

**U.S. Department of Agriculture
Food and Nutrition Service
Administrative Review Branch**

Rainbow Food Mart of Largo,

Appellant,

v.

Case Number: C0209343

Retailer Operations Division,

Respondent.

FINAL AGENCY DECISION

The USDA, Food and Nutrition Service (FNS) finds that there is sufficient evidence to support the decision of the Retailer Operations Division to withdraw the authorization of Rainbow Food Mart of Largo to participate as a retailer in the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP). As a result, the Appellant may not reapply for SNAP authorization for a period of six months after May 14, 2018, the actual effective date of the withdrawal.

ISSUE

The issue accepted for review is whether the Retailer Operations Division took appropriate action, consistent with Title 7 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Part 278, when it withdrew the authorization of Rainbow Food Mart of Largo.

AUTHORITY

7 U.S.C. § 2023 and its implementing regulations at 7 CFR § 279.1 provide that “A food retailer or wholesale food concern aggrieved by administrative action under § 278.1, § 278.6 or § 278.7 ... may ... file a written request for review of the administrative action with FNS.”

CASE CHRONOLOGY

As part of a routine reauthorization process, the Appellant submitted an online reauthorization form FNS-252-R, entitled “Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program Reauthorization Application for Stores” on February 9, 2018. The FNS-252-R documented that three (3) percent of the firm’s gross retail sales were in staple foods and that five (5) percent were in “accessory” food items such as snack foods, ice cream, potato chips, carbonated and non-carbonated beverages, condiments and spices. The Appellant reported that 92 percent of its gross retail sales were in non-food items (primarily lottery tickets, tobacco and alcohol). The Appellant also stated in the FNS-252-R that it had at least the minimum three (3) varieties of staple food, each

consisting of at least three (3) stocking units, in all of the four (4) required staple food categories. In addition, the Appellant reported perishable foods in all four (4) of the staple food categories.

An FNS contractor conducted a store visit on February 19, 2018 to document the firm's food inventory for the purpose of determining the continued eligibility of Rainbow Food Mart of Largo to participate in the SNAP. The store visit report showed that the store **only had a single variety** of qualifying staple food in sufficient amounts (20+ stocking units of milk) in the Dairy category. The store carried cheese but only had two (2) stocking units.

After reviewing the store visit report, the Retailer Operations Division sent a letter dated April 9, 2018 asking the Appellant to provide purchase invoices/receipts for its dairy products (milk, cheese, butter, yogurt, etc.). The letter stated that these invoices/receipts must be dated no more than 21 days prior to the store visit. In response, the Appellant provided copies of invoices dated from January 2, 2018 through February 27, 2018. The Appellant also submitted an undated picture of a cooler showing 15 stocking units of milk, two (2) stocking units of butter and three (3) cartons of eggs. It should be noted that eggs are included in the Meat/Poultry/Fish category and are not part of the Dairy category.

After reviewing the documents submitted by the Appellant, the Retailer Operations Division issued a letter dated May 7, 2018 withdrawing the authorization of Rainbow Food Mart of Largo to participate in the SNAP because the firm did not meet the eligibility criteria for stores as mandated by Federal regulations at 7 CFR § 278.1(b)(1). The letter stated that the Appellant failed to meet Criterion A because the store did not have the required minimum variety of qualifying staple foods in the Dairy category. The store also failed to meet Criterion B because the store's staple food sales did not comprise more than 50 percent of its annual gross retail sales. Lastly, the Retailer Operations Division determined that the firm did not qualify under the need for access provision of 7 § CFR 278.1(b)(6) as the store was not located in an area with significantly limited access to food under access criteria established by FNS.

Although the withdrawal letter was dated May 7, 2018, the case file documents that it was not mailed until May 14, 2018. The store was also prematurely withdrawn effective May 14, 2018. The withdrawal letter was delivered by UPS on May 16, 2018.

In a letter postmarked May 21, 2018, the Appellant requested an administrative review of the Retailer Operation Division's decision to withdraw the firm's SNAP authorization. The request for review was granted and implementation of the withdrawal should have been held in abeyance pending completion of this review. However, as noted above, the store was not reinstated for the administrative review.

STANDARD OF REVIEW

In appeals of adverse actions, an appellant bears the burden of proving by a preponderance of the evidence, that the administrative actions should be reversed. That means an appellant has the burden of providing relevant evidence which a reasonable mind, considering the record as a whole, might accept as sufficient to support a conclusion that the matter asserted is more likely to be true than not true.

CONTROLLING LAW AND REGULATIONS

The controlling law in this matter is found in the Food and Nutrition Act of 2008, as amended (7 U.S.C. § 2018), and promulgated through regulation under Title 7 CFR Part 278. In particular, 7 CFR § 278.1(l) provides the authority upon which FNS shall withdraw the SNAP authorization of any firm if it fails to maintain established eligibility criteria.

7 CFR § 278.1(l)(1) reads, in part:

FNS shall withdraw the authorization of any firm authorized to participate in the program for any of the following reasons:

- (i) The firm's continued participation in the program will not further the purposes of the program;
- (ii) The firm fails to meet the specification of paragraph (b), (c), (d), (e), (f), (g), (h), or (i) of this section;
- (iii) The firm fails to meet the requirements for eligibility under Criterion A or B, as specified in paragraph (b)(1)(i) of this section...for the time period specified in paragraph (k)(2) of this section.

7 CFR § 271.2 defines a retail food store, in part, as:

An establishment or house-to-house trade route that sells food for home preparation and consumption normally displayed in a public area, and either offers for sale qualifying staple food items on a continuous basis, evidenced by having no fewer than [three*] different varieties of food items in each of the four staple food categories with a minimum depth of stock of three stocking units for each qualifying staple variety, including at least one variety of perishable foods in at least [two*] such categories (Criterion A) as set forth in § 278.1(b)(1) of this chapter, or has more than 50 percent of its total gross retail sales in staple foods (Criterion B) as set forth in § 278.1(b)(1) of this chapter as determined by visual inspection, marketing structure, business licenses, accessibility of food items offered for sale, purchase and sales records, counting of stockkeeping units, or other inventory or accounting recordkeeping methods that are customary or reasonable in the retail food industry as set forth in § 278.1(b)(1) of this chapter....

7 CFR § 271.2 defines staple food, in part, as:

* As currently implemented. See SNAP Retailer Policy and Management Division Policy Memorandum 2018-04 for additional information regarding the enhanced retailer standards, which were implemented on January 17, 2018. This memorandum can be found on the FNS public website at <https://www.fns.usda.gov/snap/retailer-eligibility-clarification-of-criterion>.

... those food items intended for home preparation and consumption in each of the following four categories: Meat, poultry, or fish; bread or cereals; vegetables or fruits; and dairy products... Hot foods are not eligible for purchase with SNAP benefits and, therefore, do not qualify as staple foods for the purpose of determining eligibility under § 278.1(b)(1) of this chapter. Commercially processed foods and prepared mixtures with multiple ingredients that do not represent a single staple food category shall only be counted in one staple food category. For example, foods such as cold pizza, macaroni and cheese, multi-ingredient soup, or frozen dinners, shall only be counted as one staple food item and will be included in the staple food category of the main ingredient as determined by FNS. Accessory food items include foods that are generally considered snack foods or desserts such as, but not limited to, chips, ice cream, crackers, cupcakes, cookies, popcorn, pastries, and candy, and other food items that complement or supplement meals, such as, but not limited to, coffee, tea, cocoa, carbonated and uncarbonated drinks, condiments, spices, salt, and sugar. Items shall not be classified as accessory food exclusively based on packaging size but rather based on the aforementioned definition and as determined by FNS. A food product containing an accessory food item as its main ingredient shall be considered an accessory food item. Accessory food items shall not be considered staple foods for purposes of determining the eligibility of any firm.

7 CFR § 278.1(b)(1)(i)(A) reads, in part,

An establishment...will effectuate the purposes of the program if it sells food for home preparation and consumption and meets one of the following criteria: Offer for sale, on a continuous basis, a variety of qualifying foods in each of the four categories of staple foods...including perishable foods in at least [two*] of the categories (Criterion A); or have more than 50 percent of the total gross retail sales of the establishment...in staple foods (Criterion B).

7 CFR § 278.1(b)(1)(ii) states in part:

In order to qualify under [Criterion A] firms shall:

(A) Offer for sale and normally display in a public area, qualifying staple food items on a continuous basis, evidenced by having, on any given day of operation, no fewer than [three*] different varieties of food items in each of the four staple food categories with a minimum depth of stock of three stocking units for each qualifying staple variety and at least one variety of perishable foods in at least [two*] staple food categories. Documentation to determine if a firm stocks a sufficient amount of required staple foods to offer them for sale on a continuous basis may be required in cases where it is

* As currently implemented. See SNAP Retailer Policy and Management Division Policy Memorandum 2018-04 for additional information regarding the enhanced retailer standards, which were implemented on January 17, 2018. This memorandum can be found on the FNS public website at <https://www.fns.usda.gov/snap/retailer-eligibility-clarification-of-criterion>.

not clear that the firm has made reasonable stocking efforts to meet the stocking requirement. Such documentation can be achieved through verifying information, when requested by FNS, such as invoices and receipts in order to prove that the firm had ordered and/or received a sufficient amount of required staple foods up to 21 calendar days prior to the date of the store visit...

(B) Offer for sale perishable staple food items in at least [two*] staple food categories. Perishable foods are items which are either frozen staple food items or fresh, unrefrigerated or refrigerated staple food items that will spoil or suffer significant deterioration in quality within 2-3 weeks; and

(C) [Offer a variety of staple foods which means different types of foods, such as apples, cabbage, tomatoes, and squash in the fruit or vegetable staple food category, or milk, cheese, butter and yogurt in the dairy category. Variety of foods is not to be interpreted as different brands, different nutrient values, different varieties of packaging, or different package sizes. Similar processed food items with varying ingredients such as, but not limited to, sausages, breakfast cereals, milk, sliced breads, and cheeses, and similar unprocessed food items, such as, but not limited to different varieties of apples, cabbage, tomatoes, or squash shall not each be considered as more than one staple food variety for the purpose of determining variety. Multiple ingredient food items...such as...cold pizza, macaroni and cheese, soup, or frozen dinners, shall only be counted as one staple food variety each and will normally be included in the staple food category of the main ingredient as determined by the FNS.*]

7 CFR § 278.1(b)(1)(iii) states in part:

In order to qualify under [Criterion B] firms must have more than 50 percent of their total gross retail sales in staple food sales. Total gross retail sales must include all retail sales of a firm, including food and non-food merchandise, as well as services, such as rental fees, professional fees, and entertainment/sports/games income

7 CFR § 278.1(k)(2) states, in part:

Any firm that has been denied authorization on these bases shall not be eligible to submit a new application for authorization in the program for a minimum period of six months from the effective date of the denial.

7 CFR § 278.1(b)(6) states in part:

* As currently implemented. See SNAP Retailer Policy and Management Division Policy Memorandum 2018-04 for additional information regarding the enhanced retailer standards, which were implemented on January 17, 2018. This memorandum can be found on the FNS public website at <https://www.fns.usda.gov/snap/retailer-eligibility-clarification-of-criterion>.

Need for access. FNS will consider whether the applicant firm is located in an area with significantly limited access to food when the applicant firm fails to meet Criterion A per paragraph (b)(1)(ii) or Criterion B per paragraph (b)(1)(iii) of this section so long as the applicant firm meets all other SNAP authorization requirements. In determining whether an applicant is located in such an area, FNS may consider access factors such as, but not limited to, the distance from the applicant firm to the nearest currently SNAP authorized firm and transportation options. In determining whether to authorize an applicant despite its failure to meet Criterion A and Criterion B, FNS will also consider factors such as, but not limited to, the extent of the applicant firm's stocking deficiencies in meeting Criterion A and Criterion B and whether the store furthers the purposes of the Program. Such considerations will be conducted during the application process

APPELLANT'S CONTENTIONS

The Appellant made the following summarized contentions in its request for administrative review, in relevant part:

- The owner carries most of the required products, but on the day of the store visit the store was short on milk inventory as its delivery was scheduled for the next day.
- The store submitted invoices as requested which the Retailer Operations Division did not mention in its withdrawal letter.
- The store would like reconsideration and is submitting additional store pictures.

The preceding may represent only a brief summary of the Appellant's contentions in this matter. However, in reaching a decision, full attention and consideration has been given to all contentions presented, including any not specifically recapitulated or specifically referenced herein.

ANALYSIS AND FINDINGS

Criterion A

To meet Criterion A, a firm must carry no fewer than three (3) different varieties of staple food in each of the four (4) staple food categories with a minimum depth of three (3) stocking units for each qualifying staple variety. There also must be at least one (1) variety of perishable foods in at least two (2) staple food categories. In addition, the SNAP regulations at 7 CFR § 278.1(b)(1)(i)(A) and 7 CFR § 278.1(b)(1)(ii) require retailers to meet Criterion A eligibility requirements "on a continuous basis."

The Appellant states that it did not have enough milk in inventory on the day of the store visit as it had a delivery scheduled for the following day. This is not a correct statement concerning why the store was found to be ineligible. The Retailer Operations Division determined that the store did have enough milk, but was deficient in that it did not carry any other varieties of Dairy staple food with the minimum required three (3) stocking units. The store did carry cheese at the time of the store visit, but was deficient in depth of stock as there were only two (2) stocking units of cheese. The store carried no other varieties of Dairy staple foods.

The Appellant states that it provided invoices at the request of the Retailer Operations Division, but these invoices were not mentioned in the withdrawal letter. A review of the case file documents that the Retailer Operations Division did review these invoices. The Appellant provided eight (8) invoices of dairy purchases; however, five (5) were ineligible for consideration as they were either after the store visit or were beyond the 21 day-period before the store visit. The remaining three (3) invoices showed that no additional purchases of dairy other than milk were made.

The Retailer Operations Division determined that Rainbow Food Mart of Largo was not eligible under Criterion A because it carried only a single qualifying variety of staple food in sufficient quantities (20+ stocking units of milk) on the day of the store visit. The pictures taken during the store visit support this conclusion. Therefore, a preponderance of the evidence indicates that the firm is not eligible under Criterion A.

Criterion B

The Retailer Operations Division determined that the Appellant store did not meet Criterion B because the store's staple food sales did not comprise more than 50 percent of its gross retail sales. This is confirmed by the Appellant's reauthorization application which shows its staple food sales at three (3) percent of its gross retail sales. The majority of the store's gross retail sales are in non-food items including lottery tickets, tobacco and alcohol purchases. There is nothing in the case record which would indicate that this percentage of staple food sales to gross retail sales is inaccurate. Based on a preponderance of the evidence, the Retailer Operations Division properly determined that the Appellant store was ineligible under Criterion B.

Need for Access

SNAP regulations at 7 CFR § 278.1(b)(6) state that FNS will consider whether or not the Appellant firm is located in an area with significantly limited access to food when the firm fails to meet Criterion A or Criterion B as long as it meets all other eligibility requirements. This Need for Access evaluation also considers other factors, such as distance to the nearest SNAP-authorized firm, transportation options, the extent of the Appellant's stocking deficiencies, and whether or not the Appellant firm furthers the purposes of the program.

The case record indicates that the Retailer Operations Division conducted a Need for Access evaluation and appropriately determined that the Appellant firm did not qualify for SNAP authorization under this provision. After a review of all available evidence, this review agrees that authorization under the Need for Access provision is not appropriate in this case.

Corrective Action

The Appellant indicates that it has since stocked up the store to meet SNAP eligibility requirements and provided undated photographs showing additional dairy purchases for the administrative review. Although the store may have increased its food inventory, this contention does not provide any grounds for reversing the decision of the Retailer Operations Division. It is

important to clarify for the record that the purpose of this review is to either validate or to invalidate the earlier determination of the Retailer Operations Division. This review is limited to what circumstances existed at the time of the store visit which forms the basis of the Retailer Operations Division's action.

CONCLUSION

Based on the analysis above, the decision by the Retailer Operations Division to withdraw the SNAP authorization of Rainbow Food Mart of Largo is sustained. The regulations clearly state the criteria that a store must meet in order to be authorized for the SNAP. There are no exceptions to these requirements. In accordance with 7 CFR § 278.1(k)(2), the Appellant would normally not be eligible to submit a new application for SNAP authorization for a period of six (6) months. However, because the store was not reinstated during the administrative review, the Appellant may reapply six (6) months after May 14, 2018, the actual effective date of the withdrawal.

RIGHTS AND REMEDIES

Section 14 of the Food and Nutrition Act of 2008 (7 U.S.C. § 2023) and Title 7, Code of Federal Regulations, Part 279.7 (7 CFR § 279.7) addresses your right to a judicial review of this determination. Please note that if a judicial review is desired, the Complaint, naming the United States as the defendant, must be filed in the U.S. District Court for the district in which you reside or are engaged in business, or in any court of record of the State having competent jurisdiction. If any Complaint is filed, it must be filed within thirty (30) days of receipt of this Decision.

Under the Freedom of Information Act, it may be necessary to release this document and related correspondence and records upon request. If we receive such a request, we will seek to protect, to the extent provided by law, personal information that if released, could constitute and unwarranted invasion of privacy.

RONALD C. GWINN
Administrative Review Officer

July 24, 2018