

**U.S. Department of Agriculture
Food and Nutrition Service
Administrative Review Branch**

**Najarro’s American And Latino Grocery
Store, LLC,**

Appellant,

v.

**Office of Retailer Operations and
Compliance,**

Respondent.

Case Number: C0211304

FINAL AGENCY DECISION

The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA), Food and Nutrition Service (FNS) finds that there is sufficient evidence to support the determination by the Office of Retailer Operations and Compliance to assess a civil money penalty against Najarro’s American And Latino Grocery Store, LLC (“Appellant”) in the amount of \$2,106 in lieu of a six-month disqualification from participating as an authorized retailer in the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP).

ISSUE

The purpose of this review is to determine whether the Office of Retailer Operations and Compliance took appropriate action, consistent with Title 7 of Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 278.6(e)(5) and (f)(1) in its administration of SNAP when it assessed a civil money penalty in the amount of \$2,106 in lieu of a six-month disqualification against Appellant on June 3, 2020.

AUTHORITY

According to 7 U.S.C. § 2023 and its implementing regulations at 7 CFR § 279.1, “A food retailer or wholesale food concern aggrieved by administrative action under § 278.1, § 278.6 or § 278.7 . . . may . . . file a written request for review of the administrative action with FNS.”

CASE CHRONOLOGY

USDA conducted an investigation of Appellant’s compliance with federal SNAP law and regulations during the period of November 4, 2019 through March 9, 2020. The investigation reported that personnel at Appellant accepted SNAP benefits in exchange for ineligible merchandise on three separate occasions. These items sold during these impermissible transactions are best described in regulatory terms as “common ineligible nonfood items.” The investigation revealed that one unidentified female clerk was involved in the impermissible

transactions. As a result of evidence compiled from this investigation, the Office of Retailer Operations and Compliance informed Appellant, in a letter dated April 23, 2020, that the firm was charged with violating the terms and conditions of the SNAP regulations, 7 CFR § 278.2(a). The letter states, in part, that the violations “. . . warrant a disqualification period of six months (Section 278.6(e)(5)). Under certain conditions, FNS may impose a civil money penalty (CMP) in lieu of a disqualification (Section 278.6(f)(1)).” Although afforded the opportunity to do so, Appellant did not reply to the Office of Retailer Operations and Compliance.

The Office of Retailer Operations and Compliance notified Appellant in a letter dated June 3, 2020 that the firm was being disqualified for six months from participation as an authorized retailer in SNAP. This determination letter also stated that an assessment of a hardship civil money penalty (CMP) was appropriate. Therefore, a civil money penalty in the amount of \$2,106, in lieu of a six-month disqualification, was offered as an option to Appellant.

On June 17, 2020, Appellant appealed the Office of Retailer Operations and Compliance’s decision to impose a six-month disqualification, and requested an administrative review of the action. The appeal was granted and implementation of the sanction has been on hold pending completion of this review.

STANDARD OF REVIEW

In an appeal of an adverse action, Appellant bears the burden of proving by a preponderance of evidence that the administrative action should be reversed. That means Appellant has the burden of providing relevant evidence that a reasonable mind, considering the record as a whole, would accept as sufficient to support a conclusion that the argument asserted is more likely to be true than untrue.

CONTROLLING LAW

The controlling law in this matter is contained in the Food and Nutrition Act of 2008, as amended (7 U.S.C. § 2021), and implemented through regulation under Title 7 CFR Part 278. In particular, 7 CFR § 278.6(a) and (e)(5) establish the authority upon which a six-month disqualification may be imposed against a retail food store or wholesale food concern.

Section 278.6(e)(5) of the SNAP regulations states, in part, when a firm is to be disqualified for six months:

If it is to be the first sanction for the firm and the evidence shows that personnel of the firm have committed violations such as but not limited to the sale of common nonfood items due to carelessness or poor supervision by the firm's ownership or management.

7 CFR § 278.6(a) states, in part:

FNS may disqualify any authorized retail food store . . . if the firm fails to comply with the Food and Nutrition Act of 2008, as amended, or this part. Such disqualification shall result from a finding of a violation on the basis of evidence that may include facts

established through on-site investigations, inconsistent redemption data, evidence obtained through a transaction report under an electronic benefit transfer system

7 CFR § 278.6(f)(1) reads, in part:

FNS may impose a civil money penalty as a sanction in lieu of disqualification when . . . the firm's disqualification would cause hardship to SNAP households because there is no other authorized retail food store in the area selling as large a variety of staple food items at comparable prices.

APPELLANT'S CONTENTIONS

Appellant's response regarding this matter is essentially Appellant needs to retrain its cashiers.

This explanation may represent only a brief summary of Appellant's contentions. However, in reaching a decision, full consideration has been given to all contentions presented, including any others that have not been specifically listed here.

ANALYSIS AND FINDINGS

Appellant contends that the cashiers need to be retrained. When ownership signed the FNS application to become a SNAP authorized retailer, this included a certification and confirmation that Appellant would "accept responsibility on behalf of the firm for violations of the SNAP regulations, including those committed by any of the firm's employees, paid or unpaid, new, full-time or part-time." The violations listed on this certification document include selling ineligible non-food items. Regardless of whom the ownership of a store may use to handle store business, ownership is accountable for the proper handling of SNAP benefit transactions.

The investigation report shows that of the four times that nonfood violations were attempted, store personnel permitted them three times. Repeatedly entrusting an unsupervised, inexperienced and/or untrained clerk(s) to handle SNAP benefits is reasonably viewed as careless or the exercise of poor supervision. Accordingly, the Office of Retailer Operations and Compliance attributed violations to "carelessness, or poor supervision by the firm's ownership or management," pursuant to 7 CFR § 278.6(e)(5) of the SNAP regulations, which results in a disqualification of six months. This penalty is only permitted if the firm has not been previously sanctioned.

Investigative Record

Based on a review of the evidence, it appears that the program violations at issue did, in fact, occur as charged. As noted previously, the charges of violations are based on the findings of a formal USDA investigation. All transactions cited in the letter of charges were conducted under the supervision of a USDA investigator and all are fully documented. The investigative record is specific and accurate with regard to the dates of the violations, the specific ineligible merchandise sold in exchange for SNAP benefits, and in all other critically pertinent detail.

CONCLUSION

Based on the discussion above, the determination by the Office of Retailer Operations and Compliance to assess a civil money penalty against Najarro's American And Latino Grocery Store, LLC of \$2,106 in lieu of a six-month period of disqualification from participating as an authorized retailer in SNAP is sustained.

In accordance with the Food and Nutrition Act, and the regulations thereunder, this penalty shall become effective thirty (30) days after receipt of this letter. To pay the civil money penalty, Appellant should refer to the information provided in the determination letter dated April 23, 2020. Should Appellant choose to accept disqualification rather than pay the civil money penalty, a new application for participation in the SNAP may be submitted ten (10) days prior to the expiration of the six-month period of disqualification.

RIGHTS AND REMEDIES

Applicable rights to a judicial review of this decision are set forth in 7 U.S.C. § 2023 and 7 CFR § 279.7. If Appellant desires a judicial review, the complaint must be filed in the U.S. District Court for the district in which Appellant's owner resides, is engaged in business, or in any court of record of the State having competent jurisdiction. This complaint, naming the United States as the defendant, must be filed within thirty (30) days of receipt of this decision.

Under the Freedom of Information Act, we are releasing this information in a redacted format as appropriate. FNS will protect, to the extent provided by law, personal information that could constitute an unwarranted invasion of privacy.

RICH PROULX
ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW OFFICER

July 27, 2020