

**U.S. Department of Agriculture
Food and Nutrition Service
Administrative Review Branch**

Haven Fresh,

Appellant,

v.

Retailer Operations Division,

Respondent.

Case Number: C0252104

FINAL AGENCY DECISION

The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA), Food and Nutrition Service (FNS) finds that there is sufficient evidence to support the determination by the Retailer Operations Division to deny the application of Haven Fresh (Appellant) to participate as an authorized retailer in the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program.

ISSUE

The purpose of this review is to determine whether the Retailer Operations Division took appropriate action, consistent with Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 278.1(b)(1), in its administration of the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) when it denied the application of Appellant to participate in SNAP..

AUTHORITY

7 USC § 2023 and the implementing regulations at 7 CFR § 279.1 provide that “A food retailer or wholesale food concern aggrieved by administrative action under § 278.1, § 278.6 or § 278.7 . . . may file a written request for review of the administrative action with FNS.”

CASE CHRONOLOGY

In a letter dated January 25, 2022, the Retailer Operations Division denied the application of Haven Fresh to participate as an authorized retailer in SNAP because the firm is not a retail food store as defined by the SNAP regulations. The Retailer Operations Division determined that Appellant is primarily a restaurant because hot and/or cold prepared, ready-to-eat foods that are intended for immediate consumption, either for carryout or on-premises consumption, and requiring no additional preparation, comprise more than 50% of the firm’s total sales. Restaurants are not eligible to participate in SNAP except in certain states that operate special restaurant programs allowing the elderly, disabled, and homeless participants to use SNAP

benefits in restaurants. Ownership was informed that the firm could not submit a new application to participate as a SNAP retailer for a period of six months as provided in § 278.1(k)(2).

In a letter dated February 1, 2022, ownership appealed the Retailer Operations Division's decision and requested an administrative review of this action. The appeal was granted.

STANDARD OF REVIEW

In appeals of adverse actions, the Appellant bears the burden of proving by a preponderance of the evidence, that the administrative actions should be reversed. That means the Appellant has the burden of providing relevant evidence which a reasonable mind, considering the record as a whole, would accept as sufficient to support a conclusion that the matter asserted is more likely to be true than not true.

CONTROLLING LAW

The controlling statute in this matter is contained in the Food and Nutrition Act of 2008, as amended, 7 USC § 2018 and § 278 of Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). In particular, 7 CFR § 278.1(l)(1) and § 278.1(k)(2) establish the authority upon which FNS shall withdraw the SNAP authorization of any firm which fails to meet established eligibility requirements.

7 CFR § 278.1(l)(1) reads, in part: FNS may withdraw the authorization of any firm authorized to participate in the program for any of the following reasons:

- (i) The firm's continued participation in the program will not further the purposes of the program;
- (ii) The firm fails to meet the specification of paragraph (b), (c), (d), (e), (f), (g), (h), or (i) of this section;
- (iii) The firm fails to meet the requirements for eligibility under Criterion A or B, as specified in paragraph (b)(1)(i) of this section...for the time period specified in paragraph (k)(2) of this section.

7 CFR § 278.1(k)(1) Denying authorization, references 7 CFR § 278.1(b)(1)(iv) ineligible firms, which reads, in part:

Firms that are considered to be restaurants, that is, firms that have more than 50 percent of their total gross retail sales in hot and/or cold prepared foods not intended for home preparation and consumption, shall not qualify for participation as retail food stores under Criterion A or B. This includes firms that primarily sell prepared foods that are consumed on the premises or sold for carryout.

7 CFR § 278.1(k)(2) reads, in relevant part: FNS shall deny the application of any firm if it determines that:

(2) The firm has failed to meet the eligibility requirements for authorization under Criterion A or Criterion B, as specified in paragraph (b)(1)(i) of this section.... Any firm that has been denied authorization on these bases shall not be eligible to submit a new application for authorization in the program for a minimum period of six months from the effective date of the denial.

7 CFR § 278.1(b)(1)(i) states, in part:

An establishment...shall...effectuate the purposes of the program if it sells food for home preparation and consumption and meets one of the following criteria: Offer for sale, on a continuous basis, a variety of qualifying foods in each of the four categories of staple foods...including perishable foods in at least two of the categories (Criterion A); or have more than 50 percent of the total gross retail sales of the establishment...in staple foods (Criterion B).

7 CFR § 271.2 states, in part:

Entities that have more than 50 percent of their total gross retail sales in: Food cooked or heated on-site by the retailer before or after purchase; and hot and/or cold prepared foods not intended for home preparation and consumption, including prepared foods that are consumed on the premises or sold for carry-out are not eligible for SNAP participation as retail food stores under § 278.1(b)(1) of this chapter.

7 CFR § 278.1(b)(1)(iv) states, in part:

. . . Ineligible firms under this paragraph include, but are not limited to, stores selling only accessory foods, including spices, candy, soft drinks, tea, or coffee; ice cream vendors selling solely ice cream; and specialty doughnut shops or bakeries not selling bread. **In addition, firms that are considered to be restaurants, that is, firms that have more than 50 percent of their total gross sales in foods cooked or heated on-site by the retailer before or after purchase; and hot and/or cold prepared foods not intended for home preparation and consumption, including prepared foods that are consumed on the premises or sold for carryout, shall not qualify for participation as retail food stores under Criterion A or B...** [Emphasis added.]

APPELLANT'S CONTENTIONS

Appellant made the following summarized contentions in its February 1, 2022, administrative review request, in relevant part:

- Appellant believes that it qualifies to be authorized.
- Appellant is in the poorest community in Florida.
- Appellant will be able to greatly benefit the community if it is authorized because its more than 30 minutes to the nearest grocery store.

The preceding may represent only a brief summary of the Appellant's contentions presented in this matter. However, in reaching a decision, full attention was given to all contentions presented, including any not specifically recapitulated or specifically referenced.

ANALYSIS AND FINDINGS

The purpose of this review is to validate or to invalidate the decision of the Retailer Operations Division. Thus, it is limited to consideration of the relevant facts at the time the Retailer Operations Division rendered its decision as to this one Appellant. The authorization of a store to participate in the SNAP must be in accord with the Act and the regulations, as amended; those requirements of law cannot be waived. SNAP regulations at 7 CFR §278.1(b)(1)(iv) states, in part "firms that have more than 50 percent of their total gross sales in foods cooked or heated on-site by the retailer before or after purchase; and hot and/or cold prepared foods not intended for home preparation or consumption, including prepared foods that are consumed on the premises or sold for carryout, shall not qualify for participation as retail food stores.

The application information provided by the owner indicates that the sale of hot foods comprised 40% of its total retail sales and the sale of cold prepared food comprised ten % of total gross retail sales at the business. The Retailer Operations Division requested documentation of the firm's sale. Appellant submitted State Revenue Tax Filing reports for October 2020, November 2020, December 2020, January 2021, February 2021, March 2021, April 2021, May 2021, June 2021, July 2021, August 2021, and September 2021. The Retailer Operations Division analyzed the information that the firm submitted and determined that the sale of prepared food is 67% of total sales based on its reports taxable sales.

The Retailer Operations Division also considered information obtained during an April 27, 2021, store visit conducted by an FNS contractor to observe the nature and scope of the firm's operation, stock and facilities. In addition, on social media the firm presents itself as a restaurant.

Although Appellant may sell a variety of staple food items, it is more likely true than not true that the majority of foods in the store are actually sold prepared and/or hot and ready-to-eat. According to 7 CFR § 278.1(b)(1) of the SNAP regulations, such a store is considered a restaurant and is not eligible for SNAP participation as a retail food store. Therefore, Appellant's store does not qualify as a retail food store for purposes of SNAP participation.

Need for Access

Appellant explains that it is located in a food desert, and it would benefit the community if it was authorized. It is recognized that some degree of inconvenience to SNAP recipients is likely whenever a retail food store is not authorized to accept SNAP benefits and households are forced to shop elsewhere. To address such situations, regulations at 7 CFR § 278.1(b)(6) state that FNS will consider authorizing in some instances if it is located in an area with significantly limited access to food and if it meets all other eligibility requirements. However, Appellant's authorization to participate as an authorized retailer in the SNAP was denied because it did not meet the necessary criteria to be eligible for SNAP participation. Therefore, Appellant does not

qualify for consideration of participation in the SNAP under the Need for Access provision. Moreover, there are five authorized firms located within a one-mile radius of Appellant.

Conclusion

Based on the discussion above, the determination by the Retailer Operations Division to deny the application of Haven Fresh to participate as an authorized SNAP retailer is sustained.

RIGHTS AND REMEDIES

Applicable rights to a judicial review of this decision are set forth in 7 USC § 2023 and 7 CFR § 279.7. If a judicial review is desired, the Complaint, naming the United States as the defendant, must be filed in the U.S. District Court for the district in which the Appellant's owner resides or is engaged in business, or in any court of record of the State having competent jurisdiction. If any Complaint is filed, it must be filed within thirty (30) days of receipt of this Decision.

Under the Freedom of Information Act, we are releasing this information in a redacted format as appropriate. FNS will protect, to the extent provided by law, personal information that could constitute an unwarranted invasion of privacy.

Mary Kate Karagiorgos
ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW OFFICER

June 2, 2022