

**U.S. Department of Agriculture
Food and Nutrition Service
Administrative Review Branch**

Food & Gas Mart,

Appellant,

v.

Retailer Operations Division,

Respondent.

Case Number: C0233097

FINAL AGENCY DECISION

The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) Food and Nutrition Service (FNS) finds there is sufficient evidence to support the determination by the Retailer Operations Division to withdraw the authorization of Food & Gas Mart (“Appellant”) to participate as a retailer in the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP). As a result, the firm may not reapply for SNAP authorization for a period of six months from the date of withdrawal.

ISSUE

The issue accepted for review is whether the Retailer Operations Division took appropriate action, consistent with Title 7 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Part 278, when it withdrew the authorization of Food & Gas Mart to participate as a SNAP retailer.

AUTHORITY

7 U.S.C. § 2023 and implementing regulations, at 7 CFR § 279.1, provide that “A food retailer or wholesale food concern aggrieved by administrative action under § 278.1, § 278.6 or § 278.7 . . . may . . . file a written request for review of the administrative action with FNS.”

CASE CHRONOLOGY

Appellant, Food & Gas Mart, was originally authorized to participate as a retailer in SNAP on May 7, 2015. In accordance with regulation, each SNAP-authorized firm is required to undergo a periodic reauthorization process to determine whether the firm still meets eligibility requirements.

On December 6, 2019, Appellant submitted the required reauthorization application, FNS-252-R, *Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program Reauthorization Application for Stores*. On this document, Appellant reported that around 10 percent of its gross retail sales were from the sale of staple foods. The application also reported that the firm carried at least three different varieties of foods in all four staple food categories, with a minimum depth of stock of three stocking units for each variety. As part of the firm's reauthorization process, an onsite store visit was conducted by an FNS contractor on July 31, 2020, to verify the firm's reported staple food stock.

On June 17, 2020, the Retailer Operations Division sent the Appellant a proof of inventory request letter which stated that the firm was lacking in the dairy products staple foods category on the day of the store visit and gave the firm an opportunity to prove, through invoices and receipts, that it normally carries the minimum number of varieties and stocking units in the dairy category. The letter stated that the invoices and receipts must be dated no more than 21 calendar days prior to the date of the store visit, and may not be dated after the visit. According to agency records, the Appellant did not respond to this request.

In a letter dated July 8, 2020, the Retailer Operations Division informed Appellant that its SNAP authorization was being withdrawn because it did not meet the necessary criteria to be eligible for SNAP participation under Criterion A or B. The withdrawal letter stated Appellant failed to meet the requirements of Criterion A because it did not offer for sale a variety of foods in required minimum quantities on a continuous basis in each of the four staple food categories. It also stated that Appellant failed to meet the requirements of Criterion B because staple food sales did not comprise more than 50 percent of its total retail sales. Additionally, the letter indicated that FNS considered the firm's eligibility under the Need for Access provision of the regulations, found at 7 CFR § 278.1(b)(6), but determined that Appellant does not qualify for SNAP authorization under this provision.

As a result of being found ineligible for participation under both Criteria A and B, and being found ineligible under the Need for Access provision, Appellant's SNAP authorization was withdrawn for a period of six months pursuant to regulation, at 7 CFR § 278.1(k)(2).

In a letter postmarked July 16, 2020, Appellant requested an administrative review of the withdrawal determination. The request was granted and implementation of the withdrawal has been held in abeyance pending completion of this review.

STANDARD OF REVIEW

In an appeal of an adverse action, such as the withdrawal of a firm's SNAP authorization, the appellant bears the burden of proving by a preponderance of the evidence that the administrative action should be reversed. This means the appellant has the burden of providing relevant evidence which a reasonable mind, considering the record as a whole, would accept as sufficient to support a conclusion that the matter asserted is more likely to be true than not true.

CONTROLLING LAW

The controlling law in this matter is contained in the Food and Nutrition Act of 2008, as amended (7 U.S.C. § 2018), and is promulgated through regulation under Title 7 CFR Part 278. In particular, 7 CFR § 278.1(l)(1) and § 278.1(k)(2) establish the authority upon which FNS shall withdraw the SNAP authorization of any firm which fails to meet established eligibility requirements.

7 CFR § 278.1(l)(1) states, in part:

FNS may withdraw the authorization of any firm authorized to participate in the program for any of the following reasons:

- (i) The firm's continued participation in the program will not further the purposes of the program;
- (ii) The firm fails to meet the specification of paragraph (b),(c), (d), (e), (f), (g), (h), or (i) of this section;
- (iii) The firm fails to meet the requirements for eligibility under Criterion A or B, as specified in paragraph (b)(1)(i) of this section...for the time period specified in paragraph (k)(2) of this section.

7 CFR § 278.1(k)(2) states, in part:

FNS shall deny the application of any firm if it determines that:

(2) The firm has failed to meet the eligibility requirements for authorization under Criterion A or Criterion B, as specified in paragraph (b)(1)(i) of this section.... Any firm that has been denied authorization on these bases shall not be eligible to submit a new application for authorization in the program for a minimum period of six months from the effective date of the denial.

7 CFR § 271.2 defines a retail food store as:

- (1) An establishment or house-to-house trade route that sells food for home preparation and consumption normally displayed in a public area, and either offers for sale qualifying staple food items on a continuous basis, evidenced by having no fewer than *[three]** different varieties of food items in each of the four staple food categories with a minimum depth of stock of three stocking units for each qualifying staple variety, including at least one variety of perishable foods in at least *[two]** such categories (Criterion A) as set forth in § 278.1(b)(1) of this chapter, or has more than 50 percent of its total gross retail sales in staple foods (Criterion B) as set forth in § 278.1(b)(1) of this chapter as determined by visual inspection, marketing structure, business licenses, accessibility of food items offered for sale, purchase and sales records, counting of stockkeeping units, or other

* As currently implemented. See SNAP Retailer Policy and Management Division Policy Memorandum 2018-04 for additional information regarding the enhanced retailer standards, which were implemented on January 17, 2018. This memorandum can be found on the FNS public website at <https://www.fns.usda.gov/snap/retailer-eligibility-clarification-of-criterion>.

inventory or accounting recordkeeping methods that are customary or reasonable in the retail food industry asset forth in § 278.1(b)(1) of this chapter...

7 CFR § 271.2 defines staple food as:

...food items intended for home preparation and consumption in each of the following four categories: Meat, poultry, or fish; bread or cereals; vegetables or fruits; and dairy products... Hot foods are not eligible for purchase with SNAP benefits and, therefore, do not qualify as staple foods for the purpose of determining eligibility under § 278.1(b)(1) of this chapter. Commercially processed foods and prepared mixtures with multiple ingredients that do not represent a single staple food category shall only be counted in one staple food category. For example, foods such as cold pizza, macaroni and cheese, multi-ingredient soup, or frozen dinners, shall only be counted as one staple food item and will be included in the staple food category of the main ingredient as determined by FNS. Accessory food items include foods that are generally considered snack foods or desserts such as, but not limited to, chips, ice cream, crackers, cupcakes, cookies, popcorn, pastries, and candy, and other food items that complement or supplement meals, such as, but not limited to, coffee, tea, cocoa, carbonated and uncarbonated drinks, condiments, spices, salt, and sugar. Items shall not be classified as accessory food exclusively based on packaging size but rather based on the aforementioned definition and as determined by FNS. A food product containing an accessory food item as its main ingredient shall be considered an accessory food item. Accessory food items shall not be considered staple foods for purposes of determining the eligibility of any firm.

7 CFR § 278.1(b)(1)(i) states, in part:

An establishment...will effectuate the purposes of the program if it sells food for home preparation and consumption and meets one of the following criteria: Offer for sale, on a continuous basis, a variety of qualifying foods in each of the four categories of staple foods...including perishable foods in at least *[two]** of the categories (Criterion A); or have more than 50 percent of the total gross retail sales of the establishment...in staple foods (Criterion B).

7 CFR § 278.1(b)(1)(ii) states, in part:

In order to qualify under [Criterion A] firms shall:

(A) Offer for sale and normally display in a public area, qualifying staple food items on a continuous basis, evidenced by having, on any given day of operation, no fewer than *[three]** different varieties of food items in each of the four staple food categories with a minimum depth of stock of three stocking units for each qualifying staple variety and at least one variety of perishable foods in at least *[two]** staple food categories.

Documentation to determine if a firm stocks a sufficient amount of required staple foods to offer them for sale on a continuous basis may be required in cases where it is not clear

* As currently implemented. See SNAP Retailer Policy and Management Division Policy Memorandum 2018-04 for additional information regarding the enhanced retailer standards, which were implemented on January 17, 2018. This memorandum can be found on the FNS public website at <https://www.fns.usda.gov/snap/retailer-eligibility-clarification-of-criterion>.

that the firm has made reasonable stocking efforts to meet the stocking requirement. Such documentation can be achieved through verifying information, when requested by FNS, such as invoices and receipts in order to prove that the firm had ordered and/or received a sufficient amount of required staple foods up to 21 calendar days prior to the date of the store visit...

(B) Offer for sale perishable staple food items in at least [two]* staple food categories. Perishable foods are items which are either frozen staple food items or fresh, unrefrigerated or refrigerated staple food items that will spoil or suffer significant deterioration in quality within 2-3 weeks; and

(C) [Offer a variety of staple foods which means different types of foods, such as apples, cabbage, tomatoes, and squash in the fruit or vegetable staple food category, or milk, cheese, butter and yogurt in the dairy category. Variety of foods is not to be interpreted as different brands, different nutrient values, different varieties of packaging, or different package sizes. Similar processed food items with varying ingredients such as, but not limited to, sausages, breakfast cereals, milk, sliced breads, and cheeses, and similar unprocessed food items, such as, but not limited to different varieties of apples, cabbage, tomatoes, or squash shall not each be considered as more than one staple food variety for the purpose of determining variety. Multiple ingredient food items...such as...cold pizza, macaroni and cheese, soup, or frozen dinners, shall only be counted as one staple food variety each and will normally be included in the staple food category of the main ingredient as determined by the FNS.]*

7 CFR § 278.1(b)(1)(iii) states, in part:

In order to qualify under [Criterion B] firms must have more than 50 percent of their total gross retail sales in staple food sales. Total gross retail sales must include all retail sales of a firm, including food and non-food merchandise, as well as services, such as rental fees, professional fees, and entertainment/sports/games income...

7 CFR § 278.1(b)(6) states:

Need for access. FNS will consider whether the applicant firm is located in an area with significantly limited access to food when the applicant firm fails to meet Criterion A per paragraph (b)(1)(ii) or Criterion B per paragraph (b)(1)(iii) of this section so long as the applicant firm meets all other SNAP authorization requirements. In determining whether an applicant is located in such an area, FNS may consider access factors such as, but not limited to, the distance from the applicant firm to the nearest currently SNAP authorized firm and transportation options. In determining whether to authorize an applicant despite its failure to meet Criterion A and Criterion B, FNS will also consider factors such as, but not limited to, the extent of the applicant firm's stocking deficiencies in meeting Criterion A and Criterion B and whether the store furthers the purposes of the Program. Such considerations will be conducted

* As currently implemented. See SNAP Retailer Policy and Management Division Policy Memorandum 2018-04 for additional information regarding the enhanced retailer standards, which were implemented on January 17, 2018. This memorandum can be found on the FNS public website at <https://www.fns.usda.gov/snap/retailer-eligibility-clarification-of-criterion>.

during the application process as described in paragraph (a) of this section.

APPELLANT'S CONTENTIONS

Appellant submitted the following summarized contentions for administrative review, in relevant part:

- The location does carry dairy products, as required per SNAP regulations.
- Appellant did not receive the letter dated June 17, 2020, and so could not provide any information requested in that letter.

Appellant did not submit any evidence in support of these contentions.

The preceding may represent only a summary of Appellant's contentions presented in this matter. However, in reaching a final decision, full attention was given to all contentions presented, including any not specifically summarized or referenced herein.

ANALYSIS AND FINDINGS

It is important to clarify for the record that the purpose of this review is to either validate or invalidate the earlier determination of the Retailer Operations Division. Thus, this review is limited to consideration of the relevant facts and circumstances as they existed at the time the Retailer Operations Division rendered its decision.

After reviewing the store visit report and photographs, as well as evaluating the contentions submitted by Appellant, it is the determination of this review that Appellant does not carry, on a continuous basis, sufficient staple food inventory to be eligible for SNAP authorization.

Criterion A and Criterion B Eligibility

In order for a firm to be eligible under Criterion A, it must offer for sale no fewer than three different varieties of food items in each of the four staple food categories, with a minimum depth of stock of three stocking units for each variety. Eligibility under Criterion B requires that more than 50 percent of the firm's total gross retail sales be from the sale of staple foods.

Regarding Appellant, the record shows that the firm did not have enough inventory in the dairy products staple food category on the day of the store visit to meet Criterion A eligibility requirements. Specifically, the firm had only two varieties of dairy products, and was short three stocking units of a third variety. Appellant has not offered any evidence to prove the firm normally carried appropriate inventories of staple foods needed for eligibility prior to the contractor's visit to the store.

Due to the firm's insufficient inventory of staple food items in the dairy products staple food

category at the time of the store visit, the firm is not eligible for SNAP authorization under Criterion A. Further, given that Appellant indicated on the reauthorization application that around 10 percent of the firm's total sales come from the sale of staple food, the firm is also not eligible for SNAP participation under Criterion B, as the sale of staple foods does not exceed 50 percent of the firm's total retail sales.

Did Not Receive Letter

Appellant explains that it did not receive the June 17, 2020, letter requesting proof of adequate inventory in the dairy products staple food category, and that is why it did not respond to the request for documentation. The record shows that the request for inventory receipts was sent on June 17, 2020, to the email address on file for the store; this is the same email address Appellant has actively used to communicate during the administrative review process.

As provided above, this review is limited to consideration of the relevant facts and circumstances as they existed at the time of the contractor's store visit and at the time that the Retailer Operations Division rendered its decision. The Retailer Operations Division sent the letter requesting proof of adequate inventory to the email address provided by Appellant on the SNAP application. This email address appears to be actively used by Appellant as it has been used during the administrative review process. Further, the July 8, 2020, letter informing Appellant of the firm's SNAP authorization withdrawal specified that the firm failed to meet inventory requirements in the dairy products staple food category and informed Appellant of the information requested in the June 17, 2020, letter which would be needed to prove eligibility. On administrative review, Appellant has had the opportunity to present this evidence, as well as any information in support of its position that the withdrawal action should be reversed, but the Appellant has provided no additional evidence. The record indicates established procedures have been followed, providing Appellant a fair and thorough review. Accordingly, Appellant's contention does not provide a valid basis for reversing the Retailer Operations Division's withdrawal determination.

Need for Access

SNAP regulations, at 7 CFR § 278.1(b)(6), state that FNS will consider whether Appellant is located in an area with significantly limited access to food when the firm fails to meet Criterion A or Criterion B, as long as it meets all other eligibility requirements. This Need for Access evaluation also considers other factors, such as distance to the nearest SNAP-authorized firm, transportation options, the extent of Appellant's stocking deficiencies, and whether Appellant furthers the purposes of the program.

The record indicates that the Retailer Operations Division conducted a Need for Access evaluation and determined Appellant does not qualify for SNAP authorization under this provision. After a review of all available evidence in this case, this review agrees that authorization under the Need for Access provision is not appropriate in this case.

CONCLUSION

Based on the analysis above, the determination by the Retailer Operations Division to withdraw the SNAP authorization of Food & Gas Mart, is sustained. The firm does not meet eligibility requirements under Criterion A or B as outlined in regulations, at 7 CFR § 278.1(b)(1), and is not eligible for authorization under Need for Access, as provided under 7 CFR § 278.1(b)(6). Additionally, the contentions presented by Appellant are not sufficient to show that the withdrawal decision made by the Retailer Operations Division should be reversed.

Pursuant to 7 CFR § 278.1(k)(2), Appellant shall not be eligible to reapply for authorization as a retailer in SNAP for a minimum period of six months from the effective date of the withdrawal. In accordance with the Food and Nutrition Act of 2008 and SNAP regulations, the withdrawal of Food & Gas Mart shall become effective 30 days after receipt of this decision.

RIGHTS AND REMEDIES

Applicable rights to a judicial review of this determination are set forth in Section 14 of the Food and Nutrition Act of 2008 (7 U.S.C. § 2023) and in SNAP regulations, at 7 CFR § 279.7. If judicial review is desired, the Complaint, naming the United States as the defendant, must be filed in the U.S. District Court for the district in which the Appellant owner resides or is engaged in business, or in any court of record of the State having competent jurisdiction. If a Complaint is filed, it must be filed within 30 days of receipt of this decision.

Under the Freedom of Information Act, we are releasing this information in a redacted format as appropriate. FNS will protect, to the extent provided by law, personal information that could constitute an unwarranted invasion of privacy.

MICHELLE WATERS
ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW OFFICER

October 2, 2020