

**U.S. Department of Agriculture
Food and Nutrition Service
Administrative Review Branch**

Madtown Dino Mart,

Appellant,

v.

Case Number: C0254556

Retailer Operations Division,

Respondent.

FINAL AGENCY DECISION

It is the decision of the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA), Food and Nutrition Service (FNS), that there is insufficient evidence to support a finding that a permanent disqualification from participation as an authorized retailer in the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) was properly imposed against Madtown Dino Mart (hereinafter “Madtown Dino Mart” or “Appellant”) by the Retailer Operations Division of FNS. Therefore, the determination is reversed.

ISSUE

The issue accepted for review is whether the Retailer Operations Division took appropriate action, consistent with 7 CFR § 278.6(e)(1)(i) in its administration of the SNAP, when it imposed a permanent disqualification against Madtown Dino Mart.

AUTHORITY

7 U.S.C. 2023 and its implementing regulations at 7 CFR § 279.1 provide that “[A] food retailer or wholesale food concern aggrieved by administrative action under § 278.1, § 278.6 or § 278.7. . . may file a written request for review of the administrative action with FNS.

CASE CHRONOLOGY

The USDA conducted an investigation of the compliance of Madtown Dino Mart with Federal SNAP law and regulations during the period May 28, 2022 through August 5, 2022. The investigation report documents that personnel at the Appellant firm, in addition to accepting SNAP benefits in exchange for merchandise which included ineligible nonfood items on one occasion (Exhibit C), exchanged SNAP benefits for cash during two undercover compliance visits (Exhibits F [an unknown amount in SNAP benefits was exchanged for \$60.00 cash] and J [\$141.32 in SNAP benefits was exchanged for \$0.00 in cash]). The investigation revealed that a clerk identified as “5 U.S.C. § 552 (b)(6) & (b)(7)(C)” on her name badge exchanged SNAP benefits for ineligible

nonfood items in Exhibit C and a clerk identified as “5 U.S.C. § 552 (b)(6) & (b)(7)(C)” on his name badge exchanged SNAP benefits for cash in Exhibits F and J. The buying or selling of SNAP benefits for cash or consideration other than eligible food is trafficking as defined under 7 CFR § 271.2.

As a result of evidence compiled from this investigation, the Retailer Operations Division charged the Appellant, in a letter dated September 6, 2022, with trafficking in SNAP benefits. The charge letter noted that the penalty for trafficking is permanent disqualification as provided by 7 CFR § 278.6(e)(1). The letter stated that the Appellant had the right to respond to the charges within 10 days of receipt. The letter also stated that the Appellant could request a trafficking civil money penalty (CMP) in lieu of a permanent disqualification within 10 days of receipt under the conditions specified in 7 CFR § 278.6(i). Per UPS confirmation of delivery, the charge letter was delivered to the Appellant at the store address of record on September 14, 2022.

In responses to the Retailer Operations Division of September 22, 2022 and October 14, 2022, the Appellant, through counsel, replied to the letter of charges. The record reflects that the Retailer Operations Division received and considered the information provided prior to making a determination.

After giving consideration to the Appellant’s responses and the evidence of this case, the Retailer Operations Division issued a determination letter dated December 21, 2022. The determination letter stated that the Appellant was permanently disqualified from participation as an authorized retailer in the SNAP in accordance with Section 278.6(c) and 278.6(e)(1) for trafficking violations. The determination letter also stated that the Appellant was not eligible for a trafficking civil money penalty according to the terms of Section 278.6(i) of the SNAP regulations as the Appellant did not submit sufficient evidence to demonstrate that the firm had established and implemented an effective compliance policy and program to prevent violations of the SNAP.

In an email correspondence of December 21, 2022, the Appellant, through counsel, requested an administrative review of the permanent disqualification determination. FNS granted the Appellant’s request for administrative review by letter dated December 28, 2022. The record reflects that via an email correspondence of January 10, 2023, the Appellant’s counsel requested an extension in time for providing additional information in support of the request for administrative review. Via an email correspondence of January 10, 2023, the Administrative Review Officer granted counsel’s time extension request to February 2, 2023. Via an email correspondence of February 1, 2023, the Appellant, through counsel, submitted additional information in support of the request for administrative review.

STANDARD OF REVIEW

In appeals of adverse actions, the Appellant bears the burden of proving by a preponderance of the evidence, that the administrative actions should be reversed. That means the Appellant has the burden of providing relevant evidence which a reasonable mind, considering the record as a whole, would accept as sufficient to support a conclusion that the matter asserted is more likely to be true than not true.

CONTROLLING LAW

The controlling statute in this matter is covered in the Food and Nutrition Act of 2008, as amended, 7 U.S.C. § 2021, and promulgated through regulation under Title 7 CFR Part 278. In particular, 7 CFR § 278.6(a) and (e)(1)(i) establish the authority upon which a permanent disqualification may be imposed against a retail food store or wholesale food concern.

7 U.S.C. § 2021(b)(3)(B) states, in part:

... a disqualification under subsection (a) shall be ... permanent upon ... the first occasion or any subsequent occasion of a disqualification based on the purchase of coupons or trafficking in coupons or authorization cards by a retail food store or wholesale food concern or a finding of the unauthorized redemption, use, transfer, acquisition, alteration, or possession of EBT cards ...[Emphasis added.]

7 CFR § 271.2 states that the definition of “coupon” includes:

... an electronic benefit transfer card or personal identification number issued pursuant to the provisions of the Food and Nutrition Act of 2008, as amended, for the purchase of eligible food.

7 CFR § 278.6(e)(1)(i) states:

FNS shall ... disqualify a firm permanently if personnel of the firm have trafficked as defined in § 271.2.

7 CFR § 271.2 states, in part:

Trafficking means the buying or selling of coupons, ATP cards or other benefit instruments for cash or consideration other than eligible food ...

7 CFR § 271.2 defines eligible food, in part, as:

Any food or food product intended for human consumption except alcoholic beverages, tobacco, and hot foods and hot food products prepared for immediate consumption

7 CFR § 278.6(a) states, in part:

FNS may disqualify any authorized retail food store ... if the firm fails to comply with the Food and Nutrition Act of 2008, as amended, or this part. Such disqualification shall result from a finding of a violation on the basis of evidence that may include facts established through on-site investigations, inconsistent redemption data, evidence obtained through a transaction report under an electronic benefit transfer system....

7 CFR § 278.6(i) states, in part:

FNS may impose a civil money penalty in lieu of a permanent disqualification for trafficking ... if the firm timely submits to FNS substantial evidence which demonstrates that the firm

had established and implemented an effective compliance policy and program to prevent violations of the Program.

7 CFR § 278.6(b)(2) states, in part:

(ii) Firms that request consideration of a civil money penalty in lieu of a permanent disqualification for trafficking shall have the opportunity to submit to FNS information and evidence as specified in § 278.6(i), that establishes the firm's eligibility for a civil money penalty in lieu of a permanent disqualification in accordance with the criteria included in § 278.6(i). This information and evidence shall be submitted within 10 days, as specified in § 278.6(b)(1).

(iii) If a firm fails to request consideration for a civil money penalty in lieu of a permanent disqualification for trafficking and submit documentation and evidence of its eligibility within the 10 days specified in § 278.6(b)(1), the firm shall not be eligible for such a penalty.

ANALYSIS AND FINDINGS

A review of the evidence does not support the Retailer Operations Division's determination in this case. Accordingly, it is unnecessary to address the Appellant's contentions in this matter.

This administrative review decision is based on the specific circumstances of this case as documented by materials provided by the Appellant and the Retailer Operations Division. In addition, this administrative review decision does not establish policy or supersede federal law or regulations.

CONCLUSION

Based on the discussion above, the determination by the Retailer Operations Division to impose a permanent disqualification against Madtown Dino Mart from participating as an authorized retailer in the SNAP is reversed.

RELEASE OF INFORMATION

Under the Freedom of Information Act, FNS is releasing this information in a redacted format as appropriate. FNS will protect, to the extent provided by law, personal information that could constitute an unwarranted invasion of privacy.

LORIE L. CONNEEN
ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW OFFICER

March 22, 2023