

**U.S. Department of Agriculture
Food and Nutrition Service
Administrative Review Branch**

Family Seafood Market,

Appellant,

v.

Retailer Operations Division,

Respondent.

Case Number: C0222585

FINAL AGENCY DECISION

The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA), Food and Nutrition Service (FNS) finds that there is sufficient evidence to support the determination by the Retailer Operations Division to deny the application of Family Seafood Market (Appellant) to participate as an authorized retailer in the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program.

ISSUE

The purpose of this review is to determine whether the Retailer Operations Division took appropriate action, consistent with Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 278.1(b)(1), in its administration of the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) when it denied the application of Appellant to participate in SNAP..

AUTHORITY

7 USC § 2023 and the implementing regulations at 7 CFR § 279.1 provide that “A food retailer or wholesale food concern aggrieved by administrative action under § 278.1, § 278.6 or § 278.7 . . . may file a written request for review of the administrative action with FNS.”

CASE CHRONOLOGY

In a letter dated August 28, 2019, the Retailer Operations Division denied the application of Family Seafood Market to participate as an authorized retailer in SNAP because the firm is not a retail food store as defined by the SNAP regulations. The Retailer Operations Division determined that Appellant is primarily a restaurant because hot and/or cold prepared, ready-to-eat foods that are intended for immediate consumption, either for carryout or on-premises consumption, and requiring no additional preparation, comprise more than 50% of the firm’s total sales. Restaurants are not eligible to participate in SNAP except in certain states that operate special restaurant programs allowing the elderly, disabled, and homeless participants to

use SNAP benefits in restaurants. Ownership was informed that the firm could not submit a new application to participate as a SNAP retailer for a period of six months as provided in § 278.1(k)(2).

In a letter dated postmarked August 30, 2019, ownership appealed the Retailer Operations Division's decision and requested an administrative review of this action. The appeal was granted.

STANDARD OF REVIEW

In appeals of adverse actions, the Appellant bears the burden of proving by a preponderance of the evidence, that the administrative actions should be reversed. That means the Appellant has the burden of providing relevant evidence which a reasonable mind, considering the record as a whole, would accept as sufficient to support a conclusion that the matter asserted is more likely to be true than not true.

CONTROLLING LAW

The controlling statute in this matter is contained in the Food and Nutrition Act of 2008, as amended, 7 USC § 2018 and § 278 of Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). In particular, 7 CFR § 278.1(l)(1) and § 278.1(k)(2) establish the authority upon which FNS shall withdraw the SNAP authorization of any firm which fails to meet established eligibility requirements.

7 CFR § 278.1(l)(1) reads, in part: FNS may withdraw the authorization of any firm authorized to participate in the program for any of the following reasons:

- (i) The firm's continued participation in the program will not further the purposes of the program;
- (ii) The firm fails to meet the specification of paragraph (b), (c), (d), (e), (f), (g), (h), or (i) of this section;
- (iii) The firm fails to meet the requirements for eligibility under Criterion A or B, as specified in paragraph (b)(1)(i) of this section...for the time period specified in paragraph (k)(2) of this section.

7 CFR § 278.1(k)(1) Denying authorization, references 7 CFR § 278.1(b)(1)(iv) ineligible firms, which reads, in part:

Firms that are considered to be restaurants, that is, firms that have more than 50 percent of their total gross retail sales in hot and/or cold prepared foods not intended for home preparation and consumption, shall not qualify for participation as retail food stores under Criterion A or B. This includes firms that primarily sell prepared foods that are consumed on the premises or sold for carryout.

7 CFR § 278.1(k)(2) reads, in relevant part: FNS shall deny the application of any firm if it determines that:

(2) The firm has failed to meet the eligibility requirements for authorization under Criterion A or Criterion B, as specified in paragraph (b)(1)(i) of this section.... Any firm that has been denied authorization on these bases shall not be eligible to submit a new application for authorization in the program for a minimum period of six months from the effective date of the denial.

7 CFR § 278.1(b)(1)(i) states, in part:

An establishment...shall...effectuate the purposes of the program if it sells food for home preparation and consumption and meets one of the following criteria: Offer for sale, on a continuous basis, a variety of qualifying foods in each of the four categories of staple foods...including perishable foods in at least two of the categories (Criterion A); or have more than 50 percent of the total gross retail sales of the establishment...in staple foods (Criterion B).

7 CFR § 271.2 states, in part:

Entities that have more than 50 percent of their total gross retail sales in: Food cooked or heated on-site by the retailer before or after purchase; and hot and/or cold prepared foods not intended for home preparation and consumption, including prepared foods that are consumed on the premises or sold for carry-out are not eligible for SNAP participation as retail food stores under § 278.1(b)(1) of this chapter.

7 CFR § 278.1(b)(1)(iv) states, in part:

. . . Ineligible firms under this paragraph include, but are not limited to, stores selling only accessory foods, including spices, candy, soft drinks, tea, or coffee; ice cream vendors selling solely ice cream; and specialty doughnut shops or bakeries not selling bread. **In addition, firms that are considered to be restaurants, that is, firms that have more than 50 percent of their total gross sales in foods cooked or heated on-site by the retailer before or after purchase; and hot and/or cold prepared foods not intended for home preparation and consumption, including prepared foods that are consumed on the premises or sold for carryout, shall not qualify for participation as retail food stores under Criterion A or B...** [Emphasis added.]

APPELLANT'S CONTENTIONS

Appellant made the following summarized contentions in its August 30, 2019, administrative review request, in relevant part:

- Appellant made a mistake on the application and staple food should be 85% and accessory food 15%.
- Appellant is a seafood market and there is no cooking, no gas, and no stove.
- There are three other seafood establishments in the same city that do not sell staple foods and sell hot cooked food which is unfair and against the guidelines.
- Appellant thinks that it is unfair and discriminating that it is unable to sell fresh staple foods.

In supports of its contentions, Appellant submitted a new application for authorization indicating that staple food sales were 85% of its total sales. Appellant also submitted receipts of its sales.

The preceding may represent only a brief summary of the Appellant's contentions presented in this matter. However, in reaching a decision, full attention was given to all contentions presented, including any not specifically recapitulated or specifically referenced.

ANALYSIS AND FINDINGS

The purpose of this review is to validate or to invalidate the decision of the Retailer Operations Division. Thus, it is limited to consideration of the relevant facts at the time the Retailer Operations Division rendered its decision as to this one Appellant. The authorization of a store to participate in the SNAP must be in accord with the Act and the regulations, as amended; those requirements of law cannot be waived.

SNAP regulations at 7 CFR §278.1(b)(1)(iv) states, in part “firms that have more than 50 percent of their total gross sales in foods **cooked or heated on-site** by the retailer **before or after purchase**; and **hot and/or cold prepared foods** not intended for home preparation or consumption, including prepared foods that are consumed on the premises or sold for carryout, **shall not qualify** for participation as retail food stores. [Emphasis added.]

The application information provided by the owner indicates that the sale of cold prepared food is 85% of total gross retail sales at the business and the sale of staple food items is 5%. The Retailer Operations Division also considered information obtained during an August 18, 2019, store visit conducted by an FNS contractor to observe the nature and scope of the firm's operation, stock and facilities. Although the firm likely has some fresh seafood sales, Appellant has a large kitchen and food preparation area. There was a large amount of Styrofoam take out containers noted on the day of the store visit, not usually the way to package uncooked seafood products.

Appellant submitted receipts to supports the sale of staple food items. Appellant explains that food is not cooked on site. However, there are online reviews for hot and prepared food items. Thus, the receipts submitted that do not show any prepared food items are therefore questionable given that Appellant appears to sell hot and prepared food items based on the Styrofoam containers and online reviews.

The evidence submitted was not sufficient to refute the earlier determination that the firm has more than 50 percent of its total gross sales in prepared foods or heated on-site before or after purchase; and hot and/or cold prepared foods not intended for home preparation or consumption, including prepared foods that are consumed on the premises or sold for carryout.

Summary

The authorization of a store to participate in SNAP must be in accord with the Food and Nutrition Act and regulations, as amended. Those requirements of law cannot be waived.

Although Appellant may sell a variety of staple food items, it is more likely true than not true that the majority of foods in the store are actually sold prepared and/or hot and ready-to-eat. According to 7 CFR § 278.1(b)(1) of the SNAP regulations, such a store is considered a restaurant and is not eligible for SNAP participation as a retail food store. Therefore, Appellant's store does not qualify as a retail food store for purposes of SNAP participation.

Conclusion

Based on the discussion above, the determination by the Retailer Operations Division to deny the application of Family Seafood Market to participate as an authorized SNAP retailer is sustained.

RIGHTS AND REMEDIES

Applicable rights to a judicial review of this decision are set forth in 7 USC § 2023 and 7 CFR § 279.7. If a judicial review is desired, the Complaint, naming the United States as the defendant, must be filed in the U.S. District Court for the district in which the Appellant's owner resides or is engaged in business, or in any court of record of the State having competent jurisdiction. If any Complaint is filed, it must be filed within thirty (30) days of receipt of this Decision.

Under the Freedom of Information Act, we are releasing this information in a redacted format as appropriate. FNS will protect, to the extent provided by law, personal information that could constitute an unwarranted invasion of privacy.

Mary Kate Karagiorgos
ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW OFFICER

January 9, 2020