

**U.S. Department of Agriculture  
Food and Nutrition Service  
Administrative Review Branch**

**B & B Shell,**

**Appellant,**

**v.**

**Case Number: C0209110**

**Retailer Operations Division,**

**Respondent.**

**FINAL AGENCY DECISION**

The USDA, Food and Nutrition Service (FNS) finds that there is sufficient evidence to support the decision of the Retailer Operations Division to withdraw the authorization of B & B Shell to participate as a retailer in the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP). As a result, the Appellant may not reapply for SNAP authorization for a period of six months.

**ISSUE**

The issue accepted for review is whether the Retailer Operations Division took appropriate action, consistent with Title 7 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Part 278, when it withdrew the authorization of B & B Shell.

**AUTHORITY**

7 U.S.C. § 2023 and its implementing regulations at 7 CFR § 279.1 provide that “A food retailer or wholesale food concern aggrieved by administrative action under § 278.1, § 278.6 or § 278.7 ... may ... file a written request for review of the administrative action with FNS.”

**CASE CHRONOLOGY**

As part of a routine reauthorization process, the Appellant submitted an online reauthorization form FNS-252-R, entitled “Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program Reauthorization Application for Stores” on March 7, 2018. The FNS-252-R documented that three (3) percent of the firm’s gross retail sales were in staple foods and that ten (10) percent were in “accessory” food items such as snack foods, carbonated and non-carbonated beverages, condiments and spices. The Appellant reported that 87 percent of its gross retail sales were in non-food items or hot and cold prepared food. Of these, the vast majority of the store’s sales were in gasoline, lottery tickets, and tobacco products.

The Appellant also stated in the FNS-252-R that it had at least the minimum three (3) varieties of staple food, each consisting of at least three (3) stocking units, in three (3) of the four (4) required staple food categories. The minimum variety was not met in the Fruits and Vegetables category. In addition, the Appellant reported that it had no perishable foods in any of the four (4) staple food categories. On the face of the store reauthorization application, the Appellant store appeared to be ineligible under both Criterion A and Criterion B.

An FNS contractor conducted a store visit on March 17, 2018 to document the firm's food inventory for the purpose of determining the continued eligibility of B & B Shell to participate in the SNAP. The store visit report showed that the store was deficient as it only had two (2) out of the three (3) minimum required varieties of qualifying staple food in the Dairy category. The store visit did document that the store carried at least one (1) perishable variety in each of the four (4) staple food categories.

After reviewing the store visit report and photographs, the Retailer Operations Division informed the Appellant by letter dated May 1, 2018 that the authorization of B & B Shell to participate in the SNAP was withdrawn because the firm did not meet the eligibility criteria for stores as mandated by Federal regulations at 7 CFR § 278.1(b)(1). The letter stated that the Appellant failed to meet Criterion A as it did not maintain a sufficient stock of staple foods to be eligible to accept SNAP benefits. The store also failed to meet Criterion B because the store's staple food sales did not comprise more than 50 percent of its annual gross retail sales. Lastly, the Retailer Operations Division determined that the firm did not qualify under the need for access provision of 7 CFR § 278.1(b)(6) as the store was not located in an area with significantly limited access to food under access criteria established by FNS.

In a letter postmarked May 8, 2018, the Appellant requested an administrative review of the Retailer Operation Division's decision to withdraw the firm's SNAP authorization. The request for review was granted and implementation of the withdrawal was held in abeyance pending completion of this review.

## **STANDARD OF REVIEW**

In appeals of adverse actions, an appellant bears the burden of proving by a preponderance of the evidence, that the administrative actions should be reversed. That means an appellant has the burden of providing relevant evidence which a reasonable mind, considering the record as a whole, might accept as sufficient to support a conclusion that the matter asserted is more likely to be true than not true.

## **CONTROLLING LAW AND REGULATIONS**

The controlling law in this matter is found in the Food and Nutrition Act of 2008, as amended (7 U.S.C. § 2018), and promulgated through regulation under Title 7 CFR Part 278. In particular, 7 CFR § 278.1(l) provides the authority upon which FNS shall withdraw the SNAP authorization of any firm if it fails to maintain established eligibility criteria.

7 CFR § 278.1(l)(1) reads, in part:

FNS shall withdraw the authorization of any firm authorized to participate in the program for any of the following reasons:

- (i) The firm's continued participation in the program will not further the purposes of the program;
- (ii) The firm fails to meet the specification of paragraph (b), (c), (d), (e), (f), (g), (h), or (i) of this section;
- (iii) The firm fails to meet the requirements for eligibility under Criterion A or B, as specified in paragraph (b)(1)(i) of this section...for the time period specified in paragraph (k)(2) of this section.

7 CFR § 271.2 defines a retail food store, in part, as:

An establishment or house-to-house trade route that sells food for home preparation and consumption normally displayed in a public area, and either offers for sale qualifying staple food items on a continuous basis, evidenced by having no fewer than [three\*] different varieties of food items in each of the four staple food categories with a minimum depth of stock of three stocking units for each qualifying staple variety, including at least one variety of perishable foods in at least [two1] such categories (Criterion A) as set forth in § 278.1(b)(1) of this chapter, or has more than 50 percent of its total gross retail sales in staple foods (Criterion B) as set forth in § 278.1(b)(1) of this chapter as determined by visual inspection, marketing structure, business licenses, accessibility of food items offered for sale, purchase and sales records, counting of stockkeeping units, or other inventory or accounting recordkeeping methods that are customary or reasonable in the retail food industry as set forth in § 278.1(b)(1) of this chapter....

7 CFR § 271.2 defines staple food, in part, as:

... those food items intended for home preparation and consumption in each of the following four categories: Meat, poultry, or fish; bread or cereals; vegetables or fruits; and dairy products... Hot foods are not eligible for purchase with SNAP benefits and, therefore, do not qualify as staple foods for the purpose of determining eligibility under § 278.1(b)(1) of this chapter. Commercially processed foods and prepared mixtures with multiple ingredients that do not represent a single staple food category shall only be counted in one staple food category. For example, foods such as cold pizza, macaroni and cheese, multi-ingredient soup, or frozen dinners, shall only be counted as one staple food item and will be included in the staple food category of the main ingredient as determined by FNS. Accessory food items include foods that are generally considered snack foods or desserts such as, but not limited to, chips, ice cream, crackers, cupcakes, cookies,

---

<sup>1</sup> As currently implemented. See SNAP Retailer Policy and Management Division Policy Memorandum 2018-04 for additional information regarding the enhanced retailer standards, which were implemented on January 17, 2018. This memorandum can be found on the FNS public website at <https://www.fns.usda.gov/snap/retailer-eligibility-clarification-of-criterion>.

popcorn, pastries, and candy, and other food items that complement or supplement meals, such as, but not limited to, coffee, tea, cocoa, carbonated and uncarbonated drinks, condiments, spices, salt, and sugar. Items shall not be classified as accessory food exclusively based on packaging size but rather based on the aforementioned definition and as determined by FNS. A food product containing an accessory food item as its main ingredient shall be considered an accessory food item. Accessory food items shall not be considered staple foods for purposes of determining the eligibility of any firm.

7 CFR § 278.1(b)(1)(i)(A) reads, in part,

An establishment...will effectuate the purposes of the program if it sells food for home preparation and consumption and meets one of the following criteria: Offer for sale, on a continuous basis, a variety of qualifying foods in each of the four categories of staple foods...including perishable foods in at least [two\*] of the categories (Criterion A); or have more than 50 percent of the total gross retail sales of the establishment...in staple foods (Criterion B).

7 CFR § 278.1(b)(1)(ii) states in part:

In order to qualify under [Criterion A] firms shall:

(A) Offer for sale and normally display in a public area, qualifying staple food items on a continuous basis, evidenced by having, on any given day of operation, no fewer than [three\*] different varieties of food items in each of the four staple food categories with a minimum depth of stock of three stocking units for each qualifying staple variety and at least one variety of perishable foods in at least [two\*] staple food categories.

Documentation to determine if a firm stocks a sufficient amount of required staple foods to offer them for sale on a continuous basis may be required in cases where it is not clear that the firm has made reasonable stocking efforts to meet the stocking requirement. Such documentation can be achieved through verifying information, when requested by FNS, such as invoices and receipts in order to prove that the firm had ordered and/or received a sufficient amount of required staple foods up to 21 calendar days prior to the date of the store visit...

---

\* As currently implemented. See SNAP Retailer Policy and Management Division Policy Memorandum 2018-04 for additional information regarding the enhanced retailer standards, which were implemented on January 17, 2018. This memorandum can be found on the FNS public website at <https://www.fns.usda.gov/snap/retailer-eligibility-clarification-of-criterion>.

(B) Offer for sale perishable staple food items in at least [two\*] staple food categories. Perishable foods are items which are either frozen staple food items or fresh, unrefrigerated or refrigerated staple food items that will spoil or suffer significant deterioration in quality within 2-3 weeks; and

(C) [Offer a variety of staple foods which means different types of foods, such as apples, cabbage, tomatoes, and squash in the fruit or vegetable staple food category, or milk, cheese, butter and yogurt in the dairy category. Variety of foods is not to be interpreted as different brands, different nutrient values, different varieties of packaging, or different package sizes. Similar processed food items with varying ingredients such as, but not limited to, sausages, breakfast cereals, milk, sliced breads, and cheeses, and similar unprocessed food items, such as, but not limited to different varieties of apples, cabbage, tomatoes, or squash shall not each be considered as more than one staple food variety for the purpose of determining variety. Multiple ingredient food items...such as...cold pizza, macaroni and cheese, soup, or frozen dinners, shall only be counted as one staple food variety each and will normally be included in the staple food category of the main ingredient as determined by the FNS.\*]

7 CFR § 278.1(b)(1)(iii) states in part:

In order to qualify under [Criterion B] firms must have more than 50 percent of their total gross retail sales in staple food sales. Total gross retail sales must include all retail sales of a firm, including food and non-food merchandise, as well as services, such as rental fees, professional fees, and entertainment/sports/games income ....

7 CFR § 278.1(k)(2) states, in part:

Any firm that has been denied authorization on these bases shall not be eligible to submit a new application for authorization in the program for a minimum period of six months from the effective date of the denial.

7 CFR § 278.1(b)(6) states in part:

Need for access. FNS will consider whether the applicant firm is located in an area with significantly limited access to food when the applicant firm fails to meet Criterion A per paragraph (b)(1)(ii) or Criterion B per paragraph (b)(1)(iii) of this section so long as the applicant firm meets all other SNAP authorization requirements. In determining whether an applicant is located in such an area, FNS may consider access factors such as, but not limited to, the distance from the applicant firm to the nearest currently SNAP authorized firm and

---

\* As currently implemented. See SNAP Retailer Policy and Management Division Policy Memorandum 2018-04 for additional information regarding the enhanced retailer standards, which were implemented on January 17, 2018. This memorandum can be found on the FNS public website at <https://www.fns.usda.gov/snap/retailer-eligibility-clarification-of-criterion>.

transportation options. In determining whether to authorize an applicant despite its failure to meet Criterion A and Criterion B, FNS will also consider factors such as, but not limited to, the extent of the applicant firm's stocking deficiencies in meeting Criterion A and Criterion B and whether the store furthers the purposes of the Program. Such considerations will be conducted during the application process ....

## APPELLANT'S CONTENTIONS

The Appellant, through counsel, made the following summarized contentions in its request for administrative review, in relevant part:

- The apparent reason for the withdrawal of authorization has to do with the absence of sour cream or French onion dip. Because of a lack of demand, the orders became increasingly smaller so that the supplier no longer supplied those items. These items continually spoiled and that is why they had to be thrown out and therefore none were in stock during the store visit.
- Invoices dated subsequent to the store visit show that this item is now being purchased again.
- The store should be given another opportunity to demonstrate strict compliance.
- The area where the retailer is located certainly has limited access to food. Most of the patrons who use this store come on foot. The store is providing signed letters from 40 customers in support of it remaining authorized for the SNAP.

The preceding may represent only a brief summary of the Appellant's contentions in this matter. However, in reaching a decision, full attention and consideration has been given to all contentions presented, including any not specifically recapitulated or specifically referenced herein.

## ANALYSIS AND FINDINGS

### Criterion A

The Retailer Operations Division determined that B & B Shell was not eligible under Criterion A because it carried **only two qualifying varieties** of staple food (20+ stocking units of milk and 20+ stocking units of cheese) in the Dairy category on the day of the store visit. The pictures taken during the store visit support this conclusion.

The Appellant states that the missing variety was sour cream or French onion dip which does not sell regularly and therefore is frequently thrown away. Regarding this contention, FNS does not require the Appellant to carry sour cream. There are a number of staple food items that could qualify as a third variety including, but not limited to, soymilk, butter/margarine, infant formula, yogurt, etc. At no time did FNS require the Appellant to specifically carry sour cream and what the retailer chooses to carry is its own business decision. However, to meet Criterion A, a firm must carry no fewer than three (3) different varieties of staple food in each of the four (4) staple food categories with a minimum depth of three (3) stocking units for each qualifying staple variety. There also must be at least one (1) variety of perishable foods in at least two (2) staple food categories. In addition, the SNAP regulations at 7 CFR § 278.1(b)(1)(i)(A) and 7 CFR § 278.1(b)(1)(ii) require retailers to meet Criterion A eligibility requirements "on a continuous basis."

The store visit report and photographs support the Retailer Operation Division's conclusion that the store did not carry three (3) varieties of staple food with a minimum depth of three (3) stocking units in the Dairy category on the day of the store visit. To be eligible under Criterion A, a store must meet the eligibility requirements on a continuous basis. It is no excuse that the store was only temporarily low on dairy stock on the day of the store visit. Based on the analysis above, a preponderance of the evidence indicates that the firm is not eligible under Criterion A.

### **Criterion B**

The Retailer Operations Division determined that the Appellant store did not meet Criterion B because the store's staple food sales did not comprise more than 50 percent of its gross retail sales. This is confirmed by the Appellant's reauthorization application which shows its staple food sales at three (3) percent of its gross retail sales. The vast majority of the store's gross retail sales are in non-food items including gasoline, lottery, and tobacco purchases. There is nothing in the case record which would indicate that this percentage of staple food sales to gross retail sales is inaccurate. Based on a preponderance of the evidence, the Retailer Operations Division properly determined that the Appellant store was ineligible under Criterion B.

### **Need for Access**

SNAP regulations at 7 CFR § 278.1(b)(6) state that FNS will consider whether or not the Appellant firm is located in an area with significantly limited access to food when the firm fails to meet Criterion A or Criterion B as long as it meets all other eligibility requirements. This Need for Access evaluation also considers other factors, such as distance to the nearest SNAP-authorized firm, transportation options, the extent of the Appellant's stocking deficiencies, and whether or not the Appellant firm furthers the purposes of the program.

The case record indicates that the Retailer Operations Division conducted a Need for Access evaluation and appropriately determined that the Appellant firm did not qualify for SNAP authorization under this provision. After a review of all available evidence, this review agrees that authorization under the Need for Access provision is not appropriate in this case.

### **Signed Statements from Alleged Customers**

The Appellant submitted 40 signed form statements from alleged store customers stating that they would suffer a hardship if the store authorization is withdrawn. These signed statements do not provide a basis for reauthorizing an otherwise ineligible store. Nevertheless, agency mapping systems show that there are 31 SNAP authorized stores within a one-mile radius of the Appellant store. These SNAP authorized stores include five (5) small grocery stores (one of which is located 0.10 miles away) a supermarket and two (2) superstores. These larger stores all carry a greater depth and breadth of staple food superior to those of a convenience store such as B & B Shell. It is therefore unlikely that these alleged customers will suffer a true hardship as opposed to a mere inconvenience if the authorization of B & B Shell is withdrawn.

## **Store Invoices**

The Appellant provided store invoices dating from after the store visit to show that it has restocked in order to maintain SNAP eligibility. However, it is important to clarify for the record that the purpose of this review is to either validate or to invalidate the earlier determination of the Retailer Operations Division. This review is limited to the circumstances which existed at the time of the store visit as this is the basis of the Retailer Operations Division's action.

## **CONCLUSION**

Based on the analysis above, the decision by the Retailer Operations Division to withdraw the SNAP authorization of B & B Shell is sustained. The regulations clearly state the criteria that a store must meet in order to be authorized for the SNAP. There are no exceptions to these requirements. In accordance with 7 CFR § 278.1(k)(2), the Appellant shall not be eligible to submit a new application for SNAP authorization for a period of six (6) months.

## **RIGHTS AND REMEDIES**

Section 14 of the Food and Nutrition Act of 2008 (7 U.S.C. § 2023) and Title 7, Code of Federal Regulations, Part 279.7 (7 CFR § 279.7) addresses your right to a judicial review of this determination. Please note that if a judicial review is desired, the Complaint, naming the United States as the defendant, must be filed in the U.S. District Court for the district in which you reside or are engaged in business, or in any court of record of the State having competent jurisdiction. If any Complaint is filed, it must be filed within thirty (30) days of receipt of this Decision.

Under the Freedom of Information Act, it may be necessary to release this document and related correspondence and records upon request. If we receive such a request, we will seek to protect, to the extent provided by law, personal information that if released, could constitute and unwarranted invasion of privacy.

RONALD C. GWINN  
Administrative Review Officer

July 5, 2018