

**U.S. Department of Agriculture
Food and Nutrition Service
Administrative Review Branch**

Bougie Berries, LLC,

Appellant,

v.

**Office of Retailer Operations and
Compliance,**

Respondent.

Case Number: C0234420

FINAL AGENCY DECISION

The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA), Food and Nutrition Service (FNS), finds that there is insufficient evidence to support the determination by the Office of Retailer Operations and Compliance to deny the application of Bougie Berries, LLC (“Appellant”) to participate as an authorized retailer in the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program.

ISSUE

The purpose of this review is to determine whether the Office of Retailer Operations and Compliance took appropriate action, consistent with Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 278.1(b)(1), in its administration of the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) when it denied the application of Appellant to participate as an authorized SNAP retailer on August 4, 2020.

AUTHORITY

According to 7 U.S.C. § 2023 and its implementing regulations at 7 CFR § 279.1, “A food retailer or wholesale food concern aggrieved by administrative action under § 278.1, § 278.6 or § 278.7 . . . may . . . file a written request for review of the administrative action with FNS.”

CASE CHRONOLOGY

In a letter dated August 4, 2020, the Office of Retailer Operations and Compliance denied the application of Appellant to participate as an authorized retailer in SNAP because it is not a retail food store as defined by the SNAP regulations. Specifically, the denial letter states that bakeries

that do not sell bread are not eligible to participate as retail food stores. This denial action was based on information provided on the firm's retailer application.

As the firm failed to meet the eligibility criteria for approval, Appellant was informed that the firm could not submit a new application to participate in SNAP for a period of six months as provided in Section 9 of the Food and Nutrition Act of 2008, as amended.

On August 28, 2020, Appellant appealed the Office of Retailer Operations and Compliance decision and requested an administrative review of this action. The appeal was granted.

STANDARD OF REVIEW

In an appeal of an adverse action, Appellant bears the burden of proving by a preponderance of evidence that the administrative action should be reversed. That means Appellant has the burden of providing relevant evidence that a reasonable mind, considering the record as a whole, would accept as insufficient to support a conclusion that the argument asserted is more likely to be true than untrue.

CONTROLLING LAW

The controlling law in this matter is contained in the Food and Nutrition Act of 2008, as amended (7 U.S.C. § 2018), and implemented through regulation under Title 7 CFR Part 278. In particular, 7 CFR § 278.1(k)(1) establishes the authority upon which the application of any firm to participate in SNAP may be denied if it meets the definition of an ineligible firm.

7 CFR § 278.1(k)(1) references 7 CFR § 278.1(b)(1)(iv) which reads, in part:

Ineligible firms under this paragraph include, but are not limited to . . . bakeries not selling bread...Firms that are considered to be restaurants, that is, firms that have more than 50 percent of their total gross retail sales in hot and/or cold prepared foods not intended for home preparation and consumption, shall not qualify for participation as retail food stores This includes firms that primarily sell prepared foods that are consumed on the premises or sold for carryout.

The definition of retail food store at 7 CFR § 271.2 states, in part:

Entities that have more than 50 percent of their total gross retail sales in hot and/or cold prepared, ready-to-eat foods that are intended for immediate consumption either for carry-out or on-premises consumption, and require no additional preparation, are not eligible for SNAP participation as retail food stores.

7 CFR § 278.1(b)(1)(i) relays specific program requirements for retail food store participation, which reads, in part:

An establishment . . . shall . . . effectuate the purposes of the program if it meets one of the following criteria: Offer for sale, on a continuous basis, a variety of qualifying foods in each of the four categories of staple foods including perishable foods in at least [two¹] of the categories (Criterion A); or have more than 50 percent of the total gross retail sales of the establishment . . . in staple foods (Criterion B).

7 CFR § 271.2 defines staple food, in part, as:

Those food items intended for home preparation and consumption in each of the following food categories: meat, poultry, or fish; bread or cereals; vegetables or fruits; and dairy products.

7 CFR § 278.1(b)(1)(ii)(A) of the SNAP regulations as currently implemented define continuous basis as offering for sale no fewer than three different varieties of food items in each of the four staple food categories with a minimum depth of stock of three stocking units for each qualifying staple variety on any given day of operation.

7 CFR § 278.1(b)(1)(ii)(C) of the SNAP regulations define “variety”, in part, as:

Different types of foods within each staple food category. For example: Apples, cabbage, tomatoes, bananas, pumpkins, broccoli, and grapes in the vegetables or fruits category; or cow milk, almond milk, soy yogurt . . . butter, sour cream, and cow milk yogurt in the dairy products category; or rice, bagels, pitas, bread, pasta, oatmeal, and whole wheat flour in the bread or cereals category; or chicken . . . beef, pork, eggs, and tuna in the meat, poultry, or fish category. Variety of foods is not to be interpreted as different brands, nutrient values (e.g., low sodium and lite), flavorings (e.g., vanilla and chocolate), packaging types or styles (e.g., canned and frozen) or package sizes of the same or similar foods. Similar food items such as, but not limited to, tomatoes and tomato juice, different types of rice, whole milk and skim milk, ground beef and beefsteak, or different types of apples (e.g., Empire, Jonagold, and McIntosh), shall count as depth of stock but shall not each be counted as more than one staple food variety for the purpose of determining the number of varieties in any staple food category. Accessory foods shall not be counted as staple foods for purposes of determining eligibility to participate in SNAP as a retail food store.

Section 9 of the Food and Nutrition Act of 2008, as amended, states in part:

A retail food store or wholesale food concern that is denied approval to accept and redeem benefits because the store or concern does not meet criteria for approval . . . may not, for at least 6 months, submit a new application to participate in the program.

APPELLANT’S CONTENTIONS

Appellant’s responses regarding this matter are essentially as follows:

¹ Based on the current implementation of the regulations.

- Appellant does sell bread.
- Appellant carries a variety of staple food items.

These explanations may represent only a brief summary of Appellant's contentions. However, in reaching a decision, full consideration has been given to all contentions presented, including any others that have not been specifically listed here.

ANALYSIS AND FINDINGS

Although Appellant was denied authorization for being a bakery that does not sell bread, a review of the Office of Retailer Operations and Compliance's case file does not support this conclusion. Accordingly, it is unnecessary to address Appellant's contentions in this matter. However, there is insufficient information to determine if Appellant is eligible for authorization.

CONCLUSION

Based on the discussion above, the determination by the Office of Retailer Operations and Compliance to deny the application of Bougie Berries, LLC to participate as an authorized SNAP retailer is modified. The six-month waiting period to reapply as an authorized SNAP retailer is waived; Bougie Berries, LLC may reapply immediately.

Appellant is advised to consider the definition of retail food store included above prior to reapplying.

RIGHTS AND REMEDIES

Applicable rights to a judicial review of this decision are set forth in 7 U.S.C. § 2023 and 7 CFR § 279.7. If Appellant desires a judicial review, the complaint must be filed in the U.S. District Court for the district in which Appellant's owner resides, is engaged in business, or in any court of record of the State having competent jurisdiction. This complaint, naming the United States as the defendant, must be filed within thirty (30) days of receipt of this decision.

Under the Freedom of Information Act, we are releasing this information in a redacted format as appropriate. FNS will protect, to the extent provided by law, personal information that could constitute an unwarranted invasion of privacy.

RICH PROULX
ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW OFFICER

October 5, 2020