

**U.S. Department of Agriculture
Food and Nutrition Service
Administrative Review Branch**

Lincoln Drugs Y & Y Inc,

Appellant,

v.

Case Number: C0207110

Retailer Operations Division,

Respondent.

FINAL AGENCY DECISION

The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA), Food and Nutrition Service (FNS), finds that there is sufficient evidence to support the determination by the Retailer Operations Division to deny the application of Lincoln Drugs Y & Y Inc. (hereinafter Appellant) to participate as an authorized retailer in the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program.

ISSUE

The issue accepted for review is whether Retailer Operations Division took appropriate action, consistent with 7 CFR § 278.1(b)(1), in its administration of the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) when it denied the application of Appellant to participate as an authorized SNAP retailer on March 2, 2018.

AUTHORITY

7 U.S.C. § 2023 and the implementing regulations at 7 CFR § 279.1 provide that “A food retailer or wholesale food concern aggrieved by administrative action under § 278.1, § 278.6 or § 278.7 . . . may . . . file a written request for review of the administrative action with FNS.”

CASE CHRONOLOGY

In a letter dated March 2, 2018, Retailer Operations Division denied the application of Appellant to participate as an authorized retailer in SNAP. This denial action was based on evidence obtained during a store visit on February 17, 2018, as well as information provided on the firm’s retailer application.

Retailer Operations Division determined that the firm did not meet eligibility Criterion A or Criterion B under 7 CFR § 278.1(b)(1) of the SNAP regulations. The denial letter states “In order for a firm to be eligible to participate in SNAP, it must offer for sale staple foods intended

for home preparation and consumption and meet either Criterion A or B, as set forth in Section 278.1(b)(1) of the SNAP regulations. Under Criterion A, a firm must offer for sale, on a continuous basis, a minimum of three stocking units of three varieties of foods in each of four staple food categories, including three stocking units of one variety of perishable foods in at least two of those categories. The four staple food categories are: 1) bread or cereals; 2) dairy products; 3) vegetables or fruits; and 4) meat, poultry, or fish. Under Criterion B, a firm must have more than 50 percent of its total gross retail sales in staple foods.” The Appellant failed to meet the requirements of Criterion A because it did not offer for sale on a continuous basis a variety of foods in the dairy products category. Also, the Appellant failed to meet the requirements of Criterion B because staple food sales did not comprise more than 50 percent of its total gross retail sales.

The determination letter also states that the Retailer Operations Division considered Appellant’s eligibility under the need for access provision at Section 278.1(b)(6) of the SNAP regulations. However, the letter stated Appellant did not qualify for SNAP authorization under this provision.

As the firm failed to meet either eligibility criterion for approval, Appellant was informed that the firm could not submit a new application to participate in SNAP for a period of six months as provided in § 278.1(k)(2).

In a letter dated March 10, 2018, Appellant appealed Retailer Operations Division’s decision and requested an administrative review of this action. The appeal was granted.

STANDARD OF REVIEW

In appeals of adverse actions, an appellant bears the burden of proving by a preponderance of the evidence that the administrative actions should be reversed. That means an appellant has the burden of providing relevant evidence which a reasonable mind, considering the record as a whole, would accept as sufficient to support a conclusion that the matter asserted is more likely to be true than not true.

CONTROLLING LAW

The controlling law in this matter is contained in the Food and Nutrition Act of 2008, as amended (7 U.S.C. § 2018), and implemented through regulation under Title 7 CFR Part 278. In particular, 7 CFR § 278.1(k)(2) establishes the authority upon which the application of any firm to participate in SNAP may be denied if it fails to meet established eligibility requirements.

7 CFR § 278.1(b)(1)(i) relays specific program requirements for retail food store participation, which reads, in part: An establishment ... shall ... effectuate the purposes of the program if it ... meets one of the following criteria: Offer for sale, on a continuous basis, a variety of qualifying foods in each of the four categories of staple foods ... including perishable foods in at least three of the categories (Criterion A); or have more than 50 percent of the total gross retail sales of the establishment ... in staple foods (Criterion B).

7 CFR § 271.2 defines staple food, in part, as: Those food items intended for home preparation and consumption in each of the following food categories: meat, poultry, or fish; bread or cereals; vegetables or fruits; and dairy products.

7 CFR § 278.1(b)(1)(ii)(A) of the SNAP regulations as currently implemented define continuous basis as offering for sale no fewer than three different varieties of food items in each of the four staple food categories with a minimum depth of stock of three stocking units for each qualifying staple variety on any given day of operation.

7 CFR § 278.1(b)(1)(ii)(C) of the SNAP regulations define “variety”, in part, as: Different types of foods within each staple food category. For example: Apples, cabbage, tomatoes, bananas, pumpkins, broccoli, and grapes in the vegetables or fruits category; or cow milk, almond milk, soy yogurt, soft cheese, butter, sour cream, and cow milk yogurt in the dairy products category; or rice, bagels, pitas, bread, pasta, oatmeal, and whole wheat flour in the bread or cereals category; or chicken, beans, nuts, beef, pork, eggs, and tuna in the meat, poultry, or fish category. Variety of foods is not to be interpreted as different brands, nutrient values (e.g., low sodium and lite), flavorings (e.g., vanilla and chocolate), packaging types or styles (e.g., canned and frozen) or package sizes of the same or similar foods. Similar food items such as, but not limited to, tomatoes and tomato juice, different types of rice, whole milk and skim milk, ground beef and beefsteak, or different types of apples (e.g., Empire, Jonagold, and McIntosh), shall count as depth of stock but shall not each be counted as more than one staple food variety for the purpose of determining the number of varieties in any staple food category. Accessory foods shall not be counted as staple foods for purposes of determining eligibility to participate in SNAP as a retail food store.

7 CFR § 278.1(k) reads, in part: FNS shall deny the application of any firm if it determines that... [t]he firm has failed to meet the eligibility requirements for authorization under Criterion A or Criterion B, as specified in paragraph (b)(1)(i) of this section ... for a minimum period of six months from the effective date of the denial.”

APPELLANT’S CONTENTIONS

The Appellant made the following summarized contentions in its response to the denial letter and its request for administrative review, in relevant part:

1. I received a violation for not having three stocking units of three different varieties of foods. Once I became aware of the policy, the contractor made me aware of the policies; I complied with the law and made all the necessary purchases. Please see attached copy of the receipt of all goods purchased.
2. I ask that you please come and visit our store again to check our inventory.

No receipts were attached to Appellant’s request for review however; receipts were provided to Retailer Operations Division which was considered during this review. The preceding may represent only a brief summary of Appellant’s contentions in this matter. However, in reaching a decision, full attention and consideration has been given to all contentions presented, including any not specifically recapitulated or specifically referenced herein.

ANALYSIS AND FINDINGS

In regards to Appellant's contentions, it is important to clarify for the record that the purpose of this review is to validate or to invalidate the determination of Retailer Operations, and as such it is limited to consideration of the relevant facts and circumstances at the time of the decision. The authorization of a store to participate in the SNAP must be in accord with the Act and the regulations, as amended; those requirements of law cannot be waived. It is not within the scope of this review to consider actions the owner may take to qualify for participation in the SNAP subsequent to that decision.

The review of Appellant's food inventory revealed insufficient stock in the dairy staple food category. On the date of the store visit, Appellant did not meet Criterion A; it did not carry three stocking units in at least three different varieties of foods in the dairy product staple food category. Even after documentation of dairy stock was requested and received, the owner failed to meet eligibility criteria as the receipts provided were either dated after the store visit, which took place on February 17, 2018, or the receipts contained dairy products that were previously accounted for.

Based on Appellant's application and the FNS contractor photographs, Retailer Operations determined that Appellant was also ineligible for authorization under Criterion B per 7 CFR § 278.1 (b)(1)(iii) because Appellant's staple food sales comprise 50 percent or less of reported annual gross retail sales.

SNAP authorization is dependent solely upon whether a firm meets the eligibility requirements for participation at the time of application, and subsequently abides by the statute and implementing regulations. The evidence supports that Appellant did not meet the regulatory requirements of Criterion A or Criterion B at the time the denial decision was rendered. The receipts provided for review are dated well after the onsite visit or contained items that were previously counted therefore; Appellant's contentions do not provide any valid basis for dismissing or mitigating the adverse action imposed.

7 CFR § 278.1(k)(2) states, in part, "FNS shall deny the application of any firm if it determines that the firm has failed to meet the eligibility requirements for authorization under Criterion A or Criterion B, as specified in paragraph (b)(1)(i) of this section . . . for a minimum period of six months from the effective date of the denial." There is no agency discretion to impose a sanction less than six months when a firm does not meet the aforementioned eligibility requirements for authorization.

CONCLUSION

Based on the discussion herein, the determination by Retailer Operations Division to deny the application of Lincoln Drugs Y & Y Inc. to participate as an authorized SNAP retailer is sustained. Appellant shall not be eligible to submit a new application for SNAP authorization for a period of six months, effective March 2, 2018.

RIGHTS AND REMEDIES

Your attention is called to Section 14 of the Food and Nutrition Act of 2008, as amended, (7 U.S.C. § 2023) and to Title 7, Code of Federal Regulations, Part 279.7 (7 CFR § 279.7) with respect to your right to a judicial review of this determination. Please note that if a judicial review is desired, the Complaint, naming the United States as the defendant, must be filed in the U.S. District Court for the district in which you reside or are engaged in business, or in any court of record of the State having competent jurisdiction. If any Complaint is filed, it must be filed within thirty (30) days of receipt of this Decision.

Under the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA), we are releasing this information in a redacted format as appropriate. FNS will protect, to the extent provided by law, personal information that could constitute an unwarranted invasion of privacy.

Monique Brooks
Administrative Review Officer

June 26, 2018