

**U.S. Department of Agriculture
Food and Nutrition Service
Administrative Review Branch**

Justyn Enterprises Inc,

Appellant,

v.

Case Number: C0212589

Retailer Operations Division,

Respondent.

FINAL AGENCY DECISION

It is the decision of the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA), Food and Nutrition Service (FNS) that the Retailer Operations Division properly denied the application of Justyn Enterprises Inc. (hereinafter “Appellant”) to participate as an authorized retailer in the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP). As a result, the firm may not reapply for SNAP authorization for a period of six months from the date of denial.

ISSUE

The issue accepted for review is whether or not the Retailer Operations Division took appropriate action consistent with Title 7 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Part 278 in its administration of SNAP when it denied the retailer application of Justyn Enterprises Inc.

AUTHORITY

7 U.S.C. § 2023 and its implementing regulations at 7 CFR § 279.1 provide that “[A] food retailer or wholesale food concern aggrieved by administrative action under § 278.1, § 278.6 or § 278.7 . . . may file a written request for review of the administrative action with FNS.”

CASE CHRONOLOGY

The Appellant firm, Justyn Enterprises Inc. was originally authorized to participate as a retailer in SNAP on October 30, 2007. The store’s authorization was withdrawn on March 17, 2018, for failure to respond to requests for reauthorization. On May 8, 2018, the Appellant submitted a new application for reinstatement into the Program.

In a letter dated August 15, 2018, the Retailer Operations Division denied the Appellant's SNAP application because of its failure to meet basic Program eligibility requirements. This denial action was based on observations made during a July 30, 2018, contractor store visit as well as information provided on the firm's SNAP application.

The Retailer Operations Division determined that the firm did not meet eligibility under Criterion A or Criterion B pursuant to SNAP regulations at 7 CFR § 278.1(b)(1). The denial letter stated the Appellant failed to meet the requirements of Criterion A because it did not offer for sale on a continuous basis a variety of foods in required minimum quantities in each of the four staple food categories. It also stated that the Appellant failed to meet the requirements of Criterion B because staple food sales did not comprise more than 50 percent of its total retail sales. Additionally, the letter indicated that FNS considered the firm's eligibility under the Need for Access provision of the regulations found at 7 CFR § 278.1(b)(6), but determined that the Appellant does not qualify for SNAP under this provision.

As a result of being found ineligible for participation under both Criteria A and B, and being found ineligible under the Need for Access provision, the Appellant's SNAP application was denied for a period of six months pursuant to regulation at 7 CFR § 278.1(k)(2).

In a letter postmarked August 24, 2018, the Appellant requested an administrative review of the Retailer Operations Division's decision. The request was granted.

STANDARD OF REVIEW

In an appeal of adverse action, such as an application denial, an appellant bears the burden of proving by a preponderance of the evidence that the administrative action should be reversed. This means that an appellant has the burden of providing relevant evidence which a reasonable mind, considering the record as a whole, would accept as sufficient to support a conclusion that the matter asserted is more likely to be true than not true.

CONTROLLING LAW AND REGULATIONS

The controlling law in this matter is found in the Food and Nutrition Act of 2008, as amended (7 U.S.C. § 2018), and promulgated through regulation under Title 7 CFR Part 278. In particular, 7 CFR § 278.1(k) provides the authority upon which FNS shall deny the authorization of any firm applying for participation in SNAP if it fails to meet established eligibility criteria.

7 CFR § 278.1(k)(2) reads, in relevant part:

FNS shall deny the application of any firm if it determines that:

(2) The firm has failed to meet the eligibility requirements for authorization under Criterion A or Criterion B, as specified in paragraph (b)(1)(i) of this section

7 CFR § 271.2 defines a retail food store as:

- (1) An establishment or house-to-house trade route that sells food for home preparation and consumption normally displayed in a public area, and either offers for sale qualifying staple food items on a continuous basis, evidenced by having no fewer than *[three]** different varieties of food items in each of the four staple food categories with a minimum depth of stock of three stocking units for each qualifying staple variety, including at least one variety of perishable foods in at least *[two]** such categories (Criterion A) as set forth in § 278.1(b)(1) of this chapter, or has more than 50 percent of its total gross retail sales in staple foods (Criterion B) as set forth in § 278.1(b)(1) of this chapter as determined by visual inspection, marketing structure, business licenses, accessibility of food items offered for sale, purchase and sales records, counting of stockkeeping units, or other inventory or accounting recordkeeping methods that are customary or reasonable in the retail food industry as set forth in § 278.1(b)(1) of this chapter...

7 CFR § 271.2 defines staple food as:

...food items intended for home preparation and consumption in each of the following four categories: Meat, poultry, or fish; bread or cereals; vegetables or fruits; and dairy products... Hot foods are not eligible for purchase with SNAP benefits and, therefore, do not qualify as staple foods for the purpose of determining eligibility under § 278.1(b)(1) of this chapter.

Commercially processed foods and prepared mixtures with multiple ingredients that do not represent a single staple food category shall only be counted in one staple food category. For example, foods such as cold pizza, macaroni and cheese, multi-ingredient soup, or frozen dinners, shall only be counted as one staple food item and will be included in the staple food category of the main ingredient as determined by FNS. Accessory food items include foods that are generally considered snack foods or desserts such as, but not limited to, chips, ice cream, crackers, cupcakes, cookies, popcorn, pastries, and candy, and other food items that complement or supplement meals, such as, but not limited to, coffee, tea, cocoa, carbonated and uncarbonated drinks, condiments, spices, salt, and sugar. Items shall not be classified as accessory food exclusively based on packaging size but rather based on the aforementioned definition and as determined by FNS. A food product containing an accessory food item as its main ingredient shall be considered an accessory food item. Accessory food items shall not be considered staple foods for purposes of determining the eligibility of any firm.

7 CFR § 278.1(b)(1)(i) states, in part:

An establishment...will effectuate the purposes of the program if it sells food for home preparation and consumption and meets one of the following criteria: Offer for sale, on a continuous basis, a variety of qualifying foods in each of the four categories of staple

* As currently implemented. See SNAP Retailer Policy and Management Division Policy Memorandum 2018-04 for additional information regarding the enhanced retailer standards, which were implemented on January 17, 2018. This memorandum can be found on the FNS public website at <https://www.fns.usda.gov/snap/retailer-eligibility-clarification-of-criterion>.

foods...including perishable foods in at least *[two]** of the categories (Criterion A); or have more than 50 percent of the total gross retail sales of the establishment...in staple foods (Criterion B).

7 CFR § 278.1(b)(1)(ii) states, in part:

In order to qualify under [Criterion A] firms shall:

- (A) Offer for sale and normally display in a public area, qualifying staple food items on a continuous basis, evidenced by having, on any given day of operation, no fewer than *[three]** different varieties of food items in each of the four staple food categories with a minimum depth of stock of three stocking units for each qualifying staple variety and at least one variety of perishable foods in at least *[two]** staple food categories. Documentation to determine if a firm stocks a sufficient amount of required staple foods to offer them for sale on a continuous basis may be required in cases where it is not clear that the firm has made reasonable stocking efforts to meet the stocking requirement. Such documentation can be achieved through verifying information, when requested by FNS, such as invoices and receipts in order to prove that the firm had ordered and/or received a sufficient amount of required staple foods up to 21 calendar days prior to the date of the store visit...
- (B) Offer for sale perishable staple food items in at least *[two]** staple food categories. Perishable foods are items which are either frozen staple food items or fresh, unrefrigerated or refrigerated staple food items that will spoil or suffer significant deterioration in quality within 2-3 weeks; and
- (C) *[Offer a variety of staple foods which means different types of foods, such as apples, cabbage, tomatoes, and squash in the fruit or vegetable staple food category, or milk, cheese, butter and yogurt in the dairy category. Variety of foods is not to be interpreted as different brands, different nutrient values, different varieties of packaging, or different package sizes. Similar processed food items with varying ingredients such as, but not limited to, sausages, breakfast cereals, milk, sliced breads, and cheeses, and similar unprocessed food items, such as, but not limited to different varieties of apples, cabbage, tomatoes, or squash shall not each be considered as more than one staple food variety for the purpose of determining variety. Multiple ingredient food items...such as...cold pizza, macaroni and cheese, soup, or frozen dinners, shall only be counted as one staple food variety each and will normally be included in the staple food category of the main ingredient as determined by the FNS.]**

7 CFR § 278.1(b)(1)(iii) states, in part:

In order to qualify under [Criterion B] firms must have more than

* As currently implemented. See SNAP Retailer Policy and Management Division Policy Memorandum 2018-04 for additional information regarding the enhanced retailer standards, which were implemented on January 17, 2018. This memorandum can be found on the FNS public website at <https://www.fns.usda.gov/snap/retailer-eligibility-clarification-of-criterion>.

* As currently implemented. See SNAP Retailer Policy and Management Division Policy Memorandum 2018-04 for additional information regarding the enhanced retailer standards, which were implemented on January 17, 2018. This memorandum can be found on the FNS public website at <https://www.fns.usda.gov/snap/retailer-eligibility-clarification-of-criterion>.

50 percent of their total gross retail sales in staple food sales. Total gross retail sales must include all retail sales of a firm, including food and non-food merchandise, as well as services, such as rental fees, professional fees, and entertainment/sports/games income...

7 CFR § 278.1(k)(2) states, in part:

Any firm that has been denied authorization on these bases shall not be eligible to submit a new application for authorization in the program for a minimum period of six months from the effective date of the denial.

7 CFR § 278.1(b)(6) states:

Need for access. FNS will consider whether the applicant firm is located in an area with significantly limited access to food when the applicant firm fails to meet Criterion A per paragraph (b)(1)(ii) or Criterion B per paragraph (b)(1)(iii) of this section so long as the applicant firm meets all other SNAP authorization requirements. In determining whether an applicant is located in such an area, FNS may consider access factors such as, but not limited to, the distance from the applicant firm to the nearest currently SNAP authorized firm and transportation options. In determining whether to authorize an applicant despite its failure to meet Criterion A and Criterion B, FNS will also consider factors such as, but not limited to, the extent of the applicant firm's stocking deficiencies in meeting Criterion A and Criterion B and whether the store furthers the purposes of the Program. Such considerations will be conducted during the application process as described in paragraph (a) of this section.

APPELLANT'S CONTENTIONS

The Appellant made the following summarized contentions in its request for administrative review, in relevant part:

- The Appellant failed to timely renew its SNAP authorization through a combination of miscommunication and inability to get in contact with its accountant. There was no intent to fail to complete its SNAP reauthorization.
- The firm does not have any violations in its history.
- The store offers and carries at least three stocking units in at least three varieties of foods in the dairy staple food category, including milk, yogurt, and ice cream. Also, the firm has more than 50 percent of its total gross retail sales in the sale of staple foods.
- There are many low-income households in the area and they visit the store, but have to go back home without purchasing any staple foods because the firm is not currently able to accept EBT cards. The firm hopes to be able to serve its community by accepting SNAP benefits at the store.

In support of its request for review, the Appellant submitted the following documentation:

- 13 pages of business licensing information
- A copy of the firm's SNAP application signature page
- A copy of the store owner's photo identification
- 59 undated color photographs, showing the firm's inventory
- 43 inventory purchase receipts dated between June 23, 2018, and September 26, 2018

The preceding may represent only a brief summary of the Appellant's contentions presented in this matter. However, in reaching a final decision, full attention was given to all contentions presented, including any not specifically summarized or explicitly referenced in this document.

ANALYSIS AND FINDINGS

It is important to clarify for the record that the purpose of this review is to either validate or invalidate the earlier determination of the Retailer Operations Division. Thus, this review is limited to consideration of the relevant facts and circumstances as they existed at the time of the contractor's store visit and at the time the Retailer Operations Division rendered its decision. It is neither the role nor the authority of this review to overturn or modify an adverse administrative action that fully conforms to existing regulation.

After reviewing the contractor's store visit report and photographs as well as evaluating the contentions and evidence submitted by the Appellant, it is the determination of this review that the Appellant firm does not carry, on a continuous basis, sufficient staple food inventory in the dairy category to be eligible for SNAP participation.

According to the agency's record, the Retailer Operations Division determined that on the day of the store visit, the firm carried sufficient amounts of milk and yogurt, but had no other dairy varieties. In order for a firm to be eligible under Criterion A, it must offer for sale no fewer than **three** different varieties of food items in each of the four staple food categories with a minimum depth of stock of three stocking units for each variety.

On August 1, 2018, the Retailer Operations Division sent the Appellant a letter stating that the firm was lacking sufficient staple food inventory in the dairy staple food category. The letter gave the firm an opportunity to provide invoices or receipts as evidence that the store normally carried at least three stocking units of three different varieties of dairy products. The letter stated that the invoices or receipts must be dated no more than 21 calendar days prior to the July 30, 2018, store visit and may not be dated after the store visit.

In response to this request, the Appellant submitted 20 inventory invoices. Seventeen (17) of the invoices were dated within 21 days of the store visit; two were prior to that 21 day period and thus could not be considered; and one receipt was undated.

Of the 17 receipts that were within the appropriate 21-day period, none of them showed any dairy besides milk. It should be noted that a few receipts listed ice cream. However, according to 7 CFR § 271.2, ice cream is not a staple food product, but is instead an accessory food along with other snacks and desserts. The undated receipt also listed only milk.

In its request for administrative review, the Appellant submitted a total of 43 inventory receipts, many of which were the same ones submitted earlier to the Retailer Operations Division. Of the 43 receipts, just 20 were dated within the 21-day period prior to the contractor's store visit. None of the 20 receipts showed dairy items other than milk. Two of the receipts were dated before the

21-day period and could not be considered. Fifteen (15) were dated after the store visit and also could not be considered. Six receipts did not show any dates at all. None of the undated receipts had dairy items besides milk.

The Appellant also submitted 59 undated color photographs showing the store's inventory. These photos were very likely taken by the Appellant after the July 30 store visit. As such, they are of little evidentiary value, as they do not provide an accurate depiction of the store's inventory at the time of the contractor's visit or prior to that visit.

The Appellant's remaining documentation, including business license documents and the owner's photo ID, have no bearing on this case as they do not address the firm's staple food inventory.

After reviewing of all evidence in this case, it is clear to this review that the firm was lacking in the dairy category on the day of the store visit and no evidence has been provided to prove otherwise.

With only milk and yogurt in the dairy category, the firm is not eligible for SNAP authorization under Criterion A. The firm is also not eligible for SNAP participation under Criterion B, as the sale of staple foods does not exceed 50 percent of the firm's gross retail sales. In its request for review, the Appellant claimed that its staple food sales did exceed 50 percent, but this is very clearly not true. According to the firm's SNAP application, less than 1 percent of its total sales come from the sale of staple foods. Additionally, when one considers the large number of nonfood items available for purchase, such as gasoline, tobacco products, lottery tickets, etc., and considering the very large volume of snack foods, it is extremely unlikely that staple food sales constitute more than 50 percent of the firm's total sales.

Because deficiencies in Criterion A and Criterion B plainly exist at the Appellant store, it is the finding of this review that the application denial was appropriate and fully conforms to regulations at 7 CFR § 278.1(b)(1) and (k)(2). There is no evidence that the Retailer Operations Division misinterpreted or misapplied the regulation which requires denial of any application in which a firm fails to meet basic eligibility requirements.

Hardship to SNAP Households

The Appellant has stated that there are many low-income households in the area, and the firm is sorry to have to turn them away when they try to use their EBT cards at the store. The Appellant hopes to be able to serve its community by accepting SNAP benefits.

With this contention, the Appellant insinuates that local SNAP households will experience some level of hardship if the store is not able to provide a place where SNAP benefits can be used. However, such an argument does not provide a valid basis for this review to reverse the Retailer Operations Division's denial determination. A store may only participate in SNAP if it meets minimum eligibility requirements, which Justyn Enterprises Inc. does not.

Need for Access

When a firm fails to meet Criterion A or B, SNAP regulations at 7 CFR § 278.1(b)(6) state that FNS will consider authorizing the firm if it is located in an area with significantly limited access to food provided that it meets all other eligibility requirements. This Need for Access evaluation considers factors such as distance to the nearest SNAP-authorized firm, transportation options, the extent of the Appellant's stocking deficiencies, and whether or not the firm furthers the purposes of the program.

As for Justyn Enterprises Inc., the record indicates that the Retailer Operations Division conducted a Need for Access evaluation and determined that the firm did not qualify for SNAP authorization under this provision. After a review of all available evidence in this case, this review agrees that authorization under the Need for Access provision is not appropriate.

CONCLUSION

Based on the analysis above, it is the determination of this review that the Appellant firm, Justyn Enterprises Inc., does not meet eligibility requirements under Criterion A or B as outlined in regulations at 7 CFR § 278.1(b)(1). Additionally, the contentions and evidence presented by the Appellant are not sufficient to show that the denial decision should be reversed. Accordingly, the decision by the Retailer Operations Division to deny the application of Justyn Enterprises Inc. to participate as a retailer in SNAP is sustained.

In accordance with 7 CFR § 278.1(k)(2), the Appellant shall not be eligible to reapply for participation as a retailer in SNAP for a minimum period of six months from August 15, 2018, which is the effective date of the denial.

RIGHTS AND REMEDIES

Applicable rights to a judicial review of this decision are set forth in Section 14 of the Food and Nutrition Act of 2008 (7 U.S.C. § 2023) and in Section 279.7 of the SNAP regulations. If a judicial review is desired, the complaint, naming the United States as the defendant, must be filed in the U.S. District Court for the district in which the Appellant owner resides or is engaged in business, or in any court of record of the State having competent jurisdiction. If a complaint is filed, it must be filed within 30 days of receipt of this decision.

Under the Freedom of Information Act, we are releasing this information in a redacted format as appropriate. FNS will protect, to the extent provided by law, personal information that could constitute an unwarranted invasion of privacy.

JON YORGASON
Administrative Review Officer

October 31, 2018