

**U.S. Department of Agriculture
Food and Nutrition Service
Administrative and Judicial Review Branch**

OG Mini Mart,

Appellant,

v.

Retailer Operations Division,

Respondent.

Case Number: C0258529

FINAL AGENCY DECISION

It is the decision of the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA), Food and Nutrition Service (FNS) that the Retailer Operations Division properly denied the application of OG Mini Mart (hereinafter “Appellant”) to participate as an authorized retailer in the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP). As a result, the firm may not reapply for SNAP authorization for a period of six months from the date of denial.

ISSUE

The issue accepted for review is whether the Retailer Operations Division took appropriate action consistent with Title 7 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Part 278 in its administration of SNAP when it denied the retailer application of OG Mini Mart.

AUTHORITY

7 U.S.C. § 2023 and its implementing regulations at 7 CFR § 279.1 provide that “[A] food retailer or wholesale food concern aggrieved by administrative action under § 278.1, § 278.6 or § 278.7 . . . may file a written request for review of the administrative action with FNS.”

CASE SUMMARY

In a letter dated September 14, 2022, and delivered to the store owner on September 20, 2022, the Retailer Operations Division denied the Appellant’s SNAP application due to its failure to meet basic program eligibility requirements. This denial action was based on observations made during a contractor’s store inspection on August 28, 2022, as well as information provided on the firm’s SNAP application dated July 14, 2022.

The Retailer Operations Division determined that the firm did not meet eligibility requirements under Criterion A or Criterion B pursuant to SNAP regulations at 7 CFR § 278.1(b)(1). The

denial letter stated the Appellant failed to meet the requirements of Criterion A because in at least one of the four staple food categories it did not offer for sale on a continuous basis a variety of foods in required minimum quantities. It also stated that the Appellant failed to meet the requirements of Criterion B because staple food sales did not comprise more than 50 percent of its total retail sales. Additionally, the letter indicated that FNS considered the firm's eligibility under the Need for Access provision of the regulations found at 7 CFR § 278.1(b)(6), but determined that the Appellant did not qualify for SNAP under this provision.

As a result of being found ineligible for participation under both Criteria A and B, and being found ineligible under the Need for Access provision, the Appellant's SNAP application was denied for a period of six months pursuant to regulation at 7 CFR § 278.1(k)(2).

In an e-mail dated September 22, 2022, the Appellant requested an administrative review of the Retailer Operations Division's determination. The request was granted.

STANDARD OF REVIEW

In an appeal of adverse action, such as an application denial, an appellant bears the burden of proving by a preponderance of the evidence that the administrative action should be reversed. This means that an appellant has the burden of providing relevant evidence which a reasonable mind, considering the record as a whole, would accept as sufficient to support a conclusion that the matter asserted is more likely to be true than not true.

CONTROLLING LAW AND REGULATIONS

The controlling law in this matter is found in the Food and Nutrition Act of 2008, as amended (7 U.S.C. § 2018), and promulgated through regulation under Title 7 CFR Part 278. In particular, 7 CFR § 278.1(k) provides the authority upon which FNS shall deny the authorization of any firm applying for participation in SNAP if it fails to meet established eligibility criteria.

7 CFR § 278.1(k)(2) reads, in relevant part:

FNS shall deny the application of any firm if it determines that:

(2) The firm has failed to meet the eligibility requirements for authorization under Criterion A or Criterion B, as specified in paragraph (b)(1)(i) of this section.... Any firm that has been denied authorization on these bases shall not be eligible to submit a new application for authorization in the program for a minimum period of six months from the effective date of the denial.

7 CFR § 271.2 defines a *retail food store* as:

(1) An establishment or house-to-house trade route that sells food for home preparation and consumption normally displayed in a public area, and either offers for sale qualifying staple food items on a continuous basis, evidenced by having no fewer than

[three]* different varieties of food items in each of the four staple food categories with a minimum depth of stock of three stocking units for each qualifying staple variety, including at least one variety of perishable foods in at least [two]* such categories (Criterion A) as set forth in § 278.1(b)(1) of this chapter, or has more than 50 percent of its total gross retail sales in staple foods (Criterion B) as set forth in § 278.1(b)(1) of this chapter as determined by visual inspection, marketing structure, business licenses, accessibility of food items offered for sale, purchase and sales records, counting of stockkeeping units, or other inventory or accounting recordkeeping methods that are customary or reasonable in the retail food industry as set forth in § 278.1(b)(1) of this chapter...

7 CFR § 271.2 defines *staple food* as:

...food items intended for home preparation and consumption in each of the following four categories: Meat, poultry, or fish; bread or cereals; vegetables or fruits; and dairy products... Hot foods are not eligible for purchase with SNAP benefits and, therefore, do not qualify as staple foods for the purpose of determining eligibility under § 278.1(b)(1) of this chapter. Commercially processed foods and prepared mixtures with multiple ingredients that do not represent a single staple food category shall only be counted in one staple food category. For example, foods such as cold pizza, macaroni and cheese, multi-ingredient soup, or frozen dinners, shall only be counted as one staple food item and will be included in the staple food category of the main ingredient as determined by FNS. Accessory food items include foods that are generally considered snack foods or desserts such as, but not limited to, chips, ice cream, crackers, cupcakes, cookies, popcorn, pastries, and candy, and other food items that complement or supplement meals, such as, but not limited to, coffee, tea, cocoa, carbonated and uncarbonated drinks, condiments, spices, salt, and sugar. Items shall not be classified as accessory food exclusively based on packaging size but rather based on the aforementioned definition and as determined by FNS. A food product containing an accessory food item as its main ingredient shall be considered an accessory food item. Accessory food items shall not be considered staple foods for purposes of determining the eligibility of any firm.

7 CFR § 278.1(b)(1)(i) states, in part:

An establishment...will effectuate the purposes of the program if it sells food for home preparation and consumption and meets one of the following criteria: Offer for sale, on a continuous basis,

* As currently implemented. See SNAP Retailer Policy and Management Division Policy Memorandum 2020-04 for additional information regarding the enhanced retailer standards, which were implemented on January 17, 2018. This memorandum can be found on the FNS public website at <https://www.fns.usda.gov/snap/retailer-eligibility-clarification-of-criterion>.

a variety of qualifying foods in each of the four categories of staple foods...including perishable foods in at least [two]* of the categories (Criterion A); or have more than 50 percent of the total gross retail sales of the establishment...in staple foods (Criterion B).

7 CFR § 278.1(b)(1)(ii) states, in part:

In order to qualify under [Criterion A] firms shall:

- (A) Offer for sale and normally display in a public area, qualifying staple food items on a continuous basis, evidenced by having, on any given day of operation, no fewer than [three]* different varieties of food items in each of the four staple food categories with a minimum depth of stock of three stocking units for each qualifying staple variety and at least one variety of perishable foods in at least [two]* staple food categories. Documentation to determine if a firm stocks a sufficient amount of required staple foods to offer them for sale on a continuous basis may be required in cases where it is not clear that the firm has made reasonable stocking efforts to meet the stocking requirement. Such documentation can be achieved through verifying information, when requested by FNS, such as invoices and receipts in order to prove that the firm had ordered and/or received a sufficient amount of required staple foods up to 21 calendar days prior to the date of the store visit...
- (B) Offer for sale perishable staple food items in at least [two]* staple food categories. Perishable foods are items which are either frozen staple food items or fresh, unrefrigerated or refrigerated staple food items that will spoil or suffer significant deterioration in quality within 2-3 weeks; and
- (C) *[Offer a variety of staple foods which means different types of foods, such as apples, cabbage, tomatoes, and squash in the fruit or vegetable staple food category, or milk, cheese, butter and yogurt in the dairy category. Variety of foods is not to be interpreted as different brands, different nutrient values, different varieties of packaging, or different package sizes. Similar processed food items with varying ingredients such as, but not limited to, sausages, breakfast cereals, milk, sliced breads, and cheeses, and similar unprocessed food items, such as, but not limited to different varieties of apples, cabbage, tomatoes, or squash shall not each be considered as more than one staple food variety for the purpose of determining variety. Multiple ingredient food items...such as...cold pizza, macaroni and cheese, soup, or frozen dinners, shall only be counted as one staple food variety each and will*

* As currently implemented. See SNAP Retailer Policy and Management Division Policy Memorandum 2020-04 for additional information regarding the enhanced retailer standards, which were implemented on January 17, 2018. This memorandum can be found on the FNS public website at <https://www.fns.usda.gov/snap/retailer-eligibility-clarification-of-criterion>.

*normally be included in the staple food category of the main ingredient as determined by the FNS.]**

7 CFR § 278.1(b)(1)(iii) states, in part:

In order to qualify under [Criterion B] firms must have more than 50 percent of their total gross retail sales in staple food sales. Total gross retail sales must include all retail sales of a firm, including food and non-food merchandise, as well as services, such as rental fees, professional fees, and entertainment/sports/games income...

7 CFR § 278.1(b)(6) states:

Need for access. FNS will consider whether the applicant firm is located in an area with significantly limited access to food when the applicant firm fails to meet Criterion A per paragraph (b)(1)(ii) or Criterion B per paragraph (b)(1)(iii) of this section so long as the applicant firm meets all other SNAP authorization requirements. In determining whether an applicant is located in such an area, FNS may consider access factors such as, but not limited to, the distance from the applicant firm to the nearest currently SNAP authorized firm and transportation options. In determining whether to authorize an applicant despite its failure to meet Criterion A and Criterion B, FNS will also consider factors such as, but not limited to, the extent of the applicant firm's stocking deficiencies in meeting Criterion A and Criterion B and whether the store furthers the purposes of the Program. Such considerations will be conducted during the application process as described in paragraph (a) of this section.

APPELLANT'S CONTENTIONS

The Appellant made the following contentions in its request for administrative review, summarized in relevant part:

- Appellant owner requests a review because he is a young, small business owner who has struggled for the past two years because the store is located in a low-income area. The only way the store can survive is if FNS helps him and supports his small business.
- Ninety percent of the residents in the area use EBT and the store has many customers who try to use their EBT cards at the Appellant store.
- The owner came to the United States from a refugee camp, and he and his parents purchased the store together. The owner has since bought their shares and he now owns the store by himself.

* As currently implemented. See SNAP Retailer Policy and Management Division Policy Memorandum 2020-04 for additional information regarding the enhanced retailer standards, which were implemented on January 17, 2018. This memorandum can be found on the FNS public website at <https://www.fns.usda.gov/snap/retailer-eligibility-clarification-of-criterion>.

- The owner has invested all his money to keep the store open, and it is struggling to survive. He even attempted to get a loan, but was told to try again after the store is authorized for SNAP because the bank knows there is no income without SNAP due to the store's location.

In support of its contentions, the Appellant provided 18 undated photographs of the store's inventory, and a 2-minute, 13-second video showing the contents of the store.

The preceding may represent only a brief summary of the Appellant's contentions presented in this matter. However, in reaching a final decision, full attention was given to all contentions submitted, including any not specifically summarized or explicitly referenced in this document.

ANALYSIS AND FINDINGS

The purpose of this review is to either validate or invalidate the denial determination made by the Retailer Operations Division. This review is limited to consideration of the relevant facts as they existed at the time of the agency's determination.

After reviewing the inspector's store visit report and photographs as well as evaluating the contentions and evidence submitted by the Appellant, it is the determination of this review that OG Mini Mart does not carry sufficient staple food inventory to be eligible for SNAP authorization. Specifically, the firm is deficient in the dairy and meat/poultry/fish categories. According to the inspector's report, the only dairy varieties in sufficient quantities at the time of the inspection were types of infant formula (one variety was dairy-based, and the other was soy-based, which is a dairy substitute). In the meat/poultry/fish category, the only variety in sufficient quantities was beef.

As described in regulations cited earlier, a firm must meet either Criterion A or Criterion B to be eligible for SNAP participation. In order for a firm to be eligible under Criterion A, it must offer for sale on a continuous basis no fewer than three different varieties of food in each of the four staple food categories with a minimum depth of stock of three stocking units for each variety. In this case, the Appellant was deficient in one dairy variety and two meat/poultry/fish varieties.

In its request for administrative review, the Appellant has submitted 18 undated photographs and one video, which appears to have been created on October 5, 2022. Unfortunately, these photos and video have no probative value as they were very likely taken after the store received the denial letter. While the Appellant's evidence shows a modest increase in inventory, there is no evidence that these additional products were in the store at the time the inspection took place. It bears repeating that this review is limited to consideration of the facts as they existed at the time of the agency's determination. The regulations do not permit this review to consider subsequent remedial actions, such as expanding the firm's inventory levels, that have been or will be taken so that a store may begin to comply with program requirements.

SNAP regulations state that in order for a firm to be eligible for program authorization, it must continuously maintain sufficient staple food inventory (see 7 CFR § 278.1(b)(1)(i) and (ii)). A

firm that does not maintain proper inventory at all times does not effectuate the purposes of the program and cannot be authorized.

As to the Appellant's request for relief because the owner is a struggling small business owner, such an argument has no relevance in this matter. SNAP regulations do not give consideration to potential financial or economic hardship to the firm or to the owner personally resulting from an application denial.

After considering all available evidence in this case, it is the finding of this review that OG Mini Mart was deficient in its staple food inventory on the day the inspector visited the store (or as stated in the regulations, "on any given day of operation"), and the Appellant's evidence is inadequate to prove otherwise. With deficiencies in both the dairy and meat/poultry/fish categories, the firm does not have the necessary variety of staple foods and is not eligible for SNAP authorization under Criterion A. The firm is also not eligible for authorization under Criterion B, as the sale of staple foods does not exceed 50 percent of the firm's total retail sales. According to the Appellant's SNAP application, exactly 50 percent of its sales come from the sale of staple foods, but based on the store inspection, that estimate is likely exaggerated. The inspection report showed only a small amount of staple food, with much larger quantities of accessory foods, such as snacks and drinks, as well as non-foods, such as tobacco products and miscellaneous household supplies. It is further noted that the inspector discovered numerous food items that were dusty and several years beyond the best-if-used-by date, suggesting that staple food items are not often sold at this store.

Because deficiencies in Criterion A and Criterion B exist at OG Mini Mart, it is the finding of this review that the application denial was appropriate and fully conforms to regulations at 7 CFR § 278.1(b)(1) and (k)(2).

Hardship to SNAP Households / Need for Access

The Appellant argues that 90 percent of the residents in the area use EBT, and claims that the store has many customers who try to use their EBT cards. This contention implies that a denial of the firm's application will cause hardship to SNAP households.

With regard to this contention, it is recognized that some degree of inconvenience to SNAP recipients is possible whenever a retail food store's SNAP application is denied, and households are forced to spend their benefits elsewhere. To address such situations, regulations at 7 CFR § 278.1(b)(6) state that FNS will consider authorizing a firm which fails to meet Criterion A or B as long as it is located in an area with significantly limited access to food and provided that it meets all other eligibility requirements. This Need for Access evaluation considers factors such as distance to the nearest SNAP-authorized retail store, transportation options, extent of the firm's stocking deficiencies, and whether the firm furthers the purposes of the program.

As for OG Mini Mart, the record indicates that the Retailer Operations Division conducted a Need for Access evaluation and determined that the firm is not located in an area with significantly limited access to food and thus, does not qualify for SNAP authorization under this

provision. After reviewing the agency's record, this review agrees that authorization under this provision is not appropriate.

CONCLUSION

Based on a preponderance of the evidence, it is the finding of this review that the Appellant firm, OG Mini Mart, does not meet eligibility requirements under Criterion A or B as outlined in regulations at 7 CFR § 278.1(b)(1). Additionally, the contentions and evidence presented by the Appellant are not sufficient to show that the denial decision should be reversed. Accordingly, the determination by the Retailer Operations Division to deny the application of OG Mini Mart to participate as a retailer in SNAP is sustained.

In accordance with 7 CFR § 278.1(k)(2), the Appellant shall not be eligible to reapply for participation as a retailer in SNAP for a minimum period of six months from September 20, 2022, which is the effective date of the denial.

RIGHTS AND REMEDIES

Applicable rights to a judicial review of this decision are set forth in Section 14 of the Food and Nutrition Act of 2008 (7 U.S.C. § 2023) and in Section 279.7 of the SNAP regulations. If a judicial review is desired, the complaint, naming the United States as the defendant, must be filed in the U.S. District Court for the district in which the Appellant owner resides or is engaged in business, or in any court of record of the State having competent jurisdiction. If a complaint is filed, it must be filed within 30 days of receipt of this decision. The judicial filing timeframe is mandated by the Act, and this office cannot grant an extension.

Under the Freedom of Information Act, we are releasing this information in a redacted format as appropriate. FNS will protect, to the extent provided by law, personal information that could constitute an unwarranted invasion of privacy.

JON YORGASON
Administrative Review Officer

November 2, 2022