

**U.S. Department of Agriculture
Food and Nutrition Service
Administrative and Judicial Review Branch**

Corner Market,

Appellant,

v.

Retailer Operations Division,

Respondent.

Case Number: C0267300

FINAL AGENCY DECISION

The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) Food and Nutrition Service (FNS) finds there is sufficient evidence to support the determination by the Retailer Operations Division to withdraw the authorization of Corner Market (“Appellant”) to participate as a retailer in the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP). As a result, the firm may not reapply for SNAP authorization for a period of six months from the date of withdrawal.

ISSUE

The issue accepted for review is whether the Retailer Operations Division took appropriate action, consistent with Title 7 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Part 278, when it withdrew the authorization of Appellant to participate as a SNAP retailer.

AUTHORITY

7 U.S.C. § 2023 and implementing regulations, at 7 CFR § 279.1, provide that “A food retailer or wholesale food concern aggrieved by administrative action under § 278.1, § 278.6 or § 278.7 . . . may . . . file a written request for review of the administrative action with FNS.”

CASE SUMMARY

Appellant was initially authorized to participate as a retailer in SNAP on October 24, 2017. In accordance with regulation, each SNAP-authorized firm is required to undergo a periodic reauthorization process to determine whether the firm still meets eligibility requirements.

On October 24, 2022, Appellant submitted the required reauthorization application, FNS-252-R, *Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program Reauthorization Application for Stores*. On this document, Appellant reported that around 25 percent of its gross retail sales were from the sale of staple foods. The application also reported that the firm carried at least three stocking units of three varieties in each of the food staple products. As part of the firm’s reauthorization process, an onsite

store visit was conducted by an FNS contractor on August 25, 2022, to verify the firm's staple food stock.

On January 20, 2023, the Retailer Operations Division sent Appellant a letter requesting additional information. According to the letter, the Retailer Operations Division informed Appellant that on August 25, 2022, their contractor noted that Appellant stocked 2 varieties of dairy items and that Appellant will need to provide a receipt(s) for at least one additional variety of dairy (not previously identified) that demonstrates at least 3 stocking units purchased. Appellant was further informed that the receipt(s) must be dated within 21 days prior to the visit on August 25, 2022, (between August 4, 2022, and August 24, 2022). According to agency records, Appellant provided 10 receipts, but the provided receipts were not within the required time period. Appellant also provided 10 photos of stocked items.

In a letter dated February 14, 2023, the Retailer Operations Division informed Appellant that its SNAP authorization was being withdrawn for a period of six months pursuant to regulation, at 7 CFR § 278.1(k)(2). The withdrawal letter stated Appellant failed to meet the requirements of Criterion A because it did not offer for sale a variety of foods in required minimum quantities on a continuous basis in each of the four staple food categories. Specifically, Appellant failed to carry three units of three separate varieties of dairy products. The letter also stated that Appellant failed to meet the requirements of Criterion B because staple food sales did not comprise more than 50 percent of its total retail sales. The letter indicated that FNS considered the firm's eligibility under the Need for Access provision of the regulations, found at 7 CFR § 278.1(b)(6), but determined that Appellant did not qualify for SNAP authorization under this provision.

On February 21, 2023, Appellant requested an administrative review of the withdrawal determination. Appellant's request was granted.

STANDARD OF REVIEW

In an appeal of an adverse action, such as the withdrawal of a firm's SNAP authorization, an appellant bears the burden of proving by a preponderance of the evidence that the administrative action should be reversed. This means an appellant has the burden of providing relevant evidence which a reasonable mind, considering the record as a whole, would accept as sufficient to support a conclusion that the matter asserted is more likely to be true than not true.

CONTROLLING LAW

The controlling law in this matter is found in the Food and Nutrition Act of 2008, as amended (7 U.S.C. § 2018), and is promulgated through regulation under Title 7 CFR Part 278. In particular, 7 CFR § 278.1(l)(1) and § 278.1(k)(2) establish the authority upon which FNS shall withdraw the SNAP authorization of any firm which fails to meet established eligibility requirements.

7 CFR § 278.1(l)(1) states, in part:

FNS may withdraw the authorization of any firm authorized to participate in the program for any of the following reasons:

(i) The firm's continued participation in the program will not further the purposes of the program;

(ii) The firm fails to meet the specification of paragraph (b), (c), (d), (e), (f), (g), (h), or (i) of this section;

(iii) The firm fails to meet the requirements for eligibility under Criterion A or B, as specified in paragraph (b)(1)(i) of this section...for the time period specified in paragraph (k)(2) of this section.

7 CFR § 278.1(k)(2) states, in part:

FNS shall deny the application of any firm if it determines that:

2) The firm has failed to meet the eligibility requirements for authorization under Criterion A or Criterion B, as specified in paragraph (b)(1)(i) of this section.... Any firm that has been denied authorization on these bases shall not be eligible to submit a new application for authorization in the program for a minimum period of six months from the effective date of the denial.

7 CFR § 271.2 defines a retail food store as:

(1) An establishment or house-to-house trade route that sells food for home preparation and consumption normally displayed in a public area, and either offers for sale qualifying staple food items on a continuous basis, evidenced by having no fewer than *[three]** different varieties of food items in each of the four staple food categories with a minimum depth of stock of three stocking units for each qualifying staple variety, including at least one variety of perishable foods in at least *[two]** such categories (Criterion A) as set forth in § 278.1(b)(1) of this chapter, or has more than 50 percent of its total gross retail sales in staple foods (Criterion B) as set forth in § 278.1(b)(1) of this chapter as determined by visual inspection, marketing structure, business licenses, accessibility of food items offered for sale, purchase and sales records, counting of stockkeeping units, or other inventory or accounting recordkeeping methods that are customary or reasonable in the retail food industry as set forth in § 278.1(b)(1) of this chapter...

7 CFR § 271.2 defines staple food as:

...food items intended for home preparation and consumption in each of the following four categories: Meat, poultry, or fish; bread or cereals; vegetables or fruits; and dairy products... Hot foods are not eligible for purchase with SNAP benefits and, therefore, do not qualify as staple foods for the purpose of determining eligibility under § 278.1(b)(1) of this chapter. Commercially processed foods

* As currently implemented. See SNAP Retailer Policy and Management Division Policy Memorandum 2020-04 for additional information regarding the enhanced retailer standards, which were implemented on January 17, 2018. This memorandum can be found on the FNS public website at <https://www.fns.usda.gov/snap/retailer-eligibility-clarification-of-criterion>.

and prepared mixtures with multiple ingredients that do not represent a single staple food category shall only be counted in one staple food category. For example, foods such as cold pizza, macaroni and cheese, multi-ingredient soup, or frozen dinners, shall only be counted as one staple food item and will be included in the staple food category of the main ingredient as determined by FNS. Accessory food items include foods that are generally considered snack foods or desserts such as, but not limited to, chips, ice cream, crackers, cupcakes, cookies, popcorn, pastries, and candy, and other food items that complement or supplement meals, such as, but not limited to, coffee, tea, cocoa, carbonated and uncarbonated drinks, condiments, spices, salt, and sugar. Items shall not be classified as accessory food exclusively based on packaging size but rather based on the aforementioned definition and as determined by FNS. A food product containing an accessory food item as its main ingredient shall be considered an accessory food item. Accessory food items shall not be considered staple foods for purposes of determining the eligibility of any firm.

7 CFR § 278.1(b)(1)(i) states, in part:

An establishment...will effectuate the purposes of the program if it sells food for home preparation and consumption and meets one of the following criteria: Offer for sale, on a continuous basis, a variety of qualifying foods in each of the four categories of staple foods...including perishable foods in at least *[two]** of the categories (Criterion A); or have more than 50 percent of the total gross retail sales of the establishment...in staple foods (Criterion B).

7 CFR § 278.1(b)(1)(ii) states, in part:

In order to qualify under [Criterion A] firms shall:

- (A) Offer for sale and normally display in a public area, qualifying staple food items on a continuous basis, evidenced by having, on any given day of operation, no fewer than *[three]** different varieties of food items in each of the four staple food categories with a minimum depth of stock of three stocking units for each qualifying staple variety and at least one variety of perishable foods in at least *[two]** staple food categories. Documentation to determine if a firm stocks a sufficient amount of required staple foods to offer them for sale on a continuous basis may be required in cases where it is not clear that the firm has made reasonable stocking efforts to meet the stocking requirement. Such documentation can be achieved through verifying information, when requested by FNS, such as invoices and receipts in order to prove that the firm

* As currently implemented. See SNAP Retailer Policy and Management Division Policy Memorandum 2020-04 for additional information regarding the enhanced retailer standards, which were implemented on January 17, 2018. This memorandum can be found on the FNS public website at <https://www.fns.usda.gov/snap/retailer-eligibility-clarification-of-criterion>.

had ordered and/or received a sufficient amount of required staple foods up to 21 calendar days prior to the date of the store visit...

(B) Offer for sale perishable staple food items in at least *[two]** staple food categories. Perishable foods are items which are either frozen staple food items or fresh, unrefrigerated or refrigerated staple food items that will spoil or suffer significant deterioration in quality within 2-3 weeks; and

(C) *[Offer a variety of staple foods which means different types of foods, such as apples, cabbage, tomatoes, and squash in the fruit or vegetable staple food category, or milk, cheese, butter and yogurt in the dairy category. Variety of foods is not to be interpreted as different brands, different nutrient values, different varieties of packaging, or different package sizes. Similar processed food items with varying ingredients such as, but not limited to, sausages, breakfast cereals, milk, sliced breads, and cheeses, and similar unprocessed food items, such as, but not limited to different varieties of apples, cabbage, tomatoes, or squash shall not each be considered as more than one staple food variety for the purpose of determining variety. Multiple ingredient food items...such as...cold pizza, macaroni and cheese, soup, or frozen dinners, shall only be counted as one staple food variety each and will normally be included in the staple food category of the main ingredient as determined by the FNS.]**

7 CFR § 278.1(b)(1)(iii) states, in part:

In order to qualify under [Criterion B] firms must have more than 50 percent of their total gross retail sales in staple food sales. Total gross retail sales must include all retail sales of a firm, including food and non-food merchandise, as well as services, such as rental fees, professional fees, and entertainment/sports/games income...

7 CFR § 278.1(b)(6) states:

Need for access. FNS will consider whether the applicant firm is located in an area with significantly limited access to food when the applicant firm fails to meet Criterion A per paragraph (b)(1)(ii) or Criterion B per paragraph (b)(1)(iii) of this section so long as the applicant firm meets all other SNAP authorization requirements. In determining whether an applicant is located in such an area, FNS may consider access factors such as, but not limited to, the distance from the applicant firm to the nearest currently SNAP authorized firm and transportation options. In determining whether to authorize an applicant despite its failure to meet Criterion A and Criterion B, FNS will also consider factors such as,

* As currently implemented. See SNAP Retailer Policy Division Policy Memorandum 2020-04 for additional information regarding the enhanced retailer standards, which were implemented on January 17, 2018. This memorandum can be found on the FNS public website at <https://www.fns.usda.gov/snap/retailer-eligibility-clarification-of-criterion>.

but not limited to, the extent of the applicant firm's stocking deficiencies in meeting Criterion A and Criterion B and whether the store furthers the purposes of the Program. Such considerations will be conducted during the application process as described in paragraph (a) of this section.

APPELLANT'S CONTENTIONS

Appellant made the following summarized contentions for administrative review submitted on February 21, 2023, as well as by subsequent correspondence, in relevant part:

- There was an error made in the reauthorization application and Appellant would like to resubmit the application to correct percentage of staple and or other foods sold.
- Receipts of dairy products, as well as pictures, already provided and Appellant does not understand why the response was not accepted.
- The only thing Appellant can imagine is either we did some mistake in the renewal application as the online application, FNS-252-R is totally different from the FNS-252E application or failed to understand the letter asking to provide the evidence.
- Withdrawing SNAP from this location would hurt the store and this neighborhood.

The preceding may represent only a summary of Appellant's contentions presented in this matter. However, in reaching a final decision, full attention was given to all contentions presented, including any not specifically summarized or referenced herein.

ANALYSIS AND FINDINGS

It is important to clarify for the record that the purpose of this review is to either validate or invalidate the earlier determination of the Retailer Operations Division. Thus, this review is limited to consideration of the relevant facts and circumstances as they existed at the time the Retailer Operations Division rendered its decision.

After reviewing the record, as well as evaluating the contentions submitted by Appellant, this review finds that Appellant does not carry, on a continuous basis, sufficient staple food inventory to be eligible for SNAP authorization under Criterion A, nor do its staple foods sales meet eligibility requirements under Criterion B. Appellant is also ineligible for authorization under the Need for Access provision. Accordingly, Appellant's SNAP authorization was properly withdrawn for a period of six months.

As described in regulations cited earlier, a firm must meet either Criterion A or Criterion B to be eligible for ongoing SNAP participation. In order for a firm to be eligible under Criterion A, it must offer for sale on a continuous basis no fewer than three different varieties of staple foods in each of the four staple food categories with a minimum depth of stock of three stocking units for each variety. According to the contractor's report, the appellant had sufficient varieties of staple foods in three out of four categories but did not possess sufficient quantities of different varieties in the dairy category. The only dairy variety in sufficient quantities at the time of the inspection was milk. It is noted that the contracted reviewer counted units of Frito Lay Cheese Dip as a cheese product. That was erroneous and this information is only provided so the store ownership is aware of this requirement and can stock the store accordingly in the future.

On January 20, 2023, the Retailer Operations Division requested that Appellant provide receipts and/or invoices to demonstrate that Appellant's store maintained sufficient inventory in accordance with USDA regulations. The letter stated that the invoices and receipts must be dated no more than 21 calendar days prior to the date of the store visit and may not be dated after the visit. In response, Appellant provided 10 receipts which did not comply with the required time period.

SNAP regulations require that a firm continuously maintain sufficient staple food inventory in order to be eligible for program participation (see 7 CFR § 278.1(b)(1)(i) and (ii)). A firm that does not maintain proper inventory at all times does not effectuate the purposes of the program. As stated above, appellant bears the burden in this review to show that it continuously maintains sufficient staple food inventory. By not producing receipts within the requested period of time, Appellant failed to meet its burden to prove that it continuously maintains sufficient staple food inventory.

Appellant claims that it must have misunderstood the letter and can provide additional receipts but were not given an opportunity. Unfortunately, there is no provision in SNAP regulations for reversing a decision based upon misunderstanding the process. Nevertheless, the record indicates that this office sent a letter dated February 28, 2023, offering Appellant the opportunity to submit additional information or evidence to support its request for administrative review. Appellant did not submit any additional evidence, such as receipts, to show that the firm maintained sufficient dairy products during the time of inspection.

Appellant further asserts that withdrawing SNAP from this location would hurt the store. While economic hardship is a likely consequence whenever a store's SNAP authorization is withdrawn, there is no provision in the SNAP regulations for reversing a withdrawal determination based upon economic hardship to the firm.

Appellant also failed to qualify under Criterion B. Eligibility under Criterion B requires that more than 50 percent of the firm's total gross retail sales be from the sale of staple foods. The Retailer Operations Division determined that Appellant did not meet Criterion B because the store's staple food sales did not comprise more than 50 percent of its gross retail sales. This was based on the SNAP reauthorization application, in which Appellant reported that 25 percent of the store's gross retail sales were in staple food items.

In the request for reconsideration, Appellant claims that an error was made in the reauthentication application and would like to resubmit the application to correct the percentage of staple and other foods sold. Assuming there was an error on the application, Appellant has not provided any evidence to contradict the percentage stated on the reauthorization application. Consequently, there is nothing in the record that indicates that the percentage of staple food sales to gross retail sales listed on the reauthorization application is inaccurate. Therefore, based on a preponderance of the evidence, the Retailer Operations Division properly determined that the Appellant store was ineligible under Criterion B.

NEED FOR ACCESS

SNAP regulations, at 7 CFR § 278.1(b)(6), state that FNS will consider whether Appellant is located in an area with significantly limited access to food when the firm fails to meet Criterion A or Criterion B, as long as it meets all other eligibility requirements. This Need for Access evaluation also considers other factors, such as distance to the nearest SNAP-authorized firm, transportation

options, the extent of Appellant's stocking deficiencies, and whether Appellant furthers the purposes of the program.

The record indicates that the Retailer Operations Division conducted a Need for Access evaluation and determined Appellant did not qualify for SNAP authorization under this provision. This review agrees that authorization under the Need for Access provision is not appropriate in this case.

CONCLUSION

Based on the analysis above, the determination by the Retailer Operations Division to withdraw the SNAP authorization of Corner Market is sustained. The firm does not meet eligibility requirements under Criterion A or B as outlined in regulations, at 7 CFR § 278.1(b)(1), and is not eligible for authorization under Need for Access, as provided under 7 CFR § 278.1(b)(6). Additionally, the contentions presented by Appellant are not sufficient to show that the withdrawal decision made by the Retailer Operations Division should be reversed.

Pursuant to 7 CFR § 278.1(k)(2), Appellant is ineligible to reapply for authorization as a retailer in SNAP for a minimum period of six months from the effective date of the withdrawal. In accordance with the Food and Nutrition Act of 2008 and SNAP regulations, the withdrawal of Corner Market will become effective 30 days after receipt of this decision.

RIGHTS AND REMEDIES

Applicable rights to a judicial review of this determination are set forth in Section 14 of the Food and Nutrition Act of 2008 (7 U.S.C. § 2023) and in SNAP regulations, at 7 CFR § 279.7. If judicial review is desired, the Complaint, naming the United States as the defendant, must be filed in the U.S. District Court for the district in which the Appellant owner resides or is engaged in business, or in any court of record of the State having competent jurisdiction. If a Complaint is filed, it must be filed within 30 days of receipt of this decision. The judicial filing timeframe is mandated by the Act, and this office cannot grant an extension.

Under the Freedom of Information Act, we are releasing this information in a redacted format as appropriate. FNS will protect, to the extent provided by law, personal information that could constitute an unwarranted invasion of privacy.

DAVID SHIVELY
ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW OFFICER

March 29, 2023