

**U.S. Department of Agriculture  
Food and Nutrition Service  
Administrative and Judicial Review Branch**

**Lolly,**

**Appellant,**

**v.**

**Retailer Operations Division,**

**Respondent.**

**Case Number: C0266244**

**FINAL AGENCY DECISION**

The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) Food and Nutrition Service (FNS) finds there is sufficient evidence to support the determination by the Retailer Operations Division to withdraw the authorization of Lolly (“Appellant”) to participate as a retailer in the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP). As a result, the firm may not reapply for SNAP authorization for a period of six months from the date of withdrawal.

**ISSUE**

The issue accepted for review is whether the Retailer Operations Division took appropriate action, consistent with Title 7 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Part 278, when it withdrew the authorization of Lolly to participate as a SNAP retailer.

**AUTHORITY**

7 U.S.C. § 2023 and implementing regulations, at 7 CFR § 279.1, provide that “A food retailer or wholesale food concern aggrieved by administrative action under § 278.1, § 278.6 or § 278.7 . . . may . . . file a written request for review of the administrative action with FNS.”

**CASE SUMMARY**

Appellant, Lolly, was initially authorized to participate as a retailer in SNAP on November 16, 2017. In accordance with regulation, each SNAP-authorized firm is required to undergo a periodic reauthorization process to determine whether the firm still meets eligibility requirements.

On October 17, 2022, Appellant submitted the required reauthorization application, FNS-252-R, *Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program Reauthorization Application for Stores*. On this

document, Appellant reported that around 15 percent of its gross retail sales were from the sale of staple foods. The application also reported that the firm carried at least three different varieties of foods in each of the four staple food categories, with a minimum depth of stock of three stocking units for each variety. As part of the firm's reauthorization process, an onsite store visit was conducted by an FNS contractor on August 19, 2022, to verify the firm's reported staple food stock.

On December 13, 2022, the Retailer Operations Division sent Appellant a request that the store submit any purchase invoices or receipts documenting that the store normally carried sufficient stocking units of three varieties of dairy products. The letter stated that the invoices and receipts must be dated no more than 21 calendar days prior to the date of the store visit and may not be dated after the visit. In addition, Appellant was asked to provide additional sales data in an effort to clarify the situation. Specifically, Appellant was asked to provide sales records for a one-week period as well as an overview document, such as a spreadsheet, breaking down actual sales into the following categories: 1) staple foods, 2) accessory foods, 3) heated or hot prepared foods, 4) cold foods prepared onsite, 5) charges for food heating services, and 6) nonfood items. The Retailer Operations Division also requested sales and tax records for the past 12 months. According to agency records, Appellant did not respond to this request.

After reviewing the store visit report and photographs, and re-evaluating Appellant's reauthorization application, the Retailer Operations Division determined that the firm was ineligible for SNAP participation under either Criterion A or Criterion B, as set forth in 7 CFR § 278.1(b)(1) of SNAP regulations.

In a letter dated February 2, 2023, the Retailer Operations Division informed Appellant that its SNAP authorization was being withdrawn for a period of six months pursuant to regulation, at 7 CFR § 278.1(k)(2). The withdrawal letter stated Appellant failed to meet the requirements of Criterion A because it did not offer for sale a variety of foods in required minimum quantities on a continuous basis in each of the four staple food categories and it failed to meet the requirements of Criterion B because staple food sales did not comprise more than 50 percent of its total retail sales. The letter indicated that FNS considered the firm's eligibility under the Need for Access provision of the regulations, found at 7 CFR § 278.1(b)(6), but determined that Appellant did not qualify for SNAP authorization under this provision.

In a February 6, 2023, email, Appellant requested an administrative review of the withdrawal determination. The request was granted and the withdrawal has been held in abeyance pending completion of this review.

## **STANDARD OF REVIEW**

In an appeal of an adverse action, such as the withdrawal of a firm's SNAP authorization, an appellant bears the burden of proving by a preponderance of the evidence that the administrative action should be reversed. This means an appellant has the burden of providing relevant evidence which a reasonable mind, considering the record as a whole, would accept as sufficient to support a conclusion that the matter asserted is more likely to be true than not true.

## CONTROLLING LAW

The controlling law in this matter is found in the Food and Nutrition Act of 2008, as amended (7 U.S.C. § 2018), and is promulgated through regulation under Title 7 CFR Part 278. In particular, 7 CFR § 278.1(l)(1) and § 278.1(k)(2) establish the authority upon which FNS shall withdraw the SNAP authorization of any firm which fails to meet established eligibility requirements.

7 CFR § 278.1(l)(1) states, in part:

FNS shall withdraw the authorization of any firm authorized to participate in the program for any of the following reasons.

(i) The firm's continued participation in the program will not further the purposes of the program;

(ii) The firm fails to meet the specification of paragraph (b), (c), (d), (e), (f), (g), (h), or (i) of this section;

(iii) The firm fails to meet the requirements for eligibility under Criterion A or B, as specified in paragraph (b)(1)(i) of this section...for the time period specified in paragraph (k)(2) of this section.

7 CFR § 278.1(k)(2) states, in part:

FNS shall deny the application of any firm if it determines that:

(2) The firm has failed to meet the eligibility requirements for authorization under Criterion A or Criterion B, as specified in paragraph (b)(1)(i) of this section.... Any firm that has been denied authorization on these bases shall not be eligible to submit a new application for authorization in the program for a minimum period of six months from the effective date of the denial.

7 CFR § 271.2 defines a retail food store as:

(1) An establishment or house-to-house trade route that sells food for home preparation and consumption normally displayed in a public area, and either offers for sale qualifying staple food items on a continuous basis, evidenced by having no fewer than [three]\* different varieties of food items in each of the four staple food categories with a minimum depth of stock of three

---

\* As currently implemented. See SNAP Retailer Policy and Management Division Policy Memorandum 2020-04 for additional information regarding the enhanced retailer standards, which were implemented on January 17, 2018. This memorandum can be found on the FNS public website at <https://www.fns.usda.gov/snap/retailer-eligibility-clarification-of-criterion>.

stocking units for each qualifying staple variety, including at least one variety of perishable foods in at least [two]\* such categories (Criterion A) as set forth in § 278.1(b)(1) of this chapter, or has more than 50 percent of its total gross retail sales in staple foods (Criterion B) as set forth in § 278.1(b)(1) of this chapter as determined by visual inspection, marketing structure, business licenses, accessibility of food items offered for sale, purchase and sales records, counting of stockkeeping units, or other inventory or accounting recordkeeping methods that are customary or reasonable in the retail food industry as set forth in § 278.1(b)(1) of this chapter...

7 CFR § 271.2 defines staple food as:

...food items intended for home preparation and consumption in each of the following four categories: Meat, poultry, or fish; bread or cereals; vegetables or fruits; and dairy products... Hot foods are not eligible for purchase with SNAP benefits and, therefore, do not qualify as staple foods for the purpose of determining eligibility under § 278.1(b)(1) of this chapter. Commercially processed foods and prepared mixtures with multiple ingredients that do not represent a single staple food category shall only be counted in one staple food category. For example, foods such as cold pizza, macaroni and cheese, multi-ingredient soup, or frozen dinners, shall only be counted as one staple food item and will be included in the staple food category of the main ingredient as determined by FNS. Accessory food items include foods that are generally considered snack foods or desserts such as, but not limited to, chips, ice cream, crackers, cupcakes, cookies, popcorn, pastries, and candy, and other food items that complement or supplement meals, such as, but not limited to, coffee, tea, cocoa, carbonated and uncarbonated drinks, condiments, spices, salt, and sugar. Items shall not be classified as accessory food exclusively based on packaging size but rather based on the aforementioned definition and as determined by FNS. A food product containing an accessory food item as its main ingredient shall be considered an accessory food item. Accessory food items shall not be considered staple foods for purposes of determining the eligibility of any firm.

7 CFR § 278.1(b)(1)(i) states, in part:

An establishment...will effectuate the purposes of the program if it sells food for home preparation and consumption and meets one of the following criteria: Offer for sale, on a continuous basis,

---

\* As currently implemented. See SNAP Retailer Policy and Management Division Policy Memorandum 2020-04 for additional information regarding the enhanced retailer standards, which were implemented on January 17, 2018. This memorandum can be found on the FNS public website at <https://www.fns.usda.gov/snap/retailer-eligibility-clarification-of-criterion>.

a variety of qualifying foods in each of the four categories of staple foods...including perishable foods in at least [two]\* of the categories (Criterion A); or have more than 50 percent of the total gross retail sales of the establishment...in staple foods (Criterion B).

7 CFR § 278.1(b)(1)(ii) states, in part:

In order to qualify under [Criterion A] firms shall:

(A) Offer for sale and normally display in a public area, qualifying staple food items on a continuous basis, evidenced by having, on any given day of operation, no fewer than [three]\* different varieties of food items in each of the four staple food categories with a minimum depth of stock of three stocking units for each qualifying staple variety and at least one variety of perishable foods in at least [two]\* staple food categories. Documentation to determine if a firm stocks a sufficient amount of required staple foods to offer them for sale on a continuous basis may be required in cases where it is not clear that the firm has made reasonable stocking efforts to meet the stocking requirement. Such documentation can be achieved through verifying information, when requested by FNS, such as invoices and receipts in order to prove that the firm had ordered and/or received a sufficient amount of required staple foods up to 21 calendar days prior to the date of the store visit...

(B) Offer for sale perishable staple food items in at least [two]\* staple food categories. Perishable foods are items which are either frozen staple food items or fresh, unrefrigerated or refrigerated staple food items that will spoil or suffer significant deterioration in quality within 2-3 weeks; and

(C) *[Offer a variety of staple foods which means different types of foods, such as apples, cabbage, tomatoes, and squash in the fruit or vegetable staple food category, or milk, cheese, butter and yogurt in the dairy category. Variety of foods is not to be interpreted as different brands, different nutrient values, different varieties of packaging, or different package sizes. Similar processed food items with varying ingredients such as, but not limited to, sausages, breakfast cereals, milk, sliced breads, and cheeses, and similar unprocessed food items, such as, but not limited to different varieties of apples, cabbage, tomatoes, or squash shall not each be considered as more than one staple food variety for the purpose of determining variety. Multiple ingredient food items...such as...cold pizza, macaroni and cheese, soup, or frozen dinners, shall only be counted as one*

---

\* As currently implemented. See SNAP Retailer Policy and Management Division Policy Memorandum 2020-04 for additional information regarding the enhanced retailer standards, which were implemented on January 17, 2018. This memorandum can be found on the FNS public website at <https://www.fns.usda.gov/snap/retailer-eligibility-clarification-of-criterion>.

*staple food variety each and will normally be included in the staple food category of the main ingredient as determined by the FNS.]\**

7 CFR § 278.1(b)(1)(iii) states, in part:

In order to qualify under [Criterion B] firms must have more than 50 percent of their total gross retail sales in staple food sales. Total gross retail sales must include all retail sales of a firm, including food and non-food merchandise, as well as services, such as rental fees, professional fees, and entertainment/sports/games income...

7 CFR § 278.1(b)(6) states:

*Need for access.* FNS will consider whether the applicant firm is located in an area with significantly limited access to food when the applicant firm fails to meet Criterion A per paragraph (b)(1)(ii) or Criterion B per paragraph (b)(1)(iii) of this section so long as the applicant firm meets all other SNAP authorization requirements. In determining whether an applicant is located in such an area, FNS may consider access factors such as, but not limited to, the distance from the applicant firm to the nearest currently SNAP authorized firm and transportation options. In determining whether to authorize an applicant despite its failure to meet Criterion A and Criterion B, FNS will also consider factors such as, but not limited to, the extent of the applicant firm's stocking deficiencies in meeting Criterion A and Criterion B and whether the store furthers the purposes of the Program. Such considerations will be conducted during the application process as described in paragraph (a) of this section.

### **APPELLANT'S CONTENTIONS**

Appellant made the following summarized contentions for administrative review, and in subsequent correspondence submitted on February 22, 2023, in relevant part:

- Appellant requests reconsideration of the SNAP authorization withdrawal determination.
- Appellant admits the store does not sell butter, cheese, or yogurt due to a vendor change. Appellant has been sick and unable to look for an alternative vendor.
- Appellant's owner did not realize the store must carry an additional dairy variety to maintain its SNAP authorization.

---

\* As currently implemented. See SNAP Retailer Policy and Management Division Policy Memorandum 2020-04 for additional information regarding the enhanced retailer standards, which were implemented on January 17, 2018. This memorandum can be found on the FNS public website at <https://www.fns.usda.gov/snap/retailer-eligibility-clarification-of-criterion>.

- SNAP households benefit from the store remaining SNAP authorized. SNAP authorization also helps the store's sales.
- Appellant now carries the required products.

In support of these contentions, Appellant submitted four screenshots from its point-of-sale system showing its quarterly sales and sales tax figures for the period of January 1, 2022, through December 26, 2022.

The preceding may represent only a summary of Appellant's contentions presented in this matter. However, in reaching a final decision, full attention was given to all contentions presented, including any not specifically summarized or referenced herein.

## **ANALYSIS AND FINDINGS**

It is important to clarify for the record that the purpose of this review is to either validate or invalidate the earlier determination of the Retailer Operations Division. Thus, this review is limited to consideration of the relevant facts and circumstances as they existed at the time the Retailer Operations Division rendered its decision.

After reviewing the record, as well as evaluating the contentions submitted by Appellant, this review finds that Appellant does not carry, on a continuous basis, sufficient staple food inventory to be eligible for SNAP authorization under Criterion A, nor do its staple foods sales meet eligibility requirements under Criterion B. Appellant is also ineligible for authorization under the Need for Access provision. Accordingly, Appellant's SNAP authorization was properly withdrawn for a period of six months.

### **Criterion A Eligibility**

In order for a firm to be eligible under Criterion A, it must offer for sale no fewer than three different varieties of food items in each of the four staple food categories, with a minimum depth of stock of three stocking units for each variety. In addition, retailers must meet Criterion A eligibility requirements on a continuous basis.

Regarding Appellant, the record shows that the firm did not have enough inventory in the dairy products staple food category on the day of the store visit to meet Criterion A eligibility requirements. When the Retailer Operations Division withdrew Appellant's firm, it found that the firm had adequate stocking units of only two dairy product varieties: milk and coconut milk. The firm did not have three stocking units of an additional variety of dairy products. Appellant was three stocking units short of meeting Criterion A eligibility requirements.

The Retailer Operations Division sent the store a request that the store submit any purchase invoices or receipts documenting that the store normally carried sufficient stocking units of three varieties of dairy products on December 13, 2022. According to agency records, Appellant did not respond to this request.

Appellant contends that the store no longer carries butter, cheese, or yogurt due to vendor

changes and health issues. With regard to this contention, extenuating circumstances may have contributed to the amount of staple food inventory available at the store on the day of the store visit. However, SNAP regulations require retailers to meet inventory requirements on a continuous basis and do not provide exceptions for extenuating circumstances.

Appellant has put forth no evidence to show that the firm carried a sufficient variety and quantity of staple foods to be eligible for SNAP authorization. Available evidence shows Appellant was deficient in staple foods at the time of the store visit.

This review agrees with the Retailer Operations Division's assessment of Appellant's inventory at the time of the store visit and finds that Appellant's contentions do not demonstrate that it met Criterion A eligibility requirements continuously. Accordingly, the Retailer Operations Division correctly determined that Appellant is not eligible for SNAP authorization under Criterion A.

### **Criterion B Eligibility**

Eligibility under Criterion B requires that more than 50 percent of the firm's total gross retail sales be from the sale of staple foods. The Retailer Operations Division determined that Appellant did not meet Criterion B because the store's staple food sales did not comprise more than 50 percent of its gross retail sales. This was based on the SNAP retailer application, in which Appellant reported that only about 15 percent of the store's gross retail sales were in staple food items.

On December 13, 2022, the Retailer Operations Division requested that the store submit sales and tax documents to help establish what percentage of the store's total gross retail sales were from staple food sales. According to agency records, Appellant did not respond to this request.

On review, Appellant provided four screenshots from its point-of-sale system showing its quarterly sales and sales tax figures for the period of January 1, 2022, through December 26, 2022. Unfortunately, these screenshots did not provide any information that would demonstrate the percentage of staple foods contained in the store's gross retail sales.

Based on a preponderance of the evidence, the Retailer Operations Division properly determined that Appellant was ineligible under Criterion B.

### **Remedial Actions Taken**

Appellant contends that the store is now stocked with the necessary staple foods.

With regard to this contention, it must be restated that this review is limited to consideration of the relevant facts and circumstances as they existed at the time of the contractor's store visit and at the time that the Retailer Operations Division rendered its withdrawal decision. It is not the authority of this review to consider subsequent remedial actions that have been or will be taken so that a store may begin to comply with program requirements. There are no provisions in the SNAP regulations for a reversal of a withdrawal decision on the basis of alleged or planned corrective actions implemented subsequent to the finding of a firm's ineligibility.



Therefore, Appellant's contentions regarding improvements to its staple food inventory do not provide a valid basis for reversing the Retailer Operations Division's withdrawal determination.

### **Hardship to SNAP Households/Need for Access**

Appellant contends that SNAP households benefit from the store remaining SNAP authorized.

With regard to this contention, it is recognized that some degree of inconvenience to SNAP recipients is possible whenever a retail food store's SNAP application is withdrawn, and households are forced to spend their benefits elsewhere. To address such situations, regulations at 7 CFR § 278.1(b)(6) state that FNS will consider authorizing a firm which fails to meet Criterion A or B as long as it is located in an area with significantly limited access to food and provided that it meets all other eligibility requirements. This Need for Access evaluation considers factors such as distance to the nearest SNAP-authorized retail store, transportation options, extent of the firm's stocking deficiencies, and whether the firm furthers the purposes of the program.

As for Lolly, the record indicates that the Retailer Operations Division conducted a Need for Access evaluation and determined Appellant did not qualify for SNAP authorization under this provision. After reviewing the agency's record, this review agrees that authorization under the Need for Access provision is not appropriate in this case.

### **CONCLUSION**

Based on the analysis above, the determination by the Retailer Operations Division to withdraw the SNAP authorization of Lolly, is sustained. The firm does not meet eligibility requirements under Criterion A or B as outlined in regulations, at 7 CFR § 278.1(b)(1), and is not eligible for authorization under Need for Access, as provided under 7 CFR § 278.1(b)(6).

Additionally, the contentions presented by Appellant are not sufficient to show that the withdrawal decision made by the Retailer Operations Division should be reversed.

Pursuant to 7 CFR § 278.1(k)(2), Appellant is ineligible to reapply for authorization as a retailer in SNAP for a minimum period of six months from the effective date of the withdrawal. In accordance with the Food and Nutrition Act of 2008 and SNAP regulations, the withdrawal of Lolly shall become effective 30 days after receipt of this decision.

### **RIGHTS AND REMEDIES**

Applicable rights to a judicial review of this determination are set forth in Section 14 of the Food and Nutrition Act of 2008 (7 U.S.C. § 2023) and in SNAP regulations, at 7 CFR § 279.7. If judicial review is desired, the Complaint, naming the United States as the defendant, must be filed in the U.S. District Court for the district in which the Appellant owner resides or is engaged in business, or in any court of record of the State having competent jurisdiction. If a Complaint is

filed, it must be filed within 30 days of receipt of this decision. The judicial filing timeframe is mandated by the Act, and this office cannot grant an extension.

Under the Freedom of Information Act, we are releasing this information in a redacted format as appropriate. FNS will protect, to the extent provided by law, personal information that could constitute an unwarranted invasion of privacy.

AMIE CHURCHILL  
ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW OFFICER

April 19, 2023