

**U.S. Department of Agriculture  
Food and Nutrition Service  
Administrative Review Branch**

**AC&T Falling Waters Exxon #720,**

**Appellant,**

**v.**

**Case Number: C0223293**

**Retailer Operations Division,**

**Respondent.**

**FINAL AGENCY DECISION**

It is the decision of the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA), Food and Nutrition Service (FNS), that FNS's Retailer Operations Division properly withdrew the authorization of AC&T Falling Waters Exxon #720 (hereinafter "Appellant") from participation as a retailer in the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP). As a result, the firm may not reapply for SNAP authorization for a period of six months from the date of withdrawal.

**ISSUE**

The issue accepted for review is whether or not the Retailer Operations Division took appropriate action, consistent with Title 7 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Part 278, in its administration of SNAP when it withdrew the authorization of AC&T Falling Waters Exxon #720.

**AUTHORITY**

7 U.S.C. § 2023 and its implementing regulations at 7 CFR § 279.1 provide that "[A] food retailer or wholesale food concern aggrieved by administrative action under § 278.1, § 278.6 or § 278.7 . . . may file a written request for review of the administrative action with FNS."

**CASE CHRONOLOGY**

In a letter dated September 20, 2019, the Retailer Operations Division informed the Appellant that its authorization to participate as a retailer in SNAP would be withdrawn due to the firm's failure to meet basic program eligibility requirements. This withdrawal decision was based on observations made during a contractor's store inspection on August 17, 2019, as well as information provided on the firm's reauthorization application dated November 19, 2018.

The Retailer Operations Division determined that the firm did not meet eligibility requirements under Criterion A or Criterion B pursuant to SNAP regulations at 7 CFR § 278.1(b)(1). The

withdrawal letter stated the Appellant failed to meet the requirements of Criterion A because in at least one of the four staple food categories it did not offer for sale on a continuous basis a variety of foods in required minimum quantities. It also stated that the Appellant failed to meet the requirements of Criterion B because staple food sales did not comprise more than 50 percent of its total retail sales. Additionally, the letter indicated that FNS considered the firm's eligibility under the Need for Access provision of the regulations found at 7 CFR § 278.1(b)(6), but determined that the Appellant did not qualify for SNAP under this provision.

As a result of being found ineligible for participation under both Criteria A and B, and being found ineligible under the Need for Access provision, the Appellant's SNAP authorization was withdrawn for a period of six months pursuant to regulation at 7 CFR § 278.1(k)(2).

In a letter postmarked September 26, 2019, the Appellant requested an administrative review of the agency's determination. The request was granted and implementation of the withdrawal has been held in abeyance pending the outcome of this review.

### **STANDARD OF REVIEW**

In an appeal of adverse action, such as the withdrawal of a firm's SNAP authorization, an appellant bears the burden of proving by a preponderance of the evidence that the administrative action should be reversed. This means that an appellant has the burden of providing relevant evidence which a reasonable mind, considering the record as a whole, would accept as sufficient to support a conclusion that the matter asserted is more likely to be true than not true.

### **CONTROLLING LAW AND REGULATIONS**

The controlling law in this matter is found in the Food and Nutrition Act of 2008, as amended (7 U.S.C. § 2018), and promulgated through regulation under Title 7 CFR Part 278. In particular, 7 CFR § 278.1(l)(1) and § 278.1(k)(2) establish the authority upon which FNS shall withdraw the SNAP authorization of any firm which fails to meet established eligibility requirements.

7 CFR § 278.1(l)(1) reads, in part:

FNS may withdraw the authorization of any firm authorized to participate in the program for any of the following reasons:

- (i) The firm's continued participation in the program will not further the purposes of the program;
- (ii) The firm fails to meet the specification of paragraph (b), (c), (d), (e), (f), (g), (h), or (i) of this section;
- (iii) The firm fails to meet the requirements for eligibility under Criterion A or B, as specified in paragraph (b)(1)(i) of this section...for the time period specified in paragraph (k)(2) of this section.

7 CFR § 278.1(k)(2) reads, in relevant part:

FNS shall deny the application of any firm if it determines that:

- (2) The firm has failed to meet the eligibility requirements for authorization under Criterion A or Criterion B, as specified in paragraph (b)(1)(i) of this section.... Any firm that has been denied

authorization on these bases shall not be eligible to submit a new application for authorization in the program for a minimum period of six months from the effective date of the denial.

7 CFR § 271.2 defines a retail food store as:

(1) An establishment or house-to-house trade route that sells food for home preparation and consumption normally displayed in a public area, and either offers for sale qualifying staple food items on a continuous basis, evidenced by having no fewer than [three]\* different varieties of food items in each of the four staple food categories with a minimum depth of stock of three stocking units for each qualifying staple variety, including at least one variety of perishable foods in at least [two]\* such categories (Criterion A) as set forth in § 278.1(b)(1) of this chapter, or has more than 50 percent of its total gross retail sales in staple foods (Criterion B) as set forth in § 278.1(b)(1) of this chapter as determined by visual inspection, marketing structure, business licenses, accessibility of food items offered for sale, purchase and sales records, counting of stockkeeping units, or other inventory or accounting recordkeeping methods that are customary or reasonable in the retail food industry as set forth in § 278.1(b)(1) of this chapter...

7 CFR § 271.2 defines staple food as:

...food items intended for home preparation and consumption in each of the following four categories: Meat, poultry, or fish; bread or cereals; vegetables or fruits; and dairy products... Hot foods are not eligible for purchase with SNAP benefits and, therefore, do not qualify as staple foods for the purpose of determining eligibility under § 278.1(b)(1) of this chapter. Commercially processed foods and prepared mixtures with multiple ingredients that do not represent a single staple food category shall only be counted in one staple food category. For example, foods such as cold pizza, macaroni and cheese, multi-ingredient soup, or frozen dinners, shall only be counted as one staple food item and will be included in the staple food category of the main ingredient as determined by FNS. Accessory food items include foods that are generally considered snack foods or desserts such as, but not limited to, chips, ice cream, crackers, cupcakes, cookies, popcorn, pastries, and candy, and other food items that complement or supplement meals, such as, but not limited to, coffee, tea, cocoa, carbonated and uncarbonated drinks, condiments, spices, salt, and sugar. Items shall not be classified as accessory food exclusively based on packaging size but rather based on the aforementioned definition and as determined by FNS. A food product containing an accessory food item as its main ingredient shall be considered an accessory food item. Accessory food items shall not be considered staple foods for purposes of determining the eligibility of any firm.

7 CFR § 278.1(b)(1)(i) states, in part:

An establishment...will effectuate the purposes of the program if it sells food for home preparation and consumption and meets one of the following criteria: Offer for sale, on a continuous basis, a variety of qualifying foods in each of the four categories of staple

---

\* As currently implemented. See SNAP Retailer Policy and Management Division Policy Memorandum 2018-04 for additional information regarding the enhanced retailer standards, which were implemented on January 17, 2018. This memorandum can be found on the FNS public website at <https://www.fns.usda.gov/snap/retailer-eligibility-clarification-of-criterion>.

foods...including perishable foods in at least [two]\* of the categories (Criterion A); or have more than 50 percent of the total gross retail sales of the establishment...in staple foods (Criterion B).

7 CFR § 278.1(b)(1)(ii) states, in part:

In order to qualify under [Criterion A] firms shall:

(A) Offer for sale and normally display in a public area, qualifying staple food items on a continuous basis, evidenced by having, on any given day of operation, no fewer than [three]\* different varieties of food items in each of the four staple food categories with a minimum depth of stock of three stocking units for each qualifying staple variety and at least one variety of perishable foods in at least [two]\* staple food categories. Documentation to determine if a firm stocks a sufficient amount of required staple foods to offer them for sale on a continuous basis may be required in cases where it is not clear that the firm has made reasonable stocking efforts to meet the stocking requirement. Such documentation can be achieved through verifying information, when requested by FNS, such as invoices and receipts in order to prove that the firm had ordered and/or received a sufficient amount of required staple foods up to 21 calendar days prior to the date of the store visit...

(B) Offer for sale perishable staple food items in at least [two]\* staple food categories. Perishable foods are items which are either frozen staple food items or fresh, unrefrigerated or refrigerated staple food items that will spoil or suffer significant deterioration in quality within 2-3 weeks; and

(C) [Offer a variety of staple foods which means different types of foods, such as apples, cabbage, tomatoes, and squash in the fruit or vegetable staple food category, or milk, cheese, butter and yogurt in the dairy category. Variety of foods is not to be interpreted as different brands, different nutrient values, different varieties of packaging, or different package sizes. Similar processed food items with varying ingredients such as, but not limited to, sausages, breakfast cereals, milk, sliced breads, and cheeses, and similar unprocessed food items, such as, but not limited to different varieties of apples, cabbage, tomatoes, or squash shall not each be considered as more than one staple food variety for the purpose of determining variety. Multiple ingredient food items...such as...cold pizza, macaroni and cheese, soup, or frozen dinners, shall only be counted as one staple food variety each and will normally be included in the staple food category of the main ingredient as determined by the FNS.]\*

7 CFR § 278.1(b)(1)(iii) states, in part:

In order to qualify under [Criterion B] firms must have more than 50 percent of their total gross retail sales in staple food sales. Total gross retail sales must include all retail sales of a firm, including food and non-food merchandise, as well as services, such as rental fees, professional fees, and entertainment/sports/games income...

---

\* As currently implemented. See SNAP Retailer Policy and Management Division Policy Memorandum 2018-04 for additional information regarding the enhanced retailer standards, which were implemented on January 17, 2018. This memorandum can be found on the FNS public website at <https://www.fns.usda.gov/snap/retailer-eligibility-clarification-of-criterion>.

\* As currently implemented. See SNAP Retailer Policy and Management Division Policy Memorandum 2018-04 for additional information regarding the enhanced retailer standards, which were implemented on January 17, 2018. This memorandum can be found on the FNS public website at <https://www.fns.usda.gov/snap/retailer-eligibility-clarification-of-criterion>.

7 CFR § 278.1(b)(6) states:

Need for access. FNS will consider whether the applicant firm is located in an area with significantly limited access to food when the applicant firm fails to meet Criterion A per paragraph (b)(1)(ii) or Criterion B per paragraph (b)(1)(iii) of this section so long as the applicant firm meets all other SNAP authorization requirements. In determining whether an applicant is located in such an area, FNS may consider access factors such as, but not limited to, the distance from the applicant firm to the nearest currently SNAP authorized firm and transportation options. In determining whether to authorize an applicant despite its failure to meet Criterion A and Criterion B, FNS will also consider factors such as, but not limited to, the extent of the applicant firm's stocking deficiencies in meeting Criterion A and Criterion B and whether the store furthers the purposes of the Program. Such considerations will be conducted during the application process as described in paragraph (a) of this section.

### **APPELLANT'S CONTENTIONS**

The Appellant made the following summarized contentions in its request for administrative review, in relevant part:

- Upon receipt of the withdrawal letter, the Appellant contacted the Retailer Operations Division and left several messages, but got no response. Appellant then contacted the Retailer Service Center and was told about a letter dated September 4, 2019, which requested receipt information from the firm. Apparently, the firm's response to this letter was incorrect.
- Upon further investigation, Appellant discovered that the September 4 letter had been mailed to the store location in West Virginia rather than the corporate office and the agency's request was handled by store personnel. The Appellant spoke to the store employee who handled the response, but apparently the response was handled incorrectly.
- A copy of the letter was not kept by the store, so the Appellant still is not certain what the September 4 letter from FNS entailed.
- The Appellant owners own seven other SNAP-authorized stores.

Upon receipt of the Appellant's request for administrative review, and in light of the confusion that seemed to be present in this case, the review officer contacted the secretary/treasurer of the corporation by phone and by e-mail on November 1, 2019. The review officer provided the Appellant with the following: A copy of the September 4 letter requesting receipt information; a copy of the inventory invoice submitted by store personnel in response to the September 4 letter; a copy of the September 20, 2019, withdrawal letter; and a copy of an October 31, 2019, letter from the review officer acknowledging the Appellant's request for administrative review.

In response to the November 1 e-mail, the Appellant, on November 4, 2019, submitted four additional inventory invoices from the following vendors: Hershey's Ice Cream; US Foods, Inc.; Cooper-Booth Wholesale Co.; and Galliker Dairy Company. The Appellant then stated that the invoices show products such as cheese, milk, and ice cream, and asked if ice cream was an acceptable item for the dairy staple food category. If not, the Appellant stated that it would provide an invoice with another acceptable item.

In response to this question, the review officer sent an e-mail which explained that while ice cream was allowed to be purchased with SNAP benefits, it was not considered a staple food item for eligibility purposes. Instead, ice cream is considered an accessory food. This response was sent to the Appellant on November 4, 2019. As of the date of this decision, no other correspondence or documentation has been submitted by the Appellant. The preceding may represent only a brief summary of the Appellant's contentions presented in this matter. However, in reaching a final decision, full attention was given to all information submitted, including any not specifically summarized or explicitly referenced in this document.

## **ANALYSIS AND FINDINGS**

The purpose of this review is to either validate or invalidate the September 20, 2019, withdrawal determination made by the Retailer Operations Division. This review is limited to consideration of the relevant facts as they existed at the time of the contractor's visit to the store.

After reviewing the contractor's store visit report and photographs as well as evaluating the contentions and evidence submitted by the Appellant, it is the determination of this review that AC&T Falling Waters Exxon #720 does not carry sufficient staple food inventory to be eligible for continued SNAP authorization under Criterion A. Specifically, the firm is deficient in the dairy category. As best as this review can determine, the only dairy varieties in sufficient quantities in the store on the day of the contractor's visit were milk and cheese. In order for a firm to be eligible under Criterion A, it must offer for sale no fewer than three different varieties of food items in each of the four staple food categories with a minimum depth of stock of three stocking units for each variety. In this case, the firm was deficient in one variety of dairy.

On September 4, 2019, the Retailer Operations Division sent the Appellant a letter stating that the firm was lacking staple food inventory. The letter gave the firm an opportunity to provide invoices or receipts as evidence that the store normally carries at least three stocking units of at least three different varieties of dairy products. The letter stated that the invoices or receipts must be dated no more than 21 calendar days prior to the August 17, 2019, store visit, and may not be dated after the date of the store visit.

In response to this request, the Appellant submitted one inventory invoice from Cooper-Booth Wholesale Co. This invoice lists additional dairy items, including a butter substitute (margarine) and yogurt; however, the invoice is dated September 9, 2019, which is more than three weeks after the contractor's store visit occurred. As such, it could not be accepted. In accordance with regulations at 7 CFR § 278.1(b)(1)(ii)(A), FNS can accept only those receipts and invoices that are dated up to 21 calendar days prior to the date of the store visit.

In its request for administrative review, the Appellant submitted four additional invoices, three of which were within the 21-day timeframe noted above. An invoice from US Foods, Inc. was dated August 19, 2019, which is two days after the store visit, and thus, cannot be considered. Of the three invoices within the appropriate timeframe, none show additional dairy items besides milk and cheese, which were already determined to be stocked in sufficient quantities. A receipt from

Hershey's Ice Cream did not contain any dairy staple foods, as ice cream is considered an accessory food. As such, the firm remains one dairy variety short of program eligibility.

SNAP regulations require that a firm continuously maintain sufficient inventory in all four staple food categories in order to remain eligible for program participation (see 7 CFR § 278.1(b)(1)(i) and (ii)). A firm that does not maintain proper inventory at all times does not effectuate the purposes of the program and cannot remain authorized.

Based on the evidence in this case it is clear to this review that on the day of the store visit (or as stated in regulations, "on any given day of operation"), the firm did not carry sufficient dairy inventory as required for eligibility under Criterion A. The firm is also not eligible for SNAP participation under Criterion B, as the sale of staple foods does not exceed 50 percent of its total sales. According to the Appellant's reauthorization application, less than 3 percent of the firm's total sales come from the sale of staple foods.

### **Need for Access**

SNAP regulations at 7 CFR § 278.1(b)(6) state that FNS will consider authorizing a firm which fails to meet Criterion A or B as long as it is located in an area with significantly limited access to food and provided that it meets all other eligibility requirements. This Need for Access evaluation considers factors such as distance to the nearest SNAP-authorized retail store, transportation options, extent of the firm's stocking deficiencies, and whether or not the firm furthers the purposes of the program.

As for AC&T Falling Waters Exxon #720, the record indicates that the Retailer Operations Division conducted a Need for Access evaluation and determined that the firm is not located in an area with significantly limited access to food and thus, does not qualify for SNAP authorization under this provision. After an analysis of all available evidence in this case, this review finds that Need for Access was fully and properly considered and that authorization under this provision is not appropriate.

## **CONCLUSION**

Based on the analysis above, it is the determination of this review that the Appellant firm does not meet program eligibility requirements under Criterion A or B as outlined in regulations at 7 CFR § 278.1(b)(1). Additionally, the contentions and evidence presented by the Appellant are not sufficient to show that the withdrawal decision should be reversed. Accordingly, the decision by the Retailer Operations Division to withdraw the SNAP authorization of AC&T Falling Waters Exxon #720 is sustained.

Pursuant to 7 CFR § 278.1(k)(2), the Appellant shall not be eligible to reapply for participation as a retailer in SNAP for a minimum period of six months from the date of withdrawal. In accordance with the Food and Nutrition Act of 2008 and SNAP regulations, the authorization withdrawal of AC&T Falling Waters Exxon #720 shall become effective 30 days after receipt of this decision.

## **RIGHTS AND REMEDIES**

Applicable rights to a judicial review of this decision are set forth in Section 14 of the Food and Nutrition Act of 2008 (7 U.S.C. § 2023) and in Section 279.7 of the SNAP regulations. If a judicial review is desired, the complaint, naming the United States as the defendant, must be filed in the U.S. District Court for the district in which the Appellant owner resides or is engaged in business, or in any court of record of the State having competent jurisdiction. If a complaint is filed, it must be filed within 30 days of receipt of this decision.

Under the Freedom of Information Act, we are releasing this information in a redacted format as appropriate. FNS will protect, to the extent provided by law, personal information that could constitute an unwarranted invasion of privacy.

JON YORGASON  
Administrative Review Officer

March 2, 2020