaint is filed, it must be filed within thirty (30) days of receipt of this Decision.

Under the Freedom of Information Act, we are releasing this information in a redacted format as appropriate. FNS will protect, to the extent provided by law, personal information that could constitute an unwarranted invasion of privacy.

Mary Kate Karagiorgos
Administrative Review Officer

October 11, 2018