

**U.S. Department of Agriculture
Food and Nutrition Service
Administrative and Judicial Review Branch**

TJS Pine Level,

Appellant,

v.

Retailer Operations Division,

Respondent.

Case Number: C0253861

FINAL AGENCY DECISION

It is the decision of the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA), Food and Nutrition Service (FNS), that FNS's Retailer Operations Division properly withdrew the authorization of TJS Pine Level (hereinafter "Appellant") from participation as a retailer in the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP). As a result, the firm may not reapply for SNAP authorization for a period of six months from the date of withdrawal.

ISSUE

The issue accepted for review is whether the Retailer Operations Division took appropriate action, consistent with Title 7 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Part 278, when it withdrew the authorization of TJS Pine Level to participate as a SNAP retailer.

AUTHORITY

7 U.S.C. § 2023 and its implementing regulations at 7 CFR § 279.1 provide that "[A] food retailer or wholesale food concern aggrieved by administrative action under § 278.1, § 278.6 or § 278.7 . . . may file a written request for review of the administrative action with FNS."

CASE CHRONOLOGY

In a letter dated March 15, 2022, the Retailer Operations Division informed the Appellant that its authorization to participate as a retailer in SNAP would be withdrawn due to the firm's failure to meet basic program eligibility requirements. This withdrawal decision was based on observations made during a contractor's store inspection on March 10, 2022, as well as information provided on the firm's reauthorization application dated October 15, 2021.

The Retailer Operations Division determined that the firm did not meet eligibility requirements under Criterion A or Criterion B pursuant to SNAP regulations at 7 CFR § 278.1(b)(1). The

withdrawal letter stated that the Appellant failed to meet the requirements of Criterion A because in at least one of the four staple food categories it did not offer for sale on a continuous basis a variety of foods in required minimum quantities. It also stated that the Appellant failed to meet the requirements of Criterion B because staple food sales did not comprise more than 50 percent of its total retail sales. Additionally, the letter indicated that FNS considered the firm's eligibility under the Need for Access provision of the regulations found at 7 CFR § 278.1(b)(6) but determined that the Appellant did not qualify for authorization under this provision.

As a result of being found ineligible for participation under both Criteria A and B, and being found ineligible under the Need for Access provision, the Appellant's SNAP authorization was withdrawn for a period of six months pursuant to regulation at 7 CFR § 278.1(k)(2).

In a letter dated March 22, 2022, the Appellant requested an administrative review of the Retailer Operations Division's determination. The request was granted and implementation of the withdrawal has been held in abeyance pending the outcome of this review.

STANDARD OF REVIEW

In an appeal of adverse action, such as the withdrawal of a firm's SNAP authorization, an appellant bears the burden of proving by a preponderance of the evidence that the administrative action should be reversed. This means that an appellant has the burden of providing relevant evidence which a reasonable mind, considering the record as a whole, would accept as sufficient to support a conclusion that the matter asserted is more likely to be true than not true.

CONTROLLING LAW AND REGULATIONS

The controlling law in this matter is found in the Food and Nutrition Act of 2008, as amended (7 U.S.C. § 2018), and promulgated through regulation under Title 7 CFR Part 278. In particular, 7 CFR § 278.1(l)(1) and § 278.1(k)(2) establish the authority upon which FNS shall withdraw the SNAP authorization of any firm which fails to meet established eligibility requirements.

7 CFR § 278.1(l)(1) reads, in part:

FNS may withdraw the authorization of any firm authorized to participate in the program for any of the following reasons:

- (i) The firm's continued participation in the program will not further the purposes of the program;
- (ii) The firm fails to meet the specification of paragraph (b), (c), (d), (e), (f), (g), (h), or (i) of this section;
- (iii) The firm fails to meet the requirements for eligibility under Criterion A or B, as specified in paragraph (b)(1)(i) of this section...for the time period specified in paragraph (k)(2) of this section.

7 CFR § 278.1(k)(2) reads, in relevant part:

FNS shall deny the application of any firm if it determines that:

(2) The firm has failed to meet the eligibility requirements for authorization under Criterion A or Criterion B, as specified in paragraph (b)(1)(i) of this section.... Any firm that has been denied authorization on these bases shall not be eligible to submit a new application for authorization in the program for a minimum period of six months from the effective date of the denial.

7 CFR § 271.2 defines a retail food store as:

(1) An establishment or house-to-house trade route that sells food for home preparation and consumption normally displayed in a public area, and either offers for sale qualifying staple food items on a continuous basis, evidenced by having no fewer than [three]* different varieties of food items in each of the four staple food categories with a minimum depth of stock of three stocking units for each qualifying staple variety, including at least one variety of perishable foods in at least [two]* such categories (Criterion A) as set forth in § 278.1(b)(1) of this chapter, or has more than 50 percent of its total gross retail sales in staple foods (Criterion B) as set forth in § 278.1(b)(1) of this chapter as determined by visual inspection, marketing structure, business licenses, accessibility of food items offered for sale, purchase and sales records, counting of stockkeeping units, or other inventory or accounting recordkeeping methods that are customary or reasonable in the retail food industry as set forth in § 278.1(b)(1) of this chapter...

7 CFR § 271.2 defines staple food as:

...food items intended for home preparation and consumption in each of the following four categories: Meat, poultry, or fish; bread or cereals; vegetables or fruits; and dairy products... Hot foods are not eligible for purchase with SNAP benefits and, therefore, do not qualify as staple foods for the purpose of determining eligibility under § 278.1(b)(1) of this chapter. Commercially processed foods and prepared mixtures with multiple ingredients that do not represent a single staple food category shall only be counted in one staple food category. For example, foods such as cold pizza, macaroni and cheese, multi-ingredient soup, or frozen dinners, shall only be counted as one staple food item and will be included in the staple food category of the main

* As currently implemented. See SNAP Retailer Policy and Management Division Policy Memorandum 2018-04 for additional information regarding the enhanced retailer standards, which were implemented on January 17, 2018. This memorandum can be found on the FNS public website at <https://www.fns.usda.gov/snap/retailer-eligibility-clarification-of-criterion>.

ingredient as determined by FNS. Accessory food items include foods that are generally considered snack foods or desserts such as, but not limited to, chips, ice cream, crackers, cupcakes, cookies, popcorn, pastries, and candy, and other food items that complement or supplement meals, such as, but not limited to, coffee, tea, cocoa, carbonated and uncarbonated drinks, condiments, spices, salt, and sugar. Items shall not be classified as accessory food exclusively based on packaging size but rather based on the aforementioned definition and as determined by FNS. A food product containing an accessory food item as its main ingredient shall be considered an accessory food item. Accessory food items shall not be considered staple foods for purposes of determining the eligibility of any firm.

7 CFR § 278.1(b)(1)(i) states, in part:

An establishment...will effectuate the purposes of the program if it sells food for home preparation and consumption and meets one of the following criteria: Offer for sale, on a continuous basis, a variety of qualifying foods in each of the four categories of staple foods...including perishable foods in at least [two]* of the categories (Criterion A); or have more than 50 percent of the total gross retail sales of the establishment...in staple foods (Criterion B).

7 CFR § 278.1(b)(1)(ii) states, in part:

In order to qualify under [Criterion A] firms shall:

(A) Offer for sale and normally display in a public area, qualifying staple food items on a continuous basis, evidenced by having, on any given day of operation, no fewer than [three]* different varieties of food items in each of the four staple food categories with a minimum depth of stock of three stocking units for each qualifying staple variety and at least one variety of perishable foods in at least [two]* staple food categories. Documentation to determine if a firm stocks a sufficient amount of required staple foods to offer them for sale on a continuous basis may be required in cases where it is not clear that the firm has made reasonable stocking efforts to meet the stocking requirement. Such documentation can be achieved through verifying information, when requested by FNS, such as invoices and receipts in order to prove that the firm had ordered and/or received a sufficient amount of required

* As currently implemented. See SNAP Retailer Policy and Management Division Policy Memorandum 2018-04 for additional information regarding the enhanced retailer standards, which were implemented on January 17, 2018. This memorandum can be found on the FNS public website at <https://www.fns.usda.gov/snap/retailer-eligibility-clarification-of-criterion>.

staple foods up to 21 calendar days prior to the date of the store visit...

- (B) Offer for sale perishable staple food items in at least [two]* staple food categories. Perishable foods are items which are either frozen staple food items or fresh, unrefrigerated or refrigerated staple food items that will spoil or suffer significant deterioration in quality within 2-3 weeks; and
- (C) *[Offer a variety of staple foods which means different types of foods, such as apples, cabbage, tomatoes, and squash in the fruit or vegetable staple food category, or milk, cheese, butter and yogurt in the dairy category. Variety of foods is not to be interpreted as different brands, different nutrient values, different varieties of packaging, or different package sizes. Similar processed food items with varying ingredients such as, but not limited to, sausages, breakfast cereals, milk, sliced breads, and cheeses, and similar unprocessed food items, such as, but not limited to different varieties of apples, cabbage, tomatoes, or squash shall not each be considered as more than one staple food variety for the purpose of determining variety. Multiple ingredient food items...such as...cold pizza, macaroni and cheese, soup, or frozen dinners, shall only be counted as one staple food variety each and will normally be included in the staple food category of the main ingredient as determined by the FNS.]**

7 CFR § 278.1(b)(1)(iii) states, in part:

In order to qualify under [Criterion B] firms must have more than 50 percent of their total gross retail sales in staple food sales. Total gross retail sales must include all retail sales of a firm, including food and non-food merchandise, as well as services, such as rental fees, professional fees, and entertainment/sports/games income...

7 CFR § 278.1(b)(6) states:

Need for access. FNS will consider whether the applicant firm is located in an area with significantly limited access to food when the applicant firm fails to meet Criterion A per paragraph (b)(1)(ii) or Criterion B per paragraph (b)(1)(iii) of this section so long as the applicant firm meets all other SNAP authorization requirements. In determining whether an applicant is located in such an area, FNS may consider access factors such as, but not limited to, the distance from the applicant firm to the nearest currently SNAP authorized firm and transportation options. In determining whether to authorize an applicant despite

* As currently implemented. See SNAP Retailer Policy and Management Division Policy Memorandum 2018-04 for additional information regarding the enhanced retailer standards, which were implemented on January 17, 2018. This memorandum can be found on the FNS public website at <https://www.fns.usda.gov/snap/retailer-eligibility-clarification-of-criterion>.

its failure to meet Criterion A and Criterion B, FNS will also consider factors such as, but not limited to, the extent of the applicant firm's stocking deficiencies in meeting Criterion A and Criterion B and whether the store furthers the purposes of the Program. Such considerations will be conducted during the application process as described in paragraph (a) of this section.

APPELLANT'S CONTENTIONS

The Appellant made the following summarized contentions in its request for administrative review, in relevant part:

- Since the COVID-19 pandemic, several items have been unavailable due to vendor shortages.
- Many items sell out quickly.
- The firm had to purchase its own inventory because of vendor shortages.
- The Appellant is looking for additional grocery vendors.
- As a gas station, it is impossible for the firm to qualify for the program with 50 percent of gross sales because the Appellant would need substantially greater staple foods sales.
- The Appellant has followed the rules of SNAP since 2007.
- The store visit occurred on a Thursday, and the firm's delivery day for milk is on Tuesday. Sometimes the vendor will not make a delivery if it does not have a product to deliver.
- The withdrawal determination should not be based on one visit to the store. It is doing its best to keep the shelves stocked at all times.

The preceding may represent only a brief summary of the Appellant's contentions presented in this matter. However, in reaching a final decision, full attention was given to all contentions submitted, including any not specifically summarized or explicitly referenced in this document.

ANALYSIS AND FINDINGS

The purpose of this review is to either validate or invalidate the March 15, 2022, withdrawal determination made by the Retailer Operations Division. This review is limited to considering the facts that existed when the agency's determination was made.

After reviewing the contractor's store visit report and photographs as well as evaluating the contentions submitted by the Appellant, it is the finding of this review that TJS Pine Level does not carry sufficient staple food inventory required for continued SNAP authorization.

Specifically, the firm is deficient in the dairy foods category. According to the contractor's report, milk was the only dairy variety in sufficient quantities. As described in regulations cited earlier, a firm must meet either Criterion A or Criterion B to be eligible for ongoing SNAP participation. In order for a firm to be eligible under Criterion A, it must offer for sale on a continuous basis no fewer than three varieties of food items in each of the four staple food

categories with a minimum depth of stock of three stocking units for each variety. In this case, the firm was deficient in two varieties of dairy.

In the administrative review request, the Appellant stated that the store orders staple food items, but they sell out quickly. The Appellant said the store visit occurred on a Thursday, and the firm's milk delivery day is Tuesday. Sometimes the vendor will not make a delivery if it does not have a product to deliver. Further, the Appellant contends that a withdrawal should not be based on one store visit. A reversal of the agency's withdrawal determination is not appropriate unless sufficient evidence shows that the determination was improper. In this case, the Appellant has provided no evidence.

The Appellant stated that many items were unavailable due to vendor shortages since the COVID-19 pandemic. Also, the Appellant said that it went out and purchased products because of the lack of vendor deliveries. This review sympathizes with the challenges that retailers have faced during this crisis. However, the store visit, in this case, occurred more than a year after the initial virus surge and subsequent inventory shortages in the early stages of the pandemic. Since then, stores have generally been able to restock their shelves with most of the food items they need, and certainly enough to maintain program eligibility. As such, this review finds that the coronavirus pandemic is not a valid reason to reverse the agency's withdrawal determination.

The Appellant said it is looking for a new vendor. It is not the authority of this review to consider subsequent remedial actions that have been or will be taken so that a store may begin to comply with program requirements. There are no provisions in the SNAP regulations for reversing a withdrawal decision based on planned corrective actions implemented after finding a firm's ineligibility.

The Appellant argues that it has followed the rules of SNAP since 2007. A firm's authorization history is not a basis for authorizing a firm that does not meet eligibility requirements.

Therefore, Appellant's contentions regarding its authorization history and improvements to its staple food inventory do not provide a valid basis for reversing the Retailer Operations Division's withdrawal determination.

SNAP regulations require that a firm continuously maintain sufficient staple food inventory in order to remain eligible for program participation (see 7 CFR § 278.1(b)(1)(i) and (ii)). A firm that does not maintain proper inventory at all times does not effectuate the purposes of the program and cannot remain authorized.

Based on a preponderance of the evidence, it is the finding of this review that on the day of the store visit (or as stated in regulations, "on any given day of operation"), the firm did not carry sufficient varieties and quantities of staple food inventory as required for eligibility under Criterion A. The firm is also not eligible for SNAP participation under Criterion B, as the sale of staple foods does not exceed 50 percent of the firm's total sales. According to the firm's reauthorization application, just 25 percent of its sales are from the sale of staple foods.

Need for Access

SNAP regulations at 7 CFR § 278.1(b)(6) state that FNS will consider authorizing a firm that fails to meet Criterion A or B as long as it is located in an area with significantly limited access to food and provided that it meets all other eligibility requirements. The Need for Access evaluation considers factors such as distance to the nearest SNAP-authorized retail store, transportation options, the extent of the firm's stocking deficiencies, and whether the firm furthers the purposes of the program.

As for TJS Pine Level, the record indicates that the Retailer Operations Division conducted a Need for Access evaluation and determined that the firm is not located in an area with significantly limited access to food and, thus, does not qualify for SNAP authorization under this provision. After an analysis of all available evidence in this case, this review finds that Need for Access was fully and properly considered and that authorization under this provision is not appropriate.

CONCLUSION

It is the finding of this review that the Appellant firm does not meet program eligibility requirements under Criterion A or B as outlined in regulations at 7 CFR § 278.1(b)(1). Additionally, the contentions presented by the Appellant are not sufficient to show that the withdrawal decision should be reversed. Accordingly, the decision by the Retailer Operations Division to withdraw the SNAP authorization of TJS Pine Level is sustained.

Pursuant to 7 CFR § 278.1(k)(2), the Appellant shall not be eligible to reapply for participation as a retailer in SNAP for a minimum period of six months from the date of withdrawal. In accordance with the Food and Nutrition Act of 2008, as amended, and SNAP regulations, the authorization withdrawal of TJS Pine Level shall become effective 30 days after receipt of this decision.

RIGHTS AND REMEDIES

Applicable rights to a judicial review of this decision are set forth in Section 14 of the Food and Nutrition Act of 2008 (7 U.S.C. § 2023) and in Section 279.7 of the SNAP regulations. If a judicial review is desired, the complaint, naming the United States as the defendant, must be filed in the U.S. District Court for the district in which the Appellant owner resides or is engaged in business, or in any court of record of the State having competent jurisdiction. If a complaint is filed, it must be filed within 30 days of receipt of this decision. The judicial filing timeframe is mandated by the Act, and this office cannot grant an extension.

Under the Freedom of Information Act, we are releasing this information in a redacted format as appropriate. FNS will protect, to the extent provided by law, personal information that could constitute an unwarranted invasion of privacy.

JON YORGASON
Administrative Review Officer

January 6, 2023